

Aquatic Biomonitoring at Red Dog Mine, 2015
Alaska Pollution Discharge Elimination System
Permit No. AK00038652 (Modification #1)

by **Alvin G. Ott, Heather L. Scannell, and Parker T. Bradley**



North Fork Red Dog Creek Fyke Net, June 2015
Photograph by Parker T. Bradley

March 2016

Alaska Department of Fish and Game
Division of Habitat

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) administers all programs and activities free from discrimination based on race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, or disability. The department administers all programs and activities in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972.

If you believe you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility please write:

- ADF&G ADA Coordinator, P.O. Box 115526, Juneau, AK 99811-5526
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, MS 2042, Arlington, VA 22203
- Office of Equal Opportunity, U.S. Department of Interior, 1849 C Street NW MS 5230, Washington DC 20240

The department's ADA Coordinator can be reached via phone at the following numbers:

- (VOICE) 907-465-6077
- (Statewide Telecommunication Device for the Deaf) 1-800-478-3648
- (Juneau TDD) 907-465-3646
- (FAX) 907-465-6078

For information on alternative formats and questions on this publication, please contact the following:

- ADF&G, Division of Habitat, 1300 College Road, Fairbanks, AK 99701 (907)459-7289.

Aquatic Biomonitoring at Red Dog Mine, 2015
Alaska Pollution Discharge Elimination System
Permit No. AK00038652 (Modification #1)

Technical Report No. 16-01

By

Alvin G. Ott, Parker T. Bradley, and Heather L. Scannell

David E. Rogers
Director
Division of Habitat
Alaska Department of Fish and Game

Symbols and Abbreviations

The following symbols and abbreviations, and others approved for the Système International d'Unités (SI), are used without definition in reports by the Divisions of Habitat, Sport Fish and of Commercial Fisheries. All others, including deviations from definitions listed below, are noted in the text at first mention, as well as in the titles or footnotes of tables, and in figure or figure captions.

Weights and measures (metric)		General		Measures (fisheries)	
centimeter	cm	Alaska Administrative Code	AAC	fork length	FL
deciliter	dL	all commonly accepted abbreviations	e.g., Mr., Mrs., AM, PM, etc.	mid-eye-to-fork	MEF
gram	g	all commonly accepted professional titles	e.g., Dr., Ph.D., R.N., etc.	mid-eye-to-tail-fork	METF
hectare	ha	at	@	standard length	SL
kilogram	kg	compass directions:		total length	TL
kilometer	km	east	E		
liter	L	north	N	Mathematics, statistics	
meter	m	south	S	<i>all standard mathematical signs, symbols and abbreviations</i>	
milliliter	mL	west	W	alternate hypothesis	H _A
millimeter	mm	copyright	©	base of natural logarithm	<i>e</i>
		corporate suffixes:		catch per unit effort	CPUE
Weights and measures (English)		Company	Co.	coefficient of variation	CV
cubic feet per second	ft ³ /s	Corporation	Corp.	common test statistics	(F, t, χ^2 , etc.)
foot	ft	Incorporated	Inc.	confidence interval	CI
gallon	gal	Limited	Ltd.	correlation coefficient (multiple)	R
inch	in	District of Columbia	D.C.	correlation coefficient (simple)	r
mile	mi	et alii (and others)	et al.	covariance	cov
nautical mile	nmi	et cetera (and so forth)	etc.	degree (angular)	°
ounce	oz	exempli gratia (for example)	e.g.	degrees of freedom	df
pound	lb	Federal Information Code	FIC	expected value	<i>E</i>
quart	qt	id est (that is)	i.e.	greater than	>
yard	yd	latitude or longitude	lat. or long.	greater than or equal to	≥
		monetary symbols (U.S.)	\$, ¢	harvest per unit effort	HPUE
Time and temperature		months (tables and figures): first three letters	Jan, ..., Dec	less than	<
day	d	registered trademark	®	less than or equal to	≤
degrees Celsius	°C	trademark	™	logarithm (natural)	ln
degrees Fahrenheit	°F	United States (adjective)	U.S.	logarithm (base 10)	log
degrees kelvin	K	United States of America (noun)	USA	logarithm (specify base)	log ₂ etc.
hour	h	U.S.C.	United States Code	minute (angular)	'
minute	min	U.S. state	use two-letter abbreviations (e.g., AK, WA)	not significant	NS
second	s			null hypothesis	H ₀
Physics and chemistry				percent	%
all atomic symbols				probability	P
alternating current	AC			probability of a type I error (rejection of the null hypothesis when true)	α
ampere	A			probability of a type II error (acceptance of the null hypothesis when false)	β
calorie	cal			second (angular)	"
direct current	DC			standard deviation	SD
hertz	Hz			standard error	SE
horsepower	hp			variance	
hydrogen ion activity (negative log of)	pH			population	Var
parts per million	ppm			sample	var
parts per thousand	ppt, ‰				
volts	V				
watts	W				

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	i
List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Executive Summary	vi
Introduction	1
Structure of Report.....	5
Location of Sample Sites	5
Description of Streams.....	7
Methods	8
Results and Discussion	9
Water Quality.....	9
Periphyton Standing Crop.....	21
Aquatic Invertebrates	25
Metal Concentrations in Juvenile Arctic Grayling and Dolly Varden.....	30
Selenium Concentrations in Adult Arctic Grayling.....	39
Metal Concentrations in Adult Dolly Varden.....	42
Dolly Varden, Overwintering	47
Chum Salmon, Spawning	50
Dolly Varden, Juveniles.....	53
Arctic Grayling, Red Dog Creek Drainage.....	59
Arctic Grayling, Bons Pond.....	67
Slimy Sculpin.....	74
Literature Cited	77
Appendix 1. Summary of Mine Development and Operations.....	82
Appendix 2. Periphyton Standing Crop	105
Appendix 3. Aquatic Invertebrate Drift Samples	107
Appendix 4. Juvenile Arctic Grayling from Bons Creek, Whole Body Element	116
Appendix 5. Juvenile Dolly Varden from Anxiety Ridge, Buddy.....	117
Appendix 6. Arctic Grayling Females, Selenium	118
Appendix 7. Dolly Varden Element Data, Wulik River 2015	120
Appendix 8. Dolly Varden Aerial Surveys	122
Appendix 9. Dolly Varden and Chum Salmon Survey Areas	123
Appendix 10. Juvenile Dolly Varden Sampling Sites	124
Appendix 11. Juvenile Dolly Varden Catches	125
Appendix 12. Arctic Grayling, Mainstem Red Dog Creek.....	126
Appendix 13. Arctic Grayling Fry, North Fork Red Dog Creek	130

List of Tables

1. Location of APDES Sample Sites and Factors Measured.	1
2. Location of Sample Sites and Factors Measured.	3
3. Location of sample sites for Red Dog aquatic biomonitoring study.	4
4. Estimated number of Dolly Varden in the Wulik River.	49
5. Number of chum salmon adults in Ikalukrok Creek.	51
6. Location of juvenile Dolly Varden sample sites.	53
7. Summary of Arctic grayling spawning in Mainstem Red Dog Creek.	62

List of Figures

1. Location of the Red Dog Mine in northwestern Alaska.	2
2. Location of sample sites (some have a Station #) in the Ikalukrok	6
3. Downstream end of mixing zone in Mainstem Red Dog Creek	9
4. Clean water bypass system at the Red Dog Mine. The Red Dog Creek diversion.....	10
5. Median, maximum, and minimum Pb concentrations at Station 151/10.....	11
6. Median, maximum, and minimum Zn concentrations at Station 151/10.....	11
7. Median Pb concentrations in 2015 from upstream (Station 145)	12
8. Median, maximum, and minimum Al concentrations at Station 151/10	13
9. Median, maximum, and minimum Cd concentrations at Station 151/10	13
10. Median, maximum, and minimum Se concentrations at Station 151/10	14
11. Median, maximum, and minimum Ni concentrations at Station 151/10	14
12. Median, maximum, and minimum pH values at Station 151/10	15
13. Median, maximum, and minimum TDS concentrations at Station 151/10.....	16
14. Median Cd concentrations in Mainstem Red Dog (MSRD), North Fork Red Dog...	17
15. Median Pb concentrations in Mainstem Red Dog (MSRD), North Fork Red Dog. ..	18
16. Median Zn concentrations in Mainstem Red Dog (MSRD), North Fork Red Dog...	19
17. Median Se concentrations in Mainstem Red Dog (MSRD), North Fork Red Dog ...	20
18. Average concentration of chlorophyll-a (+ 1SD).	21
19. Average concentration of chlorophyll-a.	22
20. Average chlorophyll-a concentrations versus Zn in Ikalukrok Creek	23
21. Average chlorophyll-a concentrations versus Cd in Ikalukrok Creek	23
22. Ikalukrok Creek at the Cub Creek seep about 10 km upstream of the mouth	24
23. Average aquatic invertebrate densities (+ 1SD) in all sample sites.....	25
24. The average aquatic invertebrate density (\pm 1SD) in Buddy Creek	26
25. Average aquatic invertebrate densities in North Fork Red Dog and Mainstem	26
26. Percent EPT and Chironomidae in the aquatic invertebrate samples	27
27. Percent EPT and Chironomidae in North Fork Red Dog Creek.....	28
28. Percent EPT and Chironomidae in Mainstem Red Dog Creek.....	28
29. Percent EPT and Chironomidae in Buddy Creek	28
30. Aquatic invertebrate taxa richness in North Fork Red Dog, Mainstem Red Dog	29
31. Average Cd concentrations (+ 1SD) in juvenile Arctic grayling.....	31
32. Average Pb concentrations (+ 1SD) in juvenile Arctic grayling	32
33. Average Se concentrations (+ 1SD) in juvenile Arctic grayling	32
34. Average Zn concentrations (+ 1SD) in juvenile Arctic grayling	33
35. Average Hg concentrations (+ 1SD) in juvenile Arctic grayling	33
36. Median Cd whole body concentrations in juvenile Dolly Varden.....	34
37. Median whole body Cd concentrations and median Cd water quality data.....	35
38. Median Pb whole body concentrations in juvenile Dolly Varden	35
39. Median whole body Pb concentrations and median Pb water quality data.....	36
40. Median Se whole body concentrations in juvenile Dolly Varden	36
41. Median whole body Se concentrations and median Se water quality data	37

List of Figures (concluded)

42. Median Zn whole body concentrations in juvenile Dolly Varden.....	37
43. Median whole body Zn concentrations and median Zn water quality data	38
44. Median Hg whole body concentrations in juvenile Dolly Varden	38
45. Average Se concentrations in Arctic grayling ovaries (dry weight).....	40
46. Average Cd concentration (dry weight + 1SD) in Dolly Varden (1999-2015).	43
47. Average Cu concentration (dry weight + 1SD) in Dolly Varden (1999-2015).	43
48. Average Pb concentration (dry weight + 1SD) in Dolly Varden (1999-2015).....	44
49. Average Se concentration (dry weight + 1SD) in Dolly Varden (1999-2015).....	44
50. Average Zn concentration (dry weight + 1SD) in Dolly Varden (1999-2015).....	45
51. Average Hg concentration (dry weight + 1SD) in Dolly Varden (1999-2015).	45
52. Average Cd (\pm 1SD) concentration in Dolly Varden kidney tissue.....	46
53. Average Se (\pm 1SD) concentration (mg/kg dry weight) in Dolly Varden ovaries.....	46
54. Aerial survey estimate of the number of Dolly Varden in the Wulik River	47
55. Peak estimates of the number of chum salmon in Ikalukrok Creek	52
56. CPUE for juvenile Dolly Varden in the Red Dog Mine sample reaches in 2015.....	54
57. CPUE of juvenile Dolly Varden in Anxiety Ridge Creek.	55
58. CPUE of juvenile Dolly Varden in Buddy Creek.	55
59. CPUE for juvenile Dolly Varden in lower Mainstem Red Dog Creek.....	56
60. Length frequency distribution of Dolly Varden in the Ikalukrok Creek drainage.....	57
61. Dolly Varden caught in fyke nets fished in North Fork Red Dog Creek.....	58
62. Looking upstream at the fyke net in North Fork Red Dog Creek.....	60
63. Peak daily water temperatures in North Fork Red Dog Creek	61
64. Length frequency distribution of Arctic grayling in spring 2015.	63
65. Average CPUE (fish/day) of immature Arctic grayling in North Fork	63
66. Average CPUE (fish/day) of mature Arctic grayling in North Fork	64
67. Percent of marked fish caught in North Fork Red Dog Creek.....	65
68. Average, maximum, and minimum annual growth of Arctic grayling	65
69. The estimated Arctic grayling population (95% CI).....	66
70. Outlet of Bons Pond – Arctic grayling leaving Bons Pond	67
71. Looking downstream at drift nets in Bons Creek upstream of Bons Pond.....	69
72. Number of Arctic grayling fry caught in drift nets.	69
73. CPUE for all Arctic grayling in Bons Creek.	70
74. CPUE for Arctic grayling < 200 mm in Bons Creek.	70
75. Length frequency distribution of Arctic grayling in Bons Pond in spring 2015.	71
76. Average annual growth (+ 1SD) of Arctic grayling < 250 mm.....	72
77. Average annual growth (+ 1SD) of Arctic grayling > 250 mm.....	72
78. Estimated Arctic grayling population (95% CI) in Bons Pond for fish > 200 mm ...	73
79. Slimy sculpin caught in fyke net in North Fork Red Dog Creek in spring 2015.....	74
80. CPUE of slimy sculpin caught in Mainstem Red Dog Creek	75
81. CPUE of slimy sculpin caught in Ikalukrok Creek at Station 7 (1997 to 2009).....	76

Acknowledgements

We thank Teck for their financial and logistical support for the 2015 aquatic biomonitoring work. We specifically acknowledge the assistance provided by Mr. Jeff Clark, Mr. Wayne Hall, Mr. Chris Eckert, Mr. Darren Jones, Mr. Joseph Diehl III, Mr. Dennis Sheldon, Ms. Karen Conitz, and Mr. Robert Napier.

Ms. Laura Jacobs and Mr. Brad Wendling with the Division of Habitat, Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) and Mr. Brendan Scanlon with Sport Fish Division (ADF&G) provided assistance with laboratory work. Mr. Fred DeCicco (Fisheries Services and Supplies) conducted the fall Dolly Varden (*Salvelinus malma*) and chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) aerial surveys and collected the fall sample of adult Dolly Varden from the Wulik River. Mr. Brad Wendling participated in 2015 field sampling events.

Ms. Laura Jacobs and Ms. Nora Foster (NRF Taxonomic Services) were responsible for sorting and identification of aquatic invertebrates. Mr. Robert Napier provided constructive review of our report.

Executive Summary

- Median metals concentrations (Pb, Zn, Al, Cd) in Mainstem Red Dog Creek are consistently lower when compared with pre-mining data. The pH and total dissolved solids (TDS) in Mainstem Red Dog Creek are higher than pre-mining. Median concentrations of Cd, Pb, and Zn are consistently higher in Mainstem Red Dog Creek as compared with Buddy and North Fork Red Dog creeks and Bons Pond. Teck continued to maintain the clean water bypass system. Median Pb concentrations in Mainstem Red Dog Creek, which had increased from 2011 to 2013, decreased in 2014 and 2015.
- Algal biomass, as estimated by chlorophyll-a concentration, is determined each year at Red Dog. Chlorophyll-a concentrations in 2015 were highest in Bons and Buddy creeks below the falls and lowest in Middle Fork Red Dog Creek. Generally, average chlorophyll-a concentrations are higher in Mainstem Red Dog and North Fork Red Dog creeks as compared with Middle Fork Red Dog Creek. In 13 of 17 years, average chlorophyll-a concentrations in North Fork Red Dog Creek were equal to or higher than in Mainstem Red Dog Creek. Chlorophyll-a concentration continues to track closely with elevated Zn and Cd in Ikalukrok Creek at Station 9. The major source of Cd and Zn at Station 9 is the Cub Creek natural seep.
- Aquatic invertebrate densities are used as an index of stream productivity and health. In 2015, nine sites were sampled and the aquatic invertebrate density was highest in Buddy Creek above the road and in North Fork Red Dog Creek at Station 12. Most sites contained a higher percentage of Chironomidae than EPT (Ephemeroptera Plecoptera Tricoptera) and that is consistent with previous sample years. Taxa richness was highest in 2014 and was the lowest in 2010. Taxa richness is similar in North Fork Red Dog, Mainstem Red Dog, and Buddy creeks.
- Juvenile Arctic grayling from Bons Pond have been analyzed for selected whole body metals in 2004, 2007, 2010, 2014, and 2015. Cadmium concentrations in Arctic grayling are significantly different among the sample years and were the lowest in the spring 2015 sample. The average Pb whole body concentration in 2015 was significantly higher than the Pb concentrations found in 2004 and 2007. Zinc concentrations in Arctic grayling are significantly different among the sample years. All of the Hg concentrations are either at or very near the detection limit (.02 or .04 mg/kg).
- Juvenile Dolly Varden median whole body concentrations of Cd, Pb, and Zn are consistently higher in Mainstem Red Dog Creek than in Buddy and Anxiety Ridge creeks. Cadmium and Zn water quality data track with whole body concentrations, but Pb does not. Median whole body Se concentrations in juvenile Dolly Varden generally are lowest in fish from Anxiety Ridge Creek, but Hg concentrations are higher. Each of our sample sites seems to have its own unique relationship with whole body concentrations of Cd, Pb, Se, Zn, and Hg.
- Selenium concentrations in Arctic grayling ovaries were highest in fish from Bons Pond and lowest in Fish Creek at Ft. Knox Mine near Fairbanks. Selenium concentrations in fish ovaries from 1999 and 2015 from North Fork Red Dog and Fish creeks have not changed.

Executive Summary (concluded)

- Kidney, liver, ovary, testes, and muscle from adult Dolly Varden captured in the Wulik River during spring and fall 2015 were analyzed for Cd, Cu, Pb, Se, Zn, and Hg. None of the analytes measured appear to concentrate in muscle. Various metals do concentrate in specific tissues: Cd in kidney, Cu in liver, Se in kidney and ovary, Zn in ovary, and Hg in kidney.
- The number of overwintering Dolly Varden is estimated each fall in the Wulik River. The number of fish overwintering in the Wulik River has exhibited a decreasing trend since 2006 reaching a low of 21,084 in 2012, but in 2014 and 2015 over 63,000 fish were estimated. Aerial surveys prior to mine development found that over 90% of overwintering Dolly Varden in the Wulik River were located below the mouth of Ikalukrok Creek. Surveys post mining demonstrate the same distribution. Adult Dolly Varden radio-tagged in fall 2014 were monitored during the spring 2015 outmigration.
- Annual aerial surveys assess the distribution of chum salmon in Ikalukrok Creek. The highest estimated number of chum salmon since mining began was 5,733 in 2015. Returns of adult chum salmon to Ikalukrok Creek have been strong the last ten years.
- Resident Dolly Varden (n = 19) were collected with fyke nets in North Fork Red Dog Creek in spring 2015. Juvenile Dolly Varden sampling was conducted in late summer 2015. The total number of juvenile Dolly Varden captured in late July was 196, with the highest catches occurring in Anxiety Ridge and Buddy creeks.
- The Arctic grayling spawning migration into North Fork Red Dog Creek was monitored in spring 2015. We caught 176 Arctic grayling in North Fork Red Dog Creek. It appears that spawning was substantially complete in Mainstem Red Dog Creek by June 1. We were not able to estimate the population of Arctic grayling since we only had one recapture of fish seen in spring 2014.
- Our estimated Arctic grayling population for Bons Pond in 2014 was 573 fish (95% CI, 389 to 758) \geq 200 mm long. The population estimates show a continuous decrease starting in 2005 (population was 6,189 in 2004). Sampling in 2012, 2014, and 2015 however, consisted of a high number of juvenile fish (< 200 mm) suggesting that the population likely will increase in the future. Arctic grayling spawning was observed in Bons Creek and in the outlet channel from Bons Pond in spring 2015.
- Pre-mining slimy sculpin (*Cottus cognatus*) abundance is unknown. Baseline reports indicated that this species was numerous in the Ikalukrok Creek drainage, but uncommon in the Red Dog Creek drainage. Slimy sculpin commonly are captured in Mainstem Red Dog Creek, but highest catches consistently occur in Ikalukrok Creek downstream of the mouth of Dudd Creek.

Introduction

The Red Dog zinc (Zn) and lead (Pb) deposit is located in northwestern Alaska, about 130 km north of Kotzebue and 75 km inland from the Chukchi Sea coast (Figure 1). Mine operations, facilities, surrounding vegetation, and wildlife are described in Weber Scannell and Ott (1998). A chronology of development and operations at the Red Dog Mine is presented in Appendix 1. Aquatic resources in the Wulik River drainage are described in Weber Scannell et al. 2000.

Aquatic biomonitoring has occurred annually since 1995 and has included periphyton, aquatic invertebrate, and fish sampling, including tissue and whole body metals analyses for Dolly Varden (*Salvelinus malma*) and spawning season monitoring for Arctic grayling (*Thymallus arcticus*). The Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) Alaska Pollution Discharge Elimination System Permit No. AK00038652 (APDES Permit) issued to Teck Alaska Incorporated (Teck) allows discharge of up to 2.418 billion gallons of treated effluent per year effective March 1, 2010. The APDES Permit required a bioassessment program that included periphyton, aquatic invertebrates, and fish in selected streams near the Red Dog Mine (Table 1). The bioassessment program became fully effective and enforceable on March 31, 2010.

Table 1. Location of APDES Sample Sites and Factors Measured.

Sample Site	Factors Measured
North Fork Red Dog Creek	Periphyton (chlorophyll-a concentrations) Aquatic Invertebrates (taxonomic richness and abundance) Fish Presence and Use
Mainstem Red Dog Creek	Periphyton (chlorophyll-a concentrations) Aquatic Invertebrates (taxonomic richness and abundance) Fish Presence and Use
Ikalukrok Creek	Periphyton (chlorophyll-a concentrations) Aquatic Invertebrates (taxonomic richness and abundance) Fish Presence and Use




Figure 1. Location of the Red Dog Mine in northwestern Alaska. Map used with permission of Conservation GIS Support Center, Anchorage, Alaska.

On December 2, 2009, the ADEC issued Waste Management Permit No. 0132-BA002 for the Red Dog Mine that included a condition that Teck adhere to the requirements of the monitoring plan submitted by Teck in May 2009. In April 2010 to satisfy conditions in the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and ADEC permits, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) submitted Technical Report #10-04 titled “Methods for Aquatic Life Monitoring to Satisfy Requirements of 2010 NPDES Permit, Red Dog Mine Site (Revision #1)”. Teck’s May 2009 monitoring plan includes sample sites, sampling frequency, and parameters for all aquatic sites, including those required by the APDES Permit (Tables 2 and 3).

Table 2. Location of Sample Sites and Factors Measured.

Location	APDES/ADEC	Location Description	Sampling Frequency	Parameters
Wulik River	ADEC	Kivalina Lagoon upstream to about 10 km upstream of the mouth of Ikalukrok Creek (where the canyon starts)	1/year	Fall aerial surveys for overwintering Dolly Varden
Ikalukrok Creek	ADEC	Lower Ikalukrok Creek to mouth of Dudd Creek	1/year	Fall aerial surveys for adult chum salmon
Station 9	APDES/ADEC	Ikalukrok Creek upstream of confluence with Red Dog Creek	1/year	Periphyton (as chlorophyll-a concentrations)
			1/year	Aquatic invertebrates (monitored for taxonomic richness, abundance, and density)
			1/year	Fish presence and use
Station 160	ADEC	Lower Ikalukrok Creek	1/year	Periphyton (as chlorophyll-a concentrations)
			1/year	Aquatic invertebrates (monitored for taxonomic richness, abundance, and density)
			1/year	Fish presence and use
Station 20	ADEC	Middle Fork Red Dog Creek upstream on confluence with	1/year	Periphyton (as chlorophyll-a concentrations)
			1/year	Aquatic invertebrates (monitored for taxonomic richness, abundance, and density)
Station 10	APDES/ADEC	Mouth of Red Dog Creek	1/year	Periphyton (as chlorophyll-a concentrations)
			1/year	Aquatic invertebrates (monitored for taxonomic richness, abundance, and density)
			1/year	Fish presence and use
			1/year	Juvenile Dolly Varden metals in tissue (Zn, Pb, Se, Hg, and Cd)
Station 12	APDES/ADEC	North Fork Red Dog Creek	1/year	Periphyton (as chlorophyll-a concentrations)
			1/year	Aquatic invertebrates (monitored for taxonomic richness, abundance, and density)
			1/year	Fish presence and use
			1/year	Record of spawning activity (Arctic grayling)
			Periodic	Capture/mark Arctic grayling
Buddy Creek	ADEC	Below falls, about 1.5 km downstream of Haul Road	1/year	Periphyton (as chlorophyll-a concentrations)
			1/year	Aquatic invertebrates (monitored for taxonomic richness, abundance, and density)
			1/year	Fish presence and use
			1/year	Juvenile Dolly Varden metals in tissue (Zn, Pb, Se, Hg, and Cd)
Buddy 221	ADEC	Buddy Creek, above road	1/year	Periphyton (as chlorophyll-a concentrations)
			1/year	Aquatic invertebrates (monitored for taxonomic richness, abundance, and density)
Bons 220	ADEC	Bons Creek, below pond	1/year	Periphyton (as chlorophyll-a concentrations)
			1/year	Aquatic invertebrates (monitored for taxonomic richness, abundance, and density)
Bons Above Pond	ADEC	Above pond	1/year	Periphyton (as chlorophyll-a concentrations)
			1/year	Aquatic invertebrates (monitored for taxonomic richness, abundance, and density)
Anxiety Ridge Creek	ADEC	below DMTS road	1/year	Fish presence and use
			1/year	Juvenile Dolly Varden metals in tissue (Zn, Pb, Se, Hg, and Cd)
Evaingiknuk Creek	ADEC	East of DMTS road	1/year	Fish presence and use
Bons Reservoir	ADEC	Above reservoir spillway	1/year	Juvenile Arctic grayling metals in tissue (Zn, Pb, Se, Hg, and Cd)
			1/year	Arctic grayling population estimate

Table 3. Location of sample sites for Red Dog aquatic biomonitoring study.

Site Type	Water Body	Site ID	Longitude	Latitude
Invert Sites	Bons Creek ds Bons Pond	Station 220	-162.9395	68.0183
Invert Sites	Bons Creek	Bons Creek us Bons Pond	-162.9149	68.0317
Invert Sites	Buddy Creek ds road	Buddy Creek	-162.9628	68.0062
Invert Sites	Buddy Creek us road	Station 221	-162.9362	68.0189
Invert Sites	Ikalukrok Creek	Station 160 (upstream)	-163.0915	67.9856
Invert Sites	Ikalukrok Creek	Station 9 (upstream)	-162.9410	68.0993
Invert Sites	Mainstem Red Dog Creek	Station 10	-162.9433	68.0889
Invert Sites	Middle Fork Red Dog Creek	Station 20	-162.8837	68.0820
Invert Sites	North Fork Red Dog Creek	Station 12	-162.8852	68.0835
Trap Sites	Anxiety Ridge Creek	Anxiety Ridge ds Trap	-162.9589	67.9940
Trap Sites	Anxiety Ridge Creek	Anxiety Ridge us Trap	-162.9509	67.9935
Trap Sites	Buddy Creek ds road	Buddy ds Trap	-162.9629	68.0062
Trap Sites	Buddy Creek ds road	Buddy us Trap	-162.9548	68.0074
Trap Sites	Evaingiknuk Creek	Evaingiknuk Creek ds Trap	-163.00945	67.9655
Trap Sites	Evaingiknuk Creek	Evaingiknuk Creek us Trap	-163.0020	67.9674
Trap Sites	Ikalukrok Creek	Station 160 ds Trap	-163.0921	67.9846
Trap Sites	Ikalukrok Creek	Station 160 us Trap	-163.0895	67.9871
Trap Sites	Ikalukrok Creek	Station 9 ds Trap	-162.9430	68.0971
Trap Sites	Ikalukrok Creek	Station 9 us Trap	-162.9413	68.1008
Trap Sites	Mainstem Red Dog Creek	Station 10 ds Trap	-162.9458	68.0890
Trap Sites	Mainstem Red Dog Creek	Station 10 us Trap	-162.9343	68.0900
Trap Sites	Mainstem Red Dog Creek	Station 151 ds Trap	-162.8999	68.0827
Trap Sites	Mainstem Red Dog Creek	Station 151 us Trap	-162.8921	68.0842
Trap Sites	North Fork Red Dog Creek	Station 12 ds Trap	-162.8833	68.0835
Trap Sites	North Fork Red Dog Creek	Station 12 us Trap	-162.8774	68.0839

ds – represents the location of the most downstream minnow trap
us - represents the location of the most upstream minnow trap

The reinstated limits for total dissolved solids became effective on April 1, 2013, in the APDES Permit. Modification #1 of the APDES Permit which authorizes a mixing zone for selenium (Mixing Zone 2) and adjusts Outfall 001 effluent limits for selenium, came into effect on May 8, 2014.

Teck's monitoring plan is incorporated by reference into the Alaska Department of Natural Resources (ADNR) Reclamation Plan Approval (F20099958) dated December 2, 2009. On March 10, 2010, the U.S. Department of Army issued permit POA-1984-12-M45 to Teck which authorized development of the Aqqaluk Pit. Active mining in the Aqqaluk Pit began during 2012. In addition to mine drainage, certain waste rock from Aqqaluk and treated water were placed in the mined out main pit. Our report presents data collected during summer 2015 and where applicable, we compare these data with previous years.

Structure of Report

Water quality, periphyton standing crop, and aquatic invertebrate data are presented in the first three sections of our report. Metals concentration data for juvenile Dolly Varden and Arctic grayling collected from small streams and Bons Pond, and adult Dolly Varden collected from the Wulik River are then presented. Aerial survey estimates of overwintering Dolly Varden in the Wulik River and chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) spawners in Ikalukrok Creek are covered next. Finally, biological monitoring data for Dolly Varden juveniles, Arctic grayling, and slimy sculpin (*Cottus cognatus*) are presented.

Location of Sample Sites

Biomonitoring is conducted in streams adjacent to and downstream from the Red Dog Mine as required under the APDES Permit No. AK00038652 (Tables 1 and 2 and Figures 2 and 3), and by condition in the ADEC Waste Management Permit, and the ADNR Reclamation Plan Approval. Monitoring sites are located in the Red Dog Creek drainage, Ikalukrok Creek, Bons and Buddy Creek drainage, Anxiety Ridge Creek, and Evaingiknuk Creek.




Figure 2. Location of sample sites (some have a Station #) in the Ikalukrok and Evaingiknuk Creek (a tributary of the Noatak River) drainages.

Description of Streams

All streams in the study area are in the Wulik River drainage, except for Evaingiknuk Creek, which is in the Noatak River drainage. Station numbers correspond either to those used by Dames and Moore (1983) during baseline work or to the current water quality program being conducted by Teck. Water quality and fish data collected during baseline studies (1979 to 1982) represent pre-mining conditions. Comparisons of existing conditions relative to baseline data should take into account that we have many years of data during mining and only a short time frame of baseline data.

Methods

All methods used for the Red Dog Mine aquatic biomonitoring study are described by ADF&G (2010) in Technical Report No. 10-04 titled “Methods for Aquatic Life Monitoring to Satisfy Requirements of 2010 NPDES Permit, Red Dog Mine Site (Revision #1).”

The method detection limit (MDL) in 2000 for copper (Cu), lead (Pb), and selenium (Se) was 50, 20, and 50 µg/L, respectively, for a portion of the samples early in the ice-free season. MDL’s were changed part way through summer 2000 for Cu, Pb, and Se to 1, 2, and 1 µg/L respectively. Because of the high MDL’s used in early 2000, water quality data for these samples are not presented. Water quality data presented in our report are for “total recoverable.” All water quality data are provided by Teck. The number of water quality samples taken each year varies with the permit condition requirements, but for most analytes, samples are collected twice each month with a sample size of 9 to 13 per year per site. Baseline water quality pre-mining data presented in the report were collected from 1979 to 1982.

The abundance of Arctic grayling was estimated using Chapman’s modification of the Lincoln-Petersen two-sample mark-recapture model (Chapman 1951),

$$\hat{N}_c = \left\{ \frac{(n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1)}{(m_2 + 1)} \right\} - 1,$$

where \hat{N}_c = estimated population, n_1 =fish marked in first capture event, n_2 = fish captured during recapture event, and m_2 = fish captured during recapture event that were marked in the capture event. Variance was calculated as (Seber 1982):

$$\text{var}(\hat{N}_c) = \left\{ \frac{(n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1)(n_1 - m_2)(n_2 - m_2)}{(m_2 + 1)^2(m_2 + 2)} \right\}.$$

95% C.I. for the population estimate was calculated as

$$95\% C.I. = N_c \pm (1.960)\sqrt{\text{var}(\hat{N}_c)}.$$

Results and Discussion

Water Quality

Water quality data collected in Mainstem Red Dog Creek prior to 2010 are from Station 10, located near the mouth of the creek. Data from 2010 to 2015 were collected at Station 151 located about 2 km upstream from Station 10. Station 151 is at the downstream end of the mixing zone in Mainstem Red Dog Creek (Figure 3). There are no defined drainages entering Mainstem Red Dog Creek between these two water quality stations. Station 151 replaced Station 10 effective spring 2010. Mainstem Red Dog Creek is directly affected by the treated mine wastewater effluent and by water from the clean water bypass. North Fork Red Dog Creek is a reference site with no direct effects from the mine. We continue to evaluate water quality data collected in Mainstem Red Dog Creek as part of the ongoing aquatic biomonitoring program.



Figure 3. Downstream end of mixing zone in Mainstem Red Dog Creek in late July 2013 (Station 151).

Teck continued to maintain the mine's clean water bypass system which picks up non-mining impacted water from Sulfur, Shelly, Connie, Rachel, and Upper Middle Fork Red Dog creeks (Figure 4). This water is moved through the mine pit area, including by the currently active Aqqaluk pit, to its original channel via a combination of culverts and lined open ditch. These bypass conveyance structures serve to isolate the clean water from contact with areas disturbed by mining activities.




Figure 4. Clean water bypass system at the Red Dog Mine. The Red Dog Creek diversion structure (delineated by labels in the photograph and shown in red) picks up non-mining impacted waters from upstream tributaries and moves them between the Aqqaluk pit and the main pit back to the original Middle Fork Red Dog Creek streambed (flow is from right to left). Figure provided by Teck with modifications made by ADF&G.

Median Pb and Zn concentrations at Station 151/10, downstream of the clean water bypass system, are lower now than pre-mining. However, in some years the maximum Pb concentration is higher than pre-mining (Figures 5 and 6). Median lead concentrations, which had increased from 2011 to 2013, decreased to 4.5 and 4.15 $\mu\text{g/L}$ in 2014 and 2015. Median zinc concentration in 2015 was 350.5 $\mu\text{g/L}$ as compared with a baseline median concentration of 3,700 $\mu\text{g/L}$.




Figure 5. Median, maximum, and minimum Pb concentrations at Station 151/10 (selected median values shown).




Figure 6. Median, maximum, and minimum Zn concentrations at Station 151/10 (selected median values shown).

In 2015, the major sources of Pb were Middle Fork Red Dog Creek (Station 145) upstream of the clean water bypass and Sulfur Creek (a tributary to the clean water bypass). Sulfur Creek had the highest median Pb concentration (Figure 7). The median Pb concentration in Sulfur Creek was 362 in 2013, 122.4 in 2014, and 88.4 $\mu\text{g/L}$ in 2015. Sulfur Creek may eventually be incorporated into the Aqqaluk Pit. Overall, the median Pb concentrations in 2015 were lower than those reported in 2013 and 2014 (Ott and Morris 2014 and 2015).




Figure 7. Median Pb concentrations in 2015 from upstream (Station 145) of the clean water bypass, including tributaries to the clean water bypass (Connie, Rachel, Shelly, and Sulfur), and Station 140 (above the Outfall 001), Outfall 001, and North Fork Red Dog and Mainstem Red Dog Creeks.

Median Al concentrations at Station 10/151 continue to be lower than pre-mining (Figure 8). Cd concentrations are lower than pre-mining conditions (Figure 9). The median Cd concentration in 1983 was 28 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and in summer 2015 it was 2.45 $\mu\text{g/L}$. In most years (1999 to 2015), the maximum Cd concentration is lower than the pre-mining (1983) median value.




Figure 8. Median, maximum, and minimum Al concentrations at Station 151/10 (selected median values shown).




Figure 9. Median, maximum, and minimum Cd concentrations at Station 151/10 (selected median values shown).

Pre-mining data for Se are not available. Median Se concentrations in Mainstem Red Dog Creek remained similar from 2001 to 2007, but then increased reaching a high of 2.75 µg/L in 2011 (Figure 10). In 2012, discharge of treated water was stopped on June 8 and was not resumed the entire open water season due to elevated Se. Treated water was discharged to the main pit for the remainder of the 2012 open water period. After Teck reduced Se in treated water and established a mixing zone in Mainstem Red Dog Creek discharge resumed in 2013 and by summer 2014, the median Se concentration in Mainstem Red Dog Creek was 1.7 µg/L. Median Se concentration in Mainstem Red Dog Creek decreased again in 2015 to 1.45 µg/L.




Figure 10. Median, maximum, and minimum Se concentrations at Station 151/10 (selected median values shown).

Pre-mining data for Ni are not available. Median Ni concentrations at Station 151/10 were highest in 2006 and 2007 (Figure 11). Higher median Ni concentrations were observed first in 2006. The primary source of Ni to the clean water bypass system has been Rachael Creek (Ott and Morris 2010). Median Ni concentration in Mainstem Red Dog Creek was 8.5 μg/L in 2015.




Figure 11. Median, maximum, and minimum Ni concentrations at Station 151/10 (selected median values shown).

The pH at Station 151/10 is higher than pre-mining (Figure 12). The pH is slightly more basic and has only dropped below 6 once, in 2011. The 1990 data set is during mining, but prior to construction of the clean water bypass system. The clean water bypass system was built and operational prior to spring breakup in 1991.




Figure 12. Median, maximum, and minimum pH values at Station 151/10 (selected median values shown).

Total dissolved solids (TDS) in Mainstem Red Dog Creek are higher than pre-mining (Figure 13). TDS is directly related to high concentrations of calcium hydroxide and sulfates in the treated wastewater discharge at Outfall 001. Calcium hydroxide is added to precipitate and collect metals from the tailings water as metal hydroxides prior to discharge. Sulfates released in this process along with the Ca result in the elevated TDS concentrations. TDS concentrations in Mainstem Red Dog Creek in summer 2015 never exceeded the 1,500 mg/L standard applied at Station 151.




Figure 13. Median, maximum, and minimum TDS concentrations at Station 151/10 (selected median values shown).

Cd, Pb, Zn, and Se concentrations in Mainstem Red Dog Creek (Station 151/10) were compared with those found in North Fork Red Dog Creek, Buddy Creek (below the confluence of Bons and Buddy creeks), and Bons Pond (Figures 14 to 17). Sites in North Fork Red Dog and Buddy creeks and Bons Pond were selected because they are reference sites with no direct effects from the mine process or discharge. Mainstem Red Dog Creek is directly downstream of the mine clean water bypass and wastewater effluent discharge at Outfall 001. Buddy Creek and Bons Pond are reference sites, but with the potential to be affected by the road, airport, waste rock dump, and they are down gradient from the tailing backdam. Cadmium, Pb, Zn, and Se were selected for comparison because these elements are analyzed for whole body concentrations in juvenile Arctic grayling from Bons Pond and juvenile Dolly Varden from Mainstem Red Dog, Anxiety Ridge, and Buddy creeks.

Cd, Pb, and Zn median concentrations are highest in Mainstem Red Dog Creek. The major source of these elements is from the clean water bypass and not from the mine discharge of treated water at Outfall 001. (Note: Two graphs are presented for Cd, Pb, and Zn so the differences in North Fork Red Dog and Buddy creeks and Bons Pond can be seen)(Figures 14, 15, and 16). In the three reference sites, Cd and Zn concentrations are stable over the sampling period from 2001 to 2015. Pb concentrations demonstrate more variability, but are still consistently lower in North Fork Red Dog and Buddy creeks and Bons Pond.




Figure 14. Median Cd concentrations in Mainstem Red Dog (MSRD), North Fork Red Dog (NFRD), and Buddy creeks and Bons Pond (2001 to 2015). Two graphs are presented, the bottom graph contains only the reference sites and not Mainstem Red Dog Creek.




Figure 15. Median Pb concentrations in Mainstem Red Dog (MSRD), North Fork Red Dog (NFRD), and Buddy creeks and Bons Pond (2001 to 2015). . Two graphs are presented, the bottom graph contains only the reference sites and not Mainstem Red Dog Creek.





Figure 16. Median Zn concentrations in Mainstem Red Dog (MSRD), North Fork Red Dog (NFRD), and Buddy creeks and Bons Pond (2001 to 2015). Two graphs are presented, the bottom graph contains only the reference sites and not Mainstem Red Dog Creek.

Differences in Se among these sites are not substantial (Figure 17). Most of the Se concentrations range from 1 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (the detection limit) to 3.0 $\mu\text{g/L}$.




Figure 17. Median Se concentrations in Mainstem Red Dog (MSRD), North Fork Red Dog (NFRD), and Buddy creeks and Bons Pond (2001 to 2015).

Periphyton Standing Crop

Periphyton attached microalgae biomass samples are collected each year (2015 data, Appendix 2). Under the new program initiated in 2010, sampling occurred at nine sites (Table 2). In 2015, samples were collected at all sites. Periphyton samples are processed in the laboratory and standing crop determined as mg/m^2 chlorophyll-a.

Average chlorophyll-a concentrations in 2015 were highest in Bons Creek below Bons Pond ($11.5 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^2$) and lowest in Middle Fork Red Dog Creek ($0.2 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^2$) (Figure 18). Periphyton standing crops also were high in Buddy Creek ($6.1 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^2$) below the falls.




Figure 18. Average concentration of chlorophyll-a ($\pm 1\text{SD}$).

Average chlorophyll-a concentrations in Middle Fork Red Dog, Mainstem Red Dog, and North Fork Red Dog creeks are presented in Figure 19. Generally, average chlorophyll-a concentrations are higher in Mainstem Red Dog and North Fork Red Dog creeks as compared with Middle Fork Red Dog Creek. In 13 of 17 years, average chlorophyll-a concentrations in North Fork Red Dog Creek were equal to or higher than in Mainstem Red Dog Creek. Low chlorophyll-a concentrations in Middle Fork Red Dog Creek probably are related to higher metals concentrations and higher TDS in the creek. Most of the metals in Middle Fork Red Dog Creek originate from the clean water bypass and its tributaries as metals concentrations in the waste water discharge from Outfall 001 are

very low. Most the TDS in Middle Fork Red Dog Creek is from the waste water discharge at Outfall 001.




Figure 19. Average concentration of chlorophyll-a.

Periphyton standing crop tracks closely with elevated Zn and Cd in Ikalukrok Creek at Station 9 which is just upstream of the mouth of Mainstem Red Dog Creek. Water quality at this site is not affected by water from the Red Dog Mine facility, but is affected by natural mineral seeps located upstream and along Ikalukrok Creek (Ott and Morris, 2007). Chlorophyll-a concentrations are higher when the Zn and Cd concentrations are lower (Figures 20 and 21). The variability seen from 2002 to 2015 may be natural as both Cd and Zn concentrations remained low and consistent during this time frame. The major source of Zn and Cd to Ikalukrok Creek is the Cub Creek seep (Figure 22).




Figure 20. Average chlorophyll-a concentrations versus Zn in Ikalukrok Creek (red line is chlorophyll and blue line is zinc).




Figure 21. Average chlorophyll-a concentrations versus Cd in Ikalukrok Creek (red line is chlorophyll and blue line is cadmium).



Figure 22. Ikalukrok Creek at the Cub Creek seep about 10 km upstream of the mouth of Mainstem Red Dog Creek – note iron staining in and along the edge of Cub Creek. Photograph by P. Bradley, ADF&G, July 2015.

Aquatic Invertebrates

Aquatic invertebrate samples are collected annually using drift nets (Appendix 3). In 2015, nine sites were sampled July 9-12. Flows were moderate with gravel bars exposed at sample sites. In 2015, aquatic invertebrate density was highest in Buddy Creek (above road) and in North Fork Red Dog Creek at Station 12 (Figure 23).




Figure 23. Average aquatic invertebrate densities ($\pm 1SD$) in all sample sites in early July 2015. Selected average values shown.

Buddy Creek (above road) generally has higher aquatic invertebrate densities than the other sample sites, but there still is a large degree of variability among sample years. The average aquatic invertebrate density in Buddy Creek (above road) has varied from a low of 3.8 to a high of 164.5 per m³ (Figure 24).




Figure 24. The average aquatic invertebrate density ($\pm 1SD$) in Buddy Creek upstream of the road. Selected averages shown.

Aquatic invertebrate densities in North Fork Red Dog Creek generally are higher than in Mainstem Red Dog Creek (Figure 25). In 16 out of 17 years, the aquatic invertebrate density was higher in North Fork Red Dog Creek than in Mainstem Red Dog Creek.




Figure 25. Average aquatic invertebrate densities in North Fork Red Dog and Mainstem Red Dog creeks.

The percent Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera (EPT) and the percent Chironomidae for sample sites in 2015 are presented in Figure 26. All sites, except for Buddy Creek above the road and both sites in North Fork Red Dog Creek, contained a higher percentage of Chironomidae in 2015. Trichoptera are not common in our samples and are not a substantial contributor to EPT. Generally, the aquatic systems in the Red Dog Mine area are dominated by Chironomidae which is one of the primary food items of the fish species (e.g. Arctic grayling and Dolly Varden) using these creeks.




Figure 26. Percent EPT and Chironomidae in the aquatic invertebrate samples in early July 2015.

The percent EPT in North Fork Red Dog and Mainstem Red Dog creeks was low in 2001 and from 2008 to 2011 (Figures 27 and 28). Buddy Creek in certain years (2004, 2011, 2012, 2014, and 2015) had a much higher percentage of EPT than either North Fork Red Dog or Mainstem Red Dog creeks (Figure 29). In most years since 1999, the percent Chironomidae in North Fork Red Dog and Mainstem Red Dog Creeks has been higher than the percent EPT.




Figure 27. Percent EPT and Chironomidae in North Fork Red Dog Creek.




Figure 28. Percent EPT and Chironomidae in Mainstem Red Dog Creek.




Figure 29. Percent EPT and Chironomidae in Buddy Creek. Aquatic invertebrate sampling in the Buddy Creek drainage began in 2004.

We compared taxa richness for the three sample sites in North Fork Red Dog, Mainstem Red Dog, and Buddy creeks (Figure 30). Taxa richness was highest in 2014 and was the lowest in 2010. Overall taxa richness is similar among these sites.




Figure 30. Aquatic invertebrate taxa richness in North Fork Red Dog, Mainstem Red Dog, and Buddy creeks.

Metal Concentrations in Juvenile Arctic Grayling and Dolly Varden

We sample juvenile Arctic grayling and Dolly Varden to determine whole body concentrations of selected elements. The purpose of this effort is: (1) to determine if differences exist in element concentrations in fish among the sample sites that can be linked with background water quality; and (2) to track change over time.

Juvenile Arctic grayling were selected for long-term monitoring after we had successfully established a self-sustaining population in Bons Pond. Arctic grayling captured in Bons Pond have been in the pond system, including tributaries for their entire life. Arctic grayling that leave Bons Pond must go over a waterfall that prohibits upstream/return movement of fish. Therefore, these Arctic grayling serve as an indicator of change over time in Bons Pond. We selected juvenile Arctic grayling that were between 140 and 220 mm long to minimize variability due to age. In 2010, we refined the sample effort to include only Arctic grayling between 150 and 200 mm long. Fish samples are collected during the spring sample event when fish are moving from Bons Pond into Bons Creek.

Juvenile Dolly Varden were selected as a target species because of their wide distribution in the Red Dog area streams, their residence in freshwater for two to four years before smolting, and their rearing in the selected sample sites only during the ice-free season. Juvenile Dolly Varden are collected opportunistically from Mainstem Red Dog, Anxiety Ridge, and Buddy creeks during the minnow trap sample event in late summer. Ott and Morris (2004) found no relationship between fish length and whole body concentrations of selected elements for pre-smolt sized Dolly Varden. To minimize age-related variability, we targeted juvenile Dolly Varden from 90 to 140 mm (likely 2 and 3 year old fish), and collected all samples in August after fish have likely spent most of the summer in the sample reach. Fish larger than 140 mm were excluded because they could be resident fish and may be much older than the fish from 90 to 140 mm long. Our preferred sample size for both juvenile Arctic grayling and Dolly Varden is 15 each year, recognizing that in some years we do not achieve this goal.

In spring 2015, we collected 15 Arctic grayling from Bons Creek just upstream of Bons Pond (Appendix 4). The average length of these fish was $163.6 \pm 1SD = 11.3$ mm. Multiple pairwise comparisons using Dunn's procedure/two-tailed test were conducted on the whole body metals concentrations among sample years. In the figures presented for Arctic grayling, different lower case letters represent averages that are statistically different. Note, that for the 2015 juvenile Arctic grayling sample only 14 fish were analyzed, one fish was accidentally dropped by the analytical lab.

Cadmium concentrations in Bons Pond juvenile Arctic grayling are significantly different among some of the sample years. The highest average Cd was 0.27 mg/kg in 2014 and the lowest average Cd concentration was 0.16 in 2015 sample (Figure 31).




Figure 31. Average Cd concentrations (\pm 1SD) in juvenile Arctic grayling collected in the Bons Pond drainage (whole body dry weight).

The average Pb concentrations in juvenile Arctic grayling from Bons Pond were highest in 2014 and 2015. The average whole body concentration in 2015 was significantly higher than the Pb concentrations found in 2004 and 2007 (Figure 32).




Figure 32. Average Pb concentrations (\pm 1SD) in juvenile Arctic grayling collected in the Bons Pond drainage (whole body dry weight).

The average Se concentration (14.6 mg/kg) for whole body juvenile Arctic grayling was highest in spring 2014 (Figure 33). Average Se concentration in 2014 is significantly higher than samples from 2004, 2007, and 2010.




Figure 33. Average Se concentrations (\pm 1SD) in juvenile Arctic grayling collected in the Bons Pond drainage (whole body dry weight).

The average Zn concentration in Arctic grayling in Bons Pond has varied from a high of 97 mg/kg in 2010 to a low of 68 mg/kg in 2004 (Figure 34). The average Zn concentration in the fish from 2007 and 2010 are significantly higher than the fish in the 2004 and 2014 sample.




Figure 34. Average Zn concentrations (\pm 1SD) in juvenile Arctic grayling collected in the Bons Pond drainage (whole body dry weight).

Average Hg concentrations in juvenile Arctic grayling varied from 0.02 mg/kg (the detection limit) to 0.05 mg/kg (Figure 35). Average Hg concentrations from the 2007, 2010, and 2015 sample are not statistically different. Fish from the 2004 and 2014 samples had average Hg concentrations that were at or below the detection limit.




Figure 35. Average Hg concentrations (\pm 1SD) in juvenile Arctic grayling collected in the Bons Pond drainage (whole body dry weight).

In late summer 2015, we collected juvenile Dolly Varden for whole body metals analysis from Mainstem Red Dog (n=15), Buddy (n=15), and Anxiety Ridge creeks (n=15) (Appendix 5). Since water quality concentrations of Cd, Pb, and Zn are highest in Mainstem Red Dog Creek, we expect to see higher concentrations of these metals in whole body samples of juvenile Dolly Varden. However, as noted in the Water Quality section of this report, specific metal concentrations are substantially higher in the clean water bypass than in the treated mine discharge water. The main source of Cd, Pb, and Zn to Mainstem Red Dog creek is the waters from the clean water bypass.

Whole body Cd concentrations (median value) are higher in juvenile Dolly Varden collected from Mainstem Red Dog Creek and consistently lowest in Anxiety Ridge Creek (Figure 36). Highest median Cd concentrations occurred at all three sites in 2006. Median Cd concentrations have been below 1 mg/kg in fish from Buddy Creek since 2007 and Anxiety Ridge Creek since 2005. Among data for Mainstem Red Dog Creek changes in whole body Cd concentrations seem to track closely with the water quality data (Figure 37).




Figure 36 Median Cd whole body concentrations in juvenile Dolly Varden from 2005 to 2015.




Figure 37. Median whole body Cd concentrations and median Cd water quality data for Mainstem Red Dog Creek.

Median whole body Pb concentrations in juvenile Dolly Varden are consistently higher in Mainstem Red Dog Creek than in Buddy and Anxiety Ridge creeks, which have similar Pb concentrations (Figure 38). Lead concentrations in the water of Mainstem Red Dog Creek have been highly variable since 2005 and there does not seem to be any relationship between Pb in the water and Pb in whole body samples from Mainstem Red Dog Creek juvenile Dolly Varden (Figure 39).




Figure 38. Median Pb whole body concentrations in juvenile Dolly Varden from 2005 to 2015.




Figure 39. Median whole body Pb concentrations and median Pb water quality data for Mainstem Red Dog Creek.

Median whole body Se concentrations in juvenile Dolly Varden generally are lowest in fish from Anxiety Ridge Creek (Figure 40). Whole body Se concentrations in juvenile Dolly Varden from Mainstem Red Dog Creek have increased from 2009 to 2015. Among data for Mainstem Red Dog Creek there doesn't seem to be any relationship between Se in the water and the quantity of Se in whole body juvenile Dolly Varden (Figure 41).




Figure 40. Median Se whole body concentrations in juvenile Dolly Varden from 2005 to 2015.




Figure 41. Median whole body Se concentrations and median Se water quality data for Mainstem Red Dog Creek.

Median Zn whole body concentrations are highest in fish from Mainstem Red Dog Creek and lowest in fish from Anxiety Ridge Creek (Figure 42). Zinc whole body concentrations have decreased from a high of 351 mg/kg in 2007 to a low of 160 mg/kg in 2009 in Mainstem Red Dog Creek. Minor changes in Zn whole body concentrations have occurred since 2009. Generally among Mainstem Red Dog Creek data, as Zn concentrations in the water have decreased so have whole body Zn concentrations (Figure 43).




Figure 42. Median Zn whole body concentrations in juvenile Dolly Varden from 2005 to 2015.




Figure 43. Median whole body Zn concentrations and median Zn water quality data for Mainstem Red Dog Creek.

Median Hg concentrations in juvenile Dolly Varden are consistently higher in Anxiety Ridge Creek and very similar between Buddy and Mainstem Red Dog creeks (Figure 44).




Figure 44. Median Hg whole body concentrations in juvenile Dolly Varden from 2005 to 2015.

Selenium Concentrations in Adult Arctic Grayling

In spring 1999, 2014, and 2015, we collected Arctic grayling females at selected sites in northwestern Alaska near the Red Dog Mine and at sites located in Interior Alaska. Samples were collected from the Chena River (Fairbanks), the water supply reservoir (upper Fish Creek) at the Fort Knox Mine, and from Bons Pond and North Fork Red Dog Creek near the Red Dog Mine. The purpose of this collection effort was to compare the Se concentration in the ovaries of Arctic grayling among sites and over time. Literature suggests that Se concentrates in the ovaries of fishes and can have adverse effects on reproductive success. Selenium accumulation in the eggs of fish has been shown to yield the most robust relationship with the occurrence of deformities and reduced survival of offspring.

Bons Pond and the Fort Knox water supply reservoir support Arctic grayling. Both populations occur upstream of an earthen fill dam that is a barrier to upstream movement of fish. At Fort Knox, the Arctic grayling overwinter in the water supply reservoir and spawn in tributaries. In Bons Pond, the Arctic grayling overwinter in the pond and spawn in Bons Creek and in the outlet of Bons Pond. Our Arctic grayling ovary samples from these two sites are from fish that have spent their entire life history in these waterbodies.

Selenium concentration in the ovaries of Arctic grayling, along with size, weight, and age of each fish are contained in Appendix 6. Fort Knox Arctic grayling were the youngest while Bons Pond fish are the oldest. The Fort Knox Arctic grayling had an average age of 4.1 ± 0.7 years (1SD) while the Bons Pond fish averaged 15.3 ± 4.5 years (1SD). The highest degree of variability in the age of females occurs in North Fork Red Dog Creek where one female was aged at 26 (average ± 12.7 1SD).

Statistical comparisons were made among the sample areas and over time using a Kruskal-Wallis (K-W) test. Following rejection of the K-W test, a multiple pairwise comparison/two tailed Dunn's test was used to analyze the specific pairs to determine stochastic dominance. Average Se concentrations in ovaries from Fish Creek (the Fort Knox reservoir) and from Bons Pond were statistically different ($p < 0.0001$) (Figure 45).

There were no statistical differences at each site with respect to time. Furthermore, the Chena River and North Fork Red Dog Creek were not statistically different from either Bons Pond or the Fort Knox water supply reservoir.




Figure 45. Average Se concentrations in Arctic grayling ovaries (dry weight) from North Fork Red Dog Creek, Fish Creek, Chena River, and Bons Pond.

Selenium concentrations found in the ovaries of Bons Pond Arctic grayling are higher than draft proposed standards, while Se concentrations in Fish Creek Arctic grayling are substantially lower. Arctic grayling from North Fork Red Dog Creek are equal to or slightly higher than the proposed draft standards. Selenium concentrations over time in North Fork Red Dog Creek, Bons Pond, and Fish Creek have not changed; however, abundance estimates of Arctic grayling has shown that the population has steadily decreased from 2007 to 2014. The decrease in the Bons Pond Arctic grayling population might be due to elevated Se in the ovaries, but it also may be related to the fact that this introduced population expanded rapidly after their introduction in 1994 and 1995. The decrease in the number of Arctic grayling in Bons Pond may be related to predation of larger fish on age-0 recruits since there is no separation of age classes by habitat type. Recent sampling in Bons Pond indicates that there is an increasing number of smaller fish and we expect to see the population of adult fish (≥ 200 mm) increase in the future.

The North Fork Red Dog Creek population has been relatively stable over time and recruitment of new fish has been very strong for the last seven years. The Arctic grayling population in Fish Creek has been variable over the sample years and population changes have been linked closely with access to spawning habitat and access from spawning and rearing habitat to overwintering which can be adversely affected by beaver activity.

Metal Concentrations in Adult Dolly Varden

We sample adult Dolly Varden from the Wulik River (Station 2) about 2 km downstream from the mouth of Ikalukrok Creek, near Tutak Creek for selected element concentrations in kidney, liver, muscle, and reproductive tissue (Weber Scannell et al. 2000). The sample size for each spring and fall sample period is six fish, except for the fall 2002 sample, when only five fish were caught.

The purposes of sampling adult Dolly Varden for element concentration is to monitor changes in tissue concentrations and to provide a database for use by other professionals. It is unlikely that tissue element concentrations in adult fish could be related to events at the Red Dog Mine, since Dolly Varden attain the majority of their growth while in the marine environment. All laboratory work has been done with Level III Quality Assurance. Data for 2015 are presented in Appendix 7.

Certain elements are known to concentrate preferentially in certain organs; however, the relationship of organ concentration to ambient environmental concentrations is unknown. Concentrations of selected metals vary with season, age, size, weight, and feeding habits of fish (Jenkins 1980) and in the case of anadromous Dolly Varden, the metals concentrations vary with exposure to freshwater and marine environments. None of the analytes we measure appear to concentrate in muscle tissue.

Analyte concentration in various tissues is summarized below and in Figures 46 through 51: One figure is presented for each analyte and contains data for all fish handled from 1999 to 2015.

- Cd concentrates in kidney tissue (Figure 46)
- Cu concentrates in liver tissue and eggs (Figure 47);
- Pb does not concentrate in any specific tissue (Figure 48);
- Se concentrates in kidney and eggs (Figure 49);
- Zn concentrates in eggs (Figure 50); and
- Hg concentrates in kidney tissue (Figure 51).




Figure 46. Average Cd concentration (dry weight \pm 1SD) in Dolly Varden (1999-2015).




Figure 47. Average Cu concentration (dry weight \pm 1SD) in Dolly Varden (1999-2015).




Figure 48. Average Pb concentration (dry weight \pm 1SD) in Dolly Varden (1999-2015).




Figure 49. Average Se concentration (dry weight \pm 1SD) in Dolly Varden (1999-2015).




Figure 50. Average Zn concentration (dry weight \pm 1SD) in Dolly Varden (1999-2015).




Figure 51. Average Hg concentration (dry weight \pm 1SD) in Dolly Varden (1999-2015).

We statistically compared average Cd concentrations in the kidney of adult Dolly Varden over all samples collected in spring and fall since 1999 (n = 197). Statistical comparisons of Cd concentrations from spring and fall fish found that the only statistically significant difference occurred between the 2006 and 2011 spring samples ($p \leq 0.0001$) (Figure 52).




Figure 52. Average Cd (\pm 1SD) concentration in Dolly Varden kidney tissue from 1999 to 2015.

We statistically compared average Se concentrations in Dolly Varden ovaries over all samples collected in spring (n = 46) and fall (n = 70) since 1999. There was no statistical difference between the average Se concentrations between years for fall samples, or between years for spring samples. However, when the average Se concentrations for all the fall fish were compared to the average of all spring fish, the fall fish had a statistically higher average Se concentration ($p \leq 0.0001$) (Figure 53).




Figure 53. Average Se (\pm 1SD) concentration (mg/kg dry weight) in Dolly Varden ovaries from 1999 to 2015.

Dolly Varden, Overwintering

Two aerial surveys to estimate the number of overwintering Dolly Varden in the Wulik River were conducted in 2015, September 13 and 15. Surveys were conducted with an R-44 helicopter provided by Teck (DeCicco 2015). Weather conditions were excellent for both counts with mostly clear skies and very light winds. Estimated flow in the Wulik River was 723 cfs on September 13 and 630 cfs on September 15. Counts were averaged by river section to come up with a final number for each river section. A third survey was attempted on October 7, 2015, but conditions did not allow for a reliable count. Counts began slightly upstream of Kivalina Lagoon.

Late September estimates of Dolly Varden have decreased annually since 2005, reached their lowest (21,084) number in 2012, but then increased in fall 2014 (63,951) and fall 2015 (71,474) (Figure 54, Table 4, and Appendix 8). We hypothesized that, similar to some recent salmon migrations in the Arctic, Dolly Varden may be delaying their migration until later in the fall and that the aerial survey may need to be conducted later in the season.




Figure 54. Aerial survey estimate of the number of Dolly Varden in the Wulik River just prior to freezeup.

The number of Dolly Varden estimated in the fall in the Wulik River varies annually. Survey results in 2015 found that 100% of the fish observed were downstream of the mouth of Ikalukrok Creek. Only in 1980 and 2004 has the percentage of fish below Ikalukrok Creek been less than 90% (Table 4). Continued use of this section of the Wulik River by the majority of overwintering Dolly Varden suggests that conditions have not changed to alter the distribution of these fish.

The ADF&G Sport Fish Division deployed a DIDSON® (Dual frequency Identification SONar) side-scanning sonar in the Wulik River in spring 2014 and spring 2015 to estimate the number of Dolly Varden leaving the Wulik River to feed in marine waters (Scanlon and Savereide 2014; Savereide and Scanlon 2015). In 2015, the sonar operated from the evening of May 31 to the morning of June 12. Installation of the sonar went well and it was calibrated correctly. It appears that the timing of the sonar deployment was good as there was almost no fish movement for the first three days of operation. Counts completed during the sample period had 26,613 fish moving downstream and 26,577 fish moving upstream, with much milling behavior observed. It is likely that had the sonar been operated for a longer period of time, we would have been able to count the entire outmigration. In addition, moving the sonar unit upriver from the deep pool it was operating in to a shallow riffle likely would have eliminated the milling behavior observed and would have produced a count almost entirely of fish moving downstream.

Based on the extended period of outmigration of Dolly Varden to the Chukchi Sea (possibly up to 3 weeks) and the logistical and time commitment required to operate the sonar and camp long enough to enumerate the entire run, it does not appear that enumerating outmigrating fish using sonar is a financially practical alternative to fall aerial surveys at this time (Savereide and Scanlon 2015).

Table 4. Estimated number of Dolly Varden in the Wulik River.

	Wulik River upstream of	Wulik River downstream of	Total	Percent of Fish downstream of
Year	Ikalukrok Creek	Ikalukrok Creek	Fish	Ikalukrok Creek
Before Mining				
1979	3,305	51,725	55,030	94
1980	12,486	101,067	113,553	89
1981	4,125	97,136	101,261	96
1982	2,300	63,197	65,497	97
1984	370	30,483	30,853	99
1987	893	60,397	61,290	99
1988	1,500	78,644	80,144	98
During Mining				
1989	2,110	54,274	56,384	96
1991	7,930	119,055	126,985	94
1992	750	134,385	135,135	99
1993	7,650	136,488	144,138	95
1994	415	66,337	66,752	99
1995	240	128,465	128,705	99
1996	1,010	59,995	61,005	98
1997	2,295	93,117	95,412	98
1998	6,350	97,693	104,043	94
1999	2,750	67,954	70,704	96
2001	2,020	90,594	92,614	98
2002	1,675	42,582	44,257	96
2004	16,486	84,320	100,806	84
2005	10,645	110,203	120,848	91
2006	4,758	103,594	108,352	96
2007	5,503	93,808	99,311	94
2008	271	71,222	71,493	99
2009	122	60,876	60,998	99
2010	70	36,248	36,318	99
2011	637	62,612	63,249	99
2012	0	21,084	21,084	100
2013	114	21,945	22,059	99
2014	610	63,341	63,951	99
2015	10	71,474	71,484	100

Chum Salmon, Spawning

ADF&G conducts annual aerial surveys to assess the distribution of adult chum salmon in Ikalukrok Creek from its confluence with the Wulik River upstream to Dudd Creek (Table 5, Figure 55, and Appendix 9). In fall 2015, we flew a survey using an R-44 helicopter. An estimated 5,733 chum salmon (live and dead) were observed in Ikalukrok Creek on September 13 (DeCicco 2015). Weather conditions were excellent with mostly clear skies and very light winds. All chum salmon observed were below Station 160 on Ikalukrok Creek, the furthest downstream location at which the instream TDS limits apply (from July 25 through the end of the discharge season). The fall 2015 count of chum salmon (5,733) is our highest count of chum salmon since mining began at Red Dog. Our previous high estimate was in 2006, when we estimated 4,185 chum salmon in Ikalukrok Creek.

Counts of chum salmon in Ikalukrok Creek in 1990 and 1991 (mine discharge began in 1989) were lower than reported in baseline studies in 1981 and 1982. It should be noted that the reported number of chum salmon in 1981 was an extrapolation based on aerial photographs and, therefore, is not comparable to other datasets.

Annual aerial surveys, post-mining, were initiated in 1990. Based on the number of chum salmon counted in the Ikalukrok Creek drainage during 1981 and 1982 and recognizing that the 1981 estimate was an extrapolation, data collected suggest that the chum salmon population may have recovered to pre-mining numbers by the mid-1990s and at the latest by the early 2000s.

Table 5. Number of chum salmon adults in Ikalukrok Creek.

Survey Date	Number of Chum Salmon	Reference
September 1981	3,520 to 6,960 ¹	Houghton and Hilgert 1983
August September 1982	353 to 1,400	Houghton and Hilgert 1983
August 1984	994	DeCicco 1990
August 1986	1,985	DeCicco 1990
August 1990	<70	Ott et al. 1992
August 1991	<70	Ott et al. 1992
August 16, 1995	49	Townsend and Lunderstadt 1995
August 1995	300 to 400	DeCicco 1995
August 11, 1996	180	Townsend and Hemming 1996
August 12, 1997	730 to 780	Ott and Simperts 1997
1998	no survey	
August 9, 1999	75	Ott and Morris 1999
2000	no survey	
August 7, 2001	850	Morris and Ott 2001
August 28, 2001	2,250	DeCicco 2001b
August 29, 2001	1,836	DeCicco 2001b
September 23, 2001	500	DeCicco 2001c
October 8, 2001	232	DeCicco 2001a
August 5, 2002	890	Ott and Townsend 2002
August 11, 2003	218	Townsend and Ingalls 2003
August 26, 2004	405	Townsend and Conley 2004
August 29, 2005	350	Thompson 2005
August 14, 2006	4,185	Ott and Timothy 2006
August 11, 2007	1,408 and 1,998 ²	Ott and Townsend 2007
August 6, 2008	3,820	Ott and Jacobs 2008
July 31, 2009	100	Ott and Benkert 2009
September 25, 2009	2,051	DeCicco 2009
September 24, 2010	1,358	DeCicco 2010
September 26, 2011	1,507	DeCicco 2011
September 29, 2012	1,198	DeCicco 2012
September 19, 2013	1,480	DeCicco 2013
September 21, 2014	2,406	DeCicco 2014
September 13, 2015	5,733	DeCicco 2015

¹Chum salmon count was an estimation based on extrapolation from aerial photographs

²Counts were made independently by Ott and Townsend




Figure 55. Peak estimates of the number of chum salmon in Ikalukrok Creek before mining and during mining. Note, the chum salmon count in 1981 was an estimation based on extrapolation from aerial photographs.

Dolly Varden, Juveniles

Limited pre-mining juvenile Dolly Varden distribution data are available for streams in the Red Dog Mine area. Houghton and Hilgert (1983) identified Anxiety Ridge Creek as the most productive system in the project area. They also reported finding only one Dolly Varden in the North Fork Red Dog Creek drainage and presumed it was a resident fish. Surveys along Mainstem Red Dog Creek reported either few fish or no fish, and in some cases mortalities of small juvenile Dolly Varden and Arctic grayling fry (EVS Consultants Ltd and Ott Water Engineers 1983, Ward and Olson 1980).

We have sampled for juvenile Dolly Varden in streams within the Red Dog Mine area since 1990. We added new sample sites and increased the number of minnow traps (10) per sample reach in 1992. Under the modified program that began in 2010, we sample eight sites with 10 minnow traps per sample reach with about 24 hrs of effort in early-to-mid August (Table 6, Appendix 10). Seven of these sites are unchanged in location and the new Station 160 corresponds to Station 7 – instead of being immediately downstream of Dudd Creek, it is now located about 7 km downstream.

Table 6. Location of juvenile Dolly Varden sample sites.

Site Name	Station No.	Year Sampling Started
Evaingiknuk Creek		1990
Anxiety Ridge Creek		1990
Buddy Creek		1996
North Fork Red Dog Creek	12	1993
Mainstem Red Dog Creek	151	1995
Mainstem Red Dog Creek	10	1996
Ikalukrok Creek above Mainstem	9	1996
Ikalukrok Creek below Dudd	7/160	1990

Minnow traps are the preferred sampling gear for juvenile Dolly Varden because they are very effective for the species and age classes present, the gear is suitable for sample areas in large to small streams, the effort is uniform across sample sites, variability due to sampler-induced bias is reduced, and there is very low fish mortality. Mortalities do occur and these are associated with flow increases or a large juvenile (> 150 mm) becoming gilled in the entrance to the minnow trap. Juvenile Dolly Varden generally are the most numerous fish species present and are distributed most widely in the sample area. Our objective is to assess numbers of fish using streams over time and to sample juvenile Dolly Varden for whole body metal analyses from selected streams. Data relevant to whole body metal analyses of juvenile Dolly Varden were presented in a previous section of this report.

Dolly Varden Catches and Metrics

The relative abundance of juvenile Dolly Varden varies considerably among sample years (Appendix 11); however, the catches among the sample sites follow similar patterns. Generally, the CPUE (total number of fish for ten traps fished for 24 hr) in Anxiety and Buddy creeks is higher than at the other sample reaches. In 2015, the CPUE was highest in Anxiety Ridge Creek (97.0) and lowest in Upper Mainstem Red Dog Creek (1.5) (Figure 56).




Figure 56. CPUE for juvenile Dolly Varden in the Red Dog Mine sample reaches in 2015.

Natural environmental conditions such as duration of breakup, patterns and magnitude of rainfall, ambient air temperatures, and the strength of the age-1 cohort affect distribution of juveniles and relative abundance. Probably the most important factor is the strength of the age-1 cohort which is directly related to number of spawners, spawning success, and survival the previous winter. The CPUE for juvenile Dolly Varden in Anxiety Ridge and Buddy creeks from 1997 to 2015 reflects the high degree of variability among sample years (Figures 57 and 58). The CPUE follows a similar pattern between Anxiety Ridge Creek and Buddy Creek.




Figure 57. CPUE of juvenile Dolly Varden in Anxiety Ridge Creek.




Figure 58. CPUE of juvenile Dolly Varden in Buddy Creek.

The CPUE for lower Mainstem Red Dog Creek from 1997 to 2015 is presented in Figure 59. The CPUE ranged from a low of 0.0 in 2004 to a high of 73.3 in 1999. A similar pattern was found for Anxiety Ridge and Buddy creeks. Catches since 2000 in lower Mainstem Red Dog Creek have remained low, but relatively consistent. Use of lower Mainstem Red Dog Creek by juvenile Dolly Varden is substantially greater than what was found by Houghton and Hilgert (1983) during baseline studies before mine development.




Figure 59. CPUE for juvenile Dolly Varden in lower Mainstem Red Dog Creek.

Anadromous Dolly Varden spend at least one year in freshwater before their migration to the marine environment (DeCicco 1990). Adult Dolly Varden collected from the Wulik River (1999 to 2015) had an average freshwater residency of 2.9 ± 0.6 years (1 SD, n = 134). Based on length frequency distributions for juvenile Dolly Varden captured in 2015, it is likely most fish were age 1+. Small Dolly Varden (< 70 mm) captured in late July and August likely were age-0 and in 2015 only six were < 70 mm (Figure 60). In previous sample years (1997 to 2015 – excluding 2012 and 2013 when minnow traps were not fished due to high water), some age-0 fish were present in our catches in 13 out of 17 years.




Figure 60. Length frequency distribution of Dolly Varden in the Ikalukrok Creek drainage in fall 2015.

In our catches of Dolly Varden in the Ikalukrok Creek drainage we capture some fish that are > 145 mm. Some of these fish are resident and have not been to the marine environment. These resident fish are identified by their coloration (orange dots and white edges on the pelvic fins) and sexual condition (milt observed). During spring each year, fyke net(s) are fished in North Fork Red Dog Creek for the primary purpose of catching Arctic grayling. However, Dolly Varden also are caught in the fyke nets and generally these fish are larger than those caught later in the summer in minnow traps. In spring 2015, we caught 19 Dolly Varden that averaged 192 mm long (Figure 61). Many of the Dolly Varden caught in North Fork Red Dog Creek are freshwater resident fish.




Figure 61. Dolly Varden caught in fyke nets fished in North Fork Red Dog Creek in spring during the Arctic grayling spawning run. Average, maximum, and minimum lengths are shown for each sample year.

Arctic Grayling, Red Dog Creek Drainage

Before mine development, Arctic grayling adults migrated through Mainstem Red Dog Creek in spring when flows were high and metals concentrations were low (Ward and Olsen 1980, EVS and Ott Water Engineers 1983, and Houghton and Hilgert 1983).

Arctic grayling moved through Mainstem Red Dog Creek to spawn in North Fork Red Dog Creek. None of these reports indicated that Arctic grayling spawned in Mainstem Red Dog Creek. Arctic grayling fry reared in North Fork Red Dog Creek and were displaced downstream by high-water events or outmigrated as water temperatures cooled in the fall. Only a few juvenile Arctic grayling were collected in North Fork Red Dog Creek prior to mine development. Dolly Varden and Arctic grayling fry mortality was reported in Mainstem Red Dog Creek by EVS Consultants and Ott Water Engineers (1983) and Ward and Olsen (1980). Since 1994, we have documented Arctic grayling use of Mainstem Red Dog Creek and have not observed fish mortalities (Appendix 12).

Arctic Grayling Spawning

We have monitored Arctic grayling spawning during the spring in North Fork Red Dog and Mainstem Red Dog creeks since 2001. The purposes of this sampling effort are to document when spawning has been substantially completed in Mainstem Red Dog Creek and to assess the return of Arctic grayling to North Fork Red Dog Creek. Spring water temperatures and timing of warming appear to be the key variables in determining spawning success, spawning time, fry emergence, first year growth, and likely survival. High flows during or immediately following spawning can have a negative effect on fry survival (Clark 1992).

Discharge volume and quality from the wastewater treatment facility at the Red Dog Mine are regulated to meet permit conditions. From 2001 to 2007, TDS concentrations were regulated to be less than 500 mg/L at Station 151 (Station 10) during Arctic grayling spawning. During that time frame, monitoring of Arctic grayling spawning was performed to determine when spawning was substantially completed, thus allowing Teck to regulate the discharge rate to comply with the post-spawning TDS limit of 1,500 mg/L at Station 151 for the rest of the ice-free season.

A TDS site-specific criterion (SSC) of 1,500 mg/L during Arctic grayling spawning was issued by ADEC and became effective on February 15, 2006. The EPA approved the 1,500 mg/L TDS SSC on April 21, 2006. The SSC developed by ADEC was based on field and laboratory studies conducted with Arctic grayling at the Red Dog Mine site (Brix and Grosell 2005). Teck regulates the wastewater discharge to ensure that TDS concentrations do not exceed the ADEC and EPA approved TDS limit of 1,500 mg/L at Station 151.

We used fyke nets to capture Arctic grayling in North Fork Red Dog Creek from May 29 to June 2, 2015 (Figure 62). The primary fyke net is placed to catch fish moving upstream while the secondary net which does not block the channel, is set upstream to catch fish as they are moving downstream. Flows were relatively low (< 75 cfs) when the primary net was set. Water temperatures ranged from about 0.5 to 1.5°C when sampling began.



Figure 62. Looking upstream at the fyke net in North Fork Red Dog Creek.

We caught 176 Arctic grayling in North Fork Red Dog Creek in both fyke nets. The CPUE in the primary fyke net was 39 fish/net day. The secondary fyke net, set upstream of the primary fyke net, is set to catch fish as they move out of North Fork Red Dog Creek. Generally, this secondary fyke net is the one that catches large post-spawning Arctic grayling that entered North Fork Red Dog Creek before we are physically able to set the primary fyke net. The larger adult Arctic grayling frequently enter North Fork Red Dog Creek when flows are high and aufeis has not yet melted.

Limited spawning could have started on May 28 when the water temperature exceeded 3.0°C (Figure 63). Based on the temperature data and the catch of spent females in the main fyke net, it appears that spawning was substantially complete in Mainstem Red Dog Creek by June 1 (Table 7). Water temperatures were consistently higher in Mainstem Red Dog Creek than in North Fork Red Dog Creek. This pattern has been observed for multiple years and we believe the higher temperatures are due to a lack of aufeis in Middle Fork Red Dog Creek while massive aufeis exists each spring in North Fork Red Dog Creek. Lack of aufeis in Middle Fork Red Dog Creek is due to the fact that baseline ground water flow has been reduced by the tailing impoundment and the excavated mine cuts which are dewatered.




Figure 63. Peak daily water temperatures in North Fork Red Dog (Station 12) and Mainstem Red Dog (Station 151) Creeks.

Table 7. Summary of Arctic grayling spawning in Mainstem Red Dog Creek.

Year	Date When Limited Spawning Started (3°C)	Date When Spawning Complete (Condition of Females)	Number of Days Peak Temperatures Exceeded 4°C ¹
2001	June 6	June 15	6
2002	May 29	June 8	8
2003	June 7	June 14	6
2004	May 25	May 31	4
2005	May 27	June 6	9
2006	May 30	June 15	10
2007	May 26	June 3	8
2008	June 1	June 9	9
2009	June 8	June 13	4
2010 ²	May 21	May 29	6
2011	June 6	June 9	4
2012	May 27	June 4	7
2013 ³			
2014	June 5	June 11	4
2015	May 28	June 1	4

¹Does not include the day spawning was judged to be complete, since the fyke net is worked in the early morning prior to peak temperatures on that day.

²The date spawning was judged to be substantially complete was based solely on the water temperature data collected in spring 2010.

³Arctic grayling sampling was not conducted in spring 2013 due to extremely high water throughout the spring sampling period.

Arctic Grayling Fry

Since 1992, we have estimated the relative abundance of Arctic grayling fry in North Fork Red Dog Creek (Appendix 13). In 2015, spawning in North Fork Red Dog Creek was substantially complete by early June, and water flows were low, indicating that spawning success was good. Visual surveys for Arctic grayling fry are conducted in early July and again in late-July or early August. Two age-0 Arctic grayling were caught on July 12 at the Upper North Fork Red Dog Creek site in drift nets.

Arctic Grayling Catches and Metrics

In spring 2015, we handled 176 Arctic grayling in North Fork Red Dog Creek caught with fyke nets (Figure 64). Ninety three of these fish were immature. Recruitment of immature fish to North Fork Red Dog Creek has been strong since 2007 and may be due in part to juvenile fish leaving Bons Pond and returning to North Fork Red Dog Creek (Figure 65).




Figure 64. Length frequency distribution of Arctic grayling in spring 2015.




Figure 65. Average CPUE (fish/day) of immature Arctic grayling in North Fork Red Dog Creek from spring 2001 to spring 2015. No sampling was done due to high water in spring 2013.

Catches of mature Arctic grayling in North Fork Red Dog Creek have remained stable since 2001 (Figure 66). Our highest CPUE of mature fish was 37.6 fish/day in 2007 and our lowest was 1.3 fish/day in 2014. Most of the variability in the catches is related to temporal variability in spring breakup, warming water temperatures, and sampling efficiency. Sampling events are limited to times of lower discharge (≤ 100 cfs) when fyke nets can be set and fished effectively.




Figure 66. Average CPUE (fish/day) of mature Arctic grayling in North Fork Red Dog Creek from spring 2001 to spring 2015. No sampling was done due to high water in spring 2013.

Some of the Arctic grayling caught in the North Fork Red Dog Creek are fish that were marked in Bons Pond. The percentage of marked fish coming from Bons Pond in our 2015 sample was 3% (Figure 67). Since 2008, we have observed a decrease in the percentage of Bons Pond Arctic grayling being captured in North Fork Red Dog Creek. This decrease is due, in part, to the fact that the number of fish ≥ 200 mm in Bons Pond also has been declining since 2007.




Figure 67. Percent of marked fish caught in North Fork Red Dog Creek that were marked in Bons Pond.

The average growth rate (mm/year) for Arctic grayling between 250 and 300 mm long when marked and at large for about one year is presented in Figure 68. Fish growth data includes only those fish captured the previous year and recaptured the following spring. Recapture numbers in any given year are low (0 to 7 fish per year).




Figure 68. Average, maximum, and minimum annual growth of Arctic grayling in North Fork Red Dog Creek for fish between 250 and 300 mm long when marked.

The population of Arctic grayling in North Fork Red Dog Creek, pre-mining, is not known. We attempt to make population estimates each year, but in some years the number of recaptures is not adequate to make the estimate with any level of confidence. The highest population estimate was 1,422 in 2010 and the lowest estimate was 942 in 2011 (Figure 69). The 2011 population estimate based on the 2012 recapture event was the last year where we had adequate marks and recaptures for an estimate of the population. The confidence limits overlap for all of the population estimates suggesting that there are no significant differences among years.




Figure 69. The estimated Arctic grayling population (95% CI) in North Fork Red Dog Creek for fish ≥ 200 mm long.

Arctic Grayling, Bons Pond

Bons Pond, is an impoundment created by construction of an earthen dam placed on Bons Creek. The dam was built in 1987/1988 to provide potable and make-up water for operational activities. Prior to construction of the dam, there were no fish present in Bons Creek due to a series of impassable waterfalls and chutes in bedrock about 1 km downstream of the dam (Figure 70). Bons Creek flows into Buddy Creek and eventually into Ikalukrok Creek.



Figure 70. Outlet of Bons Pond – Arctic grayling leaving Bons Pond go over the falls and into Bons Creek.

The Arctic grayling population in Bons Pond is the result of a fish transplant conducted in 1994 and 1995 (Ott and Townsend 2003). In 1994, fish from North Fork Red Dog Creek that ranged in size from 158 to 325 mm long ($n = 102$, average = 235, $SD = 34$) and included five large Arctic grayling from Ikalukrok Creek (350 to 425 mm long, average = 376, $SD = 32$) were transplanted to Bons Pond. In 1995, about 200 Arctic grayling fry were caught in North Fork Red Dog Creek and moved to Bons Pond.

In 1996 and 1997 visual observations and fyke net sampling in Bons Pond were conducted and no fish were caught or observed. In summers 1995 to 1997, 12 of the marked Arctic grayling transplanted to Bons Pond were recaptured in North Fork Red Dog Creek. Initially, it was believed that the fish transplant was unsuccessful. However, in 2001 and 2002 Arctic grayling juveniles were observed in Bons Creek immediately downstream of the blast road. In summer 2003, fish sampling was conducted in Bons Pond to determine fish use and the estimated Arctic grayling population was 6,773 fish \geq 200 mm long (Ott and Townsend 2003).

Since 2003, we have sampled Bons Pond and Bons Creek in the spring, with additional sampling later in the ice-free season to increase the number of marked fish. Spawning has been observed in Bons Creek and in the outlet of Bons Pond. Our current program in Bons Pond includes a mark/recapture study to estimate the population size and the collection of 15 juvenile Arctic grayling for whole body metals analysis.

Bons Creek, upstream of Bons Pond, is incised with streambanks vegetated with willows and sedges, and measures 1 to 2 m wide with depths from 0.3 to 1 m. In our sample reach, located about 200 m upstream of Bons Pond, the substrate consists of gravel in riffles, with fine sediments and organics in the pools.

A diversion ditch was constructed to carry surface water around the waste rock stockpile. Thermal and hydraulic erosion in the diversion ditch contributes seasonally to the sediment and organic load in Bons Creek. Most of the Bons Creek drainage area is in ice-rich permafrost with thermal erosion and sediment/organic input that varies with seasonal conditions. Generally, there is a high input of sediments and organics to Bons Creek, particularly during rainfall events.

Arctic Grayling Fry

Drift nets, which have been used to sample aquatic invertebrates in Bons Creek upstream of Bons Pond since 2004, are also effective in catching Arctic grayling fry. Each year in the summer (usually the first week of July), five drift nets are set for a duration of one hour and the contents placed in a container for later analyses (Figure 71). In five of 12

years of sampling, catches of Arctic grayling fry have been zero. The highest number of Arctic grayling fry caught in five drift nets fished for one hour was 78 in 2007 (Figure 72).



Figure 71. Looking downstream at drift nets in Bons Creek upstream of Bons Pond on July 10, 2015.




Figure 72. Number of Arctic grayling fry caught in drift nets.

Arctic Grayling Catches and Metrics

We fished a fyke net in Bons Creek from May 29 to June 2, 2015, and caught 237 Arctic grayling. Most of the Arctic grayling were caught in the fyke net (n = 232); however, some fish (n = 5) were captured by angling in the outlet of Bons Pond. Our mean CPUE (#fish/day) for the fyke net in 2015 was 52 (Figure 73). The CPUE for Arctic grayling < 200 mm has ranged from 1 to 38 since 2006 (Figure 74) and catch rates in 2006, 2012, and 2014 were the highest. Typically, these smaller fish show up later in the sample event.




Figure 73. CPUE for all Arctic grayling in Bons Creek.




Figure 74. CPUE for Arctic grayling < 200 mm in Bons Creek.

The length frequency distribution for Arctic grayling caught in fyke nets and by angling in spring 2015 is presented in Figure 75. The current population in Bons Pond consists of a small population of mature fish and a large number of juvenile fish from various size classes. This leads us to predict that the population of fish ≥ 200 mm is likely to increase in future years.




Figure 75. Length frequency distribution of Arctic grayling in Bons Pond in spring 2015.

Growth rates for Arctic grayling from Bons Pond are much less than for comparable sized fish from North Fork Red Dog Creek (Figure 68). Growth data were not obtained for 2012 and 2013 because of high water and a lack of recaptures. Growth rates by size group (< 250 and ≥ 250 mm at marking) are presented in Figures 76 and 77. The number of recaptured Arctic grayling that were less than 250 at marking was relatively high in 2003 and 2004 and had average growth rates of 20 and 37 mm, respectively. Annual growth rates for larger fish (≥ 250 mm) generally were less than 10 mm except for 2011 and 2014 when the average growth was 15 and 17 mm, respectively. Higher growth rates in 2011 and 2014 probably are related to the population decline which resulted in the availability of more food for individual fish.




Figure 76. Average annual growth (\pm 1SD) of Arctic grayling < 250 mm at time of marking.




Figure 77. Average annual growth (\pm 1SD) of Arctic grayling \geq 250 mm at time of marking.

We estimated the 2014 Arctic grayling population in Bons Pond using 2014 as the mark event and spring 2015 as the recapture event. We had 77 marked fish in spring 2014 that were either recaptures or new marks. In spring 2015, we caught 161 Arctic grayling of which 21 were recaptures from the spring 2014 mark event. Based on these values, our estimated Arctic grayling population for 2014 is 573 fish (95% CI, 389 to 758) ≥ 200 mm long. The population estimates show a continuous decrease in the population beginning in 2005 (Figure 78). However, catches of small Arctic grayling (< 200 mm) have been relatively high the last two years, indicating that the population of large fish may increase in future years.




Figure 78. Estimated Arctic grayling population (95% CI) in Bons Pond for fish ≥ 200 mm long.

Slimy Sculpin

Houghton and Hilgert (1983) found slimy sculpin in Ikalukrok and Dudd creeks, but none were observed or caught in the Red Dog Creek drainage. However, in 1995, slimy sculpin were captured in both Mainstem Red Dog and North Fork Red Dog creeks (Weber Scannell and Ott 1998). Large (> 120 mm) slimy sculpin were caught in North Fork Red Dog Creek in some years during the spring Arctic grayling sampling event with fyke nets. In spring 2015, one 136 mm slimy sculpin was caught (Figure 79). These large sculpin probably are following the Arctic grayling to feed on their eggs and they probably spawn in North Fork Red Dog Creek.



Figure 79. Slimy sculpin caught in fyke net in North Fork Red Dog Creek in spring 2015.

Minnow trap data (CPUE is for 10 minnow traps fished for 24 hr) since 1997 for lower Mainstem Red Dog Creek is presented in Figure 80. There is no apparent trend with CPUE which ranges from zero to a high of five in fall, 2009.




Figure 80. CPUE of slimy sculpin caught in Mainstem Red Dog Creek at the sample reach in the vicinity of Station 10 near the mouth of the creek.

In 2010, we moved our minnow trap sample reach from Station 7 on Ikalukrok Creek to a new site on the same system, upstream of Station 160. The new sample reach in Ikalukrok Creek is similar to Station 7 in that there are multiple channels. The water quality monitoring station was moved downstream to ensure waters from Dudd and Ikalukrok creeks were completely mixed.

Slimy sculpin CPUE has varied from a low of 0 to a high of 24 in 2004 (Figure 81). Catches of slimy sculpin generally are higher in Ikalukrok Creek than in the other sample reaches located in North Fork Red Dog, Mainstem Red Dog, upper Ikalukrok (Station 9), Buddy, Anxiety, and Evaingiknuk creeks. These data are consistent with findings by Houghton and Hilgert (1983) in the early 1980s prior to development of the Red Dog Mine when they reported slimy sculpin to be numerous in Ikalukrok Creek. The main difference now is that we capture slimy sculpin in the Red Dog Creek drainage.




Figure 81. CPUE of slimy sculpin caught in Ikalukrok Creek at Station 7 (1997 to 2009) and Station 160 (2010, 2011, 2014, and 2015).

Literature Cited

- ADF&G. 2010. Methods for aquatic life monitoring to satisfy requirements under NPDES Permit, Red Dog Mine Site (Revision #1). AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Division of Habitat. 27 pp.
- Brix, K.V. and M. Grosell. 2005. Report on the effects of total dissolved solids on Arctic grayling and Dolly Varden fertilization success. Prepared for Teck Cominco. 23 pp.
- Dames and Moore. 1983. Environmental baseline studies Red Dog project.
- Chapman, D.G. 1951. Some practices of the hypergeometric distribution with applications to zoological censuses. University of California Publications in Statistics. 1:131-60.
- Clark, R.A. 1992. Influence of stream flows and stock size on recruitment of Arctic grayling (*Thymallus arcticus*) in the Chena River, Alaska. Can. J. Fish Aquat. Sci. 49:1027-1034.
- DeCicco, A.L. 1985. Inventory and cataloging of sport fish and sport fish waters of Western Alaska with emphasis on Arctic char life history studies. AK Dept. of Fish and Game. Federal-Aid Fish Rest. Annual Performance Report, 1984-1985, Project F-9-17, Volume 26. 41-134.
- DeCicco, A.L. 1989. Memorandum, Wulik River char distribution. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK. 3 pp.
- DeCicco, A.L. 1990. Trip report, Red Dog October 3 to 6, 1990. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK. 2 pp.
- DeCicco, A.L. 1991. Kotzebue trip report, August 16 to 27, 1991. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK. 5 pp.
- DeCicco, A.L. 1992. Memorandum, char surveys. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK. 2 pp.
- DeCicco, A.L. 1993. Memorandum, Wulik River survey. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK. 1 p.
- DeCicco, A.L. 1994. Memorandum, Wulik River survey. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK. 1 p.
- DeCicco, A.L. 1995. Personal communication. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK.
- DeCicco, A.L. 1996. Memorandum, Wulik River survey. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK. 1 p.
- DeCicco, A.L. 1997. Memorandum, 1997 Wulik River survey. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK. 1 p.

Literature Cited (continued)

- DeCicco, A.L. 1998. Memorandum, 1998 Wulik River survey. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK. 1 p.
- DeCicco, A.L. 1999. Memorandum, 1999 Wulik River survey. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK. 1 p.
- DeCicco, A.L. 2001a. Wulik River survey and Ikalukrok Creek, October 8, 2001. Memorandum, AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK. 1 p.
- DeCicco, A.L. 2001b. Ikalukrok Creek salmon, September 3, 2001. Memorandum, AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK. 2 p.
- DeCicco, A.L. 2001c. Ikalukrok Creek salmon, September 28, 2001. Memorandum, AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK. 2 p.
- DeCicco, A.L. 2002. 2002 Wulik River survey. October 14, 2002. Memorandum, AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK. 1 p.
- DeCicco, A.L. 2004. Red Dog trip report, September 11 to 15, 2004. Memorandum, AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK. 2 pp.
- DeCicco, A.L. 2005. Memorandum, 2005 Wulik River survey. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division. Fairbanks, AK. 1 p.
- DeCicco, A.L. 2006. Wulik River aerial survey 2006. Letter to Office of Habitat Management and Permitting dated October 9, 2006. Fisheries Services and Supplies. Fairbanks, AK. 1 p.
- DeCicco, A.L. 2007. Wulik River aerial survey 2007. Letter to Office of Habitat Management and Permitting dated October 18, 2007. Fisheries Services and Supplies. Fairbanks, AK. 2 pp.
- DeCicco, A.L. 2008. Wulik River aerial survey 2008. Letter to Division of Habitat dated September 20, 2008. Fisheries Services and Supplies. Fairbanks, AK. 2 pp.
- DeCicco, A.L. 2010. Wulik River aerial surveys 2010. Letter to Division of Habitat dated October 1, 2010. Fisheries Services and Supplies. Fairbanks, AK. 2 pp.
- DeCicco, A.L. 2011. Wulik River aerial surveys 2011. Letter to Division of Habitat dated October 11, 2011. Fisheries Services and Supplies. Fairbanks, AK. 2 pp.
- DeCicco, A.L. 2012. Wulik River aerial surveys 2012. Letter to Division of Habitat dated October 12, 2012. Fisheries Services and Supplies. Fairbanks, AK. 2 pp.
- DeCicco, A.L. 2013. Wulik River aerial surveys 2013. Letter to Division of Habitat dated October 8, 2013. Fisheries Services and Supplies. Fairbanks, AK. 2 pp.

Literature Cited (continued)

- DeCicco, A.L. 2014. Wulik River aerial surveys 2014. Letter to Division of Habitat dated October 9, 2014. Fisheries Services and Supplies. Fairbanks, AK. 2 pp.
- DeCicco, A.L. 2015. Wulik River aerial surveys 2015. Letter to Division of Habitat dated October 12, 2015. Fisheries Services and Supplies. Fairbanks, AK. 2 pp.
- EVS Consultants Ltd and Ott Water Engineers. 1983. Toxicological, biophysical and chemical assessment of Red Dog, Delong Mountains, Alaska, 1982. Prepared for the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, Juneau, by G. Vigers, J. Barrett, R. Hoffman, J. Humphrey, D. Kathman, D. Konasewich, R. Olmsted, and B. Reid. 245 pp.
- Houghton, J.F. and P.J. Hilgert. 1983. In environmental baseline studies Red Dog project. Dames and Moore. 82 pp.
- Jenkins, D.W. 1980. Biological monitoring of toxic trace metals. Vol. 1. Biological Monitoring and Surveillance. J EPA-600/3-80-089. 215 pp.
- Morris, W.A. and A.G. Ott. 2001. Red Dog trip report (July 28 to August 9, 2001). AK. Dept. of Fish and Game. 9 pp.
- Ott, A.G. and R.C. Benkert. 2009. Red Dog Mine, Biomonitoring Field Trip, July 29 to August 1, 2009. AK. Dept. of Fish and Game. Division of Habitat. Juneau, AK. 4 pp.
- Ott, A.G. and L. Jacobs. 2008. Red Dog trip report, August 2 to 9, 2008. AK. Dept. of Fish and Game. Division of Habitat. Juneau, AK. 4 pp.
- Ott, A.G. and W.A. Morris. 1999. Red Dog Field Trip, August 7 to 13, 1999. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Division of Habitat. Fairbanks, AK. 5 pp.
- Ott, A.G. and W.A. Morris. 2004. Juvenile Dolly Varden whole body metals analyses, Red Dog Mine (2002). Technical Report No. 04-01. AK Dept. of Natural Resources, Office of Habitat Management and Permitting. Juneau, AK. 71 pp.
- Ott, A.G. and W.A. Morris. 2007. Aquatic biomonitoring in Bons Pond, and Bons and Buddy Creeks, 2004 to 2006, at Red Dog Mine. Technical Report No. 07-04. AK Dept. of Natural Resources, Office of Habitat Management and Permitting. Juneau, AK. 92 pp.
- Ott, A.G. and W.A. Morris. 2010. Aquatic biomonitoring at Red Dog Mine, 2009. Technical Report No. 10-02. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Division of Habitat. Juneau, AK. 158 pp.
- Ott, A.G. and W.A. Morris. 2014. Aquatic biomonitoring at Red Dog Mine, 2013. Technical Report No. 14-02. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Division of Habitat. Juneau, AK. 95 pp.

Literature Cited (continued)

- Ott, A.G. and S. Simperts. 1997. Red Dog Mine Field Trip Report. August 9 - August 15, 1997. AK Department of Fish and Game, Div. Habitat and Restoration, Fairbanks, AK. 9 pp.
- Ott, A.G. and J.L. Timothy. 2006. Red Dog trip report, August 9 to 16, 2006. AK Dept. of Natural Resources, Office of Habitat Management and Permitting. Juneau, AK. 3 pp.
- Ott, A.G. and A.H. Townsend. 2002. Red Dog trip report (July 27 to August 7, 2002). AK Department of Fish and Game, Div. Habitat and Restoration, Fairbanks, AK. 7 pp.
- Ott, A.G. and A.H. Townsend. 2003. A transplant of Arctic grayling to Bons Pond at the Red Dog Mine. AK Department of Natural Resources, Office of Habitat Management and Permitting. Juneau, AK. 36 pp.
- Ott, A.G. and A.H. Townsend. 2007. Red Dog trip report, August 8 to 15, 2007. AK Dept. of Natural Resources, Office of Habitat Management and Permitting. Juneau, AK. 4 pp.
- Ott, A.G., P.K. Weber Scannell, and M.H. Robus. 1992. Fish monitoring study, Red Dog Mine in the Wulik River drainage, emphasis on Dolly Varden (*Salvelinus malma*). Technical Report No. 91-4. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Habitat Division. Juneau, AK. 67 pp.
- Savereide, J. and B. Scanlon. 2015. Red Dog Mine June 2015 Wulik River Dolly Varden enumeration project. AK Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish. 8 pp.
- September 2015. Seber, G.A.F. 1982. The estimation of abundance. Charles Griffin & Company LTD.
- Scanlon, B. and J. Savereide. 2014. 2014 Wulik River Dolly Varden sonar counting project. Memorandum dated July 18, 2014. AK Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish. 3 pp.
- Thompson, M. 2005. Electronic mail report to A.G. Ott on August 29, 2005. Teck-Cominco Alaska Inc. Red Dog Mine.
- Townsend, A.H. and L. Conley. 2004. Red Dog field trip report, August 18 to 28, 2004. AK Dept. of Natural Resources, Office of Habitat Management and Permitting. Fairbanks, AK. 2 pp.
- Townsend, A.H. and C. Hemming. 1996. Red Dog Field Trip Report. August 9 - August 15, 1996. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Habitat and Restoration Division, Fairbanks, AK.

Literature Cited (concluded)

- Townsend, A.H. and L. Ingalls. 2003. Red Dog Field Trip Report. August 6 to 13, 2003. Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Office of Habitat Management and Permitting, Fairbanks, AK. 3 pp.
- Townsend, A.H. and C. Lunderstadt. 1995. Trip report, August 11 to 16, 1995. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Habitat and Restoration Division. Fairbanks, AK. 7 pp.
- Ward, D.L. and T.J. Olson. 1980. Baseline aquatic investigations of fishes and heavy metal concentrations in the Kivalina and Wulik Rivers, 1978-79. LGL Ecological Research Associates, Inc. Prepared for GCO Minerals Company. 89 pp.
- Weber Scannell P. and A.G. Ott. 1998. Fisheries resources and water quality, Red Dog Mine. Technical Report No. 98-02. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Habitat and Restoration Division. Juneau, AK. 136 pp.
- Weber Scannell P., A.G. Ott, and W.A. Morris. 2000. Fish and aquatic taxa report at Red Dog Mine, 1998-1999. Technical Report No. 00-03. AK Dept. of Fish and Game, Habitat and Restoration Division. Juneau, AK. 136 pp.

Appendix 1. Summary of Mine Development and Operations

1982

- Baseline studies initiated, Cominco agreement with NANA finalized

1983

- EIS process initiated, alternatives for mine and road to port site identified

1984

- Stream surveys conducted along proposed road by private consultant

1985

- Permit applications prepared for regulatory agencies
- Implementation of wastewater treatment plant deferred to ADEC by ADF&G
- Wastewater discharge limited to summer
- Potential for acid rock drainage and metals mobilization not recognized

1986

- ADEC solid waste permit and bonding not required
- ADEC permit preceded solid waste regulations
- AIDEA bonds to build road and port site issued

1987

- Construction of road began, budget request to AIDEA prepared by ADF&G
- Reimbursement agreement for logistics with ADF&G to monitor construction made by AIDEA

1988

- Ore body developed
- Road and port site construction began
- Notice of Violation issued to AIDEA by ADF&G for failed road crossing bypasses
- Uniform Summons and Complaint issued for illegal water removal
- AIDEA provided funding to ADF&G for monitoring
- Rehabilitation plans for streams developed and implemented

Appendix 1 (continued)

1989

- Agreement to close-out old solid waste site finalized with Cominco
- Civil work on ore body and surface water drainage control begun
- Complaints about water quality in Ikalukrok Creek received
- Tailing dam becomes full, Cominco's request to siphon untreated water over the dam denied by State
- Elevated metals concentrations identified by red precipitation, were observed in Ikalukrok Creek below the mine
- Winter discharge of treated water authorized by State
- State regulatory agencies and Cominco in disagreement over whether metals exceeded background conditions

1990

- Biomonitoring of fish populations proposed and initiated by ADF&G
- Dead fish from the Wulik River were discovered by the public
- ADF&G sampling indicated very few fish remaining in Ikalukrok Creek
- Installation of sumps and pumps by Cominco prevented metals-laden water from entering Red Dog Creek
- Baseline and current water quality data reviewed by ADF&G
- Clean water bypass system requested by ADF&G
- Zinc levels in Ikalukrok Creek exceeded 40 mg/L
- State regulatory agencies and Cominco in disagreement over cause and extent of water quality problems
- Compliance Order by Consent for water quality violations affecting anadromous fish issued by ADEC
- Notice of Violation for water quality violations affecting anadromous fish issued by ADF&G
- Cominco directed to design and construct a clean water bypass system
- Perceived impairment to the subsistence fishery initiated involvement by the community of Kivalina

1991

- Clean water bypass system designed by Cominco, approved by state agencies
- ADF&G fisheries study funded by Cominco
- Clean water bypass system built
- Clean water bypass system repaired
- Improvements to water quality were documented

Appendix 1 (continued)

1992

- Fish study continued
- Water quality improvements to downstream receiving water continued
- Increasing water volume in tailing impoundment continued
- Water from dirty water collection system entering tailing impoundment increased volume
- Water treatment plant modifications made

1993

- Fish study continued
- Sand filters to remove particulate zinc installed

1994

- Fish study continued
- Use attainability studies of several streams initiated for reclassification
- Water treatment capacity increased by thickening tank conversion
- Wastewater discharge increased from 7.5 cfs to 23 cfs
- Ore processing capability expanded by Cominco
- 107 juvenile and adult Arctic grayling transplanted from North Fork Red Dog Creek to Bons Pond in late June
- 79 juvenile Dolly Varden transplanted from Anxiety Ridge Creek to Bons Pond in late June

1995

- Fish study expanded to include other aquatic biota
- Work on stream reclassification and site-specific criteria continued by ADF&G
- Metals concentrations in the clean water bypass system increased; contributing sources were identified: Hilltop Creek (Zn), Shelly Creek (Cd), and Rachel Creek (Al)
- Clean water bypass system extended to collect water from Hilltop Creek
- Reserves were doubled after exploration drilling located more ore
- Possible metals contamination in Bons Creek identified by ADF&G
- About 200 Arctic grayling fry (40 to 45 mm) were moved from North Fork Red Dog Creek to Bons Pond in August

1996

- Public notice for stream reclassification sent out
- Bons Creek water samples from above and below the Kivalina shale dump collected
- Fish and aquatic biota study continued

Appendix 1 (continued)

1997

- Stream reclassification incorporated into regulation (18 AAC 70.50)
- Fish barrier constructed across Middle Fork Red Dog Creek
- Water bypass around the Kivalina shale dump and interceptor trench at the head of the tailing impoundment built
- Gray-white precipitate observed in Middle Fork Red Dog Creek
- Heavy red staining and precipitate seen in Ikalukrok Creek; originated from seep near headwaters of Ikalukrok Creek, located upstream of mining activity
- Laboratory experiments of TDS on egg fertilization and early egg development initiated
- Fish and aquatic biota studies continue
- US EPA brings enforcement action for water quality violations; Cominco initiates Supplemental Environmental Projects
- Two-year aquatic community study in upper Ikalukrok Creek, above and below the Red Dog Mine discharge initiated by ADF&G
- Ground water monitoring wells installed and monitored below tailing dam by Cominco

1998

- Wet fertilization studies to test effects of TDS on fish embryos continued
- Draft 401 certification for a new NPDES permit prepared by ADEC and reviewed by ADF&G
- Discussed extension of the clean water bypass system up Shelly and Connie Creeks to ensure bypass of clean water and collection of seepage water from newly disturbed areas
- Heavy red staining in headwaters of Ikalukrok Creek, originating from seep in headwaters of Ikalukrok Creek, upstream of mining activity, staining extends downstream about 30 km
- Site-specific criteria for Zn in Mainstem Red Dog and Ikalukrok Creeks approved by EPA
- Heavy rains cause an unanticipated release of water into Bons Creek from the Kivalina stockpile
- Plans to increase port site capacity for direct loading of ships released to public
- NPDES permit (AK-003865-2) issued by US EPA became effective August 28, 1998 and was certified by ADEC (Certificate of Reasonable Assurance)
- Two-year aquatic community study completed
- Biomonitoring, including studies of fish and aquatic biota, required under 1998 NPDES permit

Appendix 1 (continued)

1999

- Two-year drilling program (Shelly and Connie Creeks) proposed
- New station 7 on Ikalukrok Creek established by Cominco, USGS, and ADF&G
- Fish and aquatic biota study expanded to upper North Fork Red Dog, Ikalukrok, and Ferric creeks
- Biomonitoring and USGS gauging work proposals submitted to Cominco
- Study of periphyton communities exposed to different concentrations of TDS in Mainstem Red Dog Creek done by ADF&G and Cominco Alaska Inc.
- Request to increase TDS for periphyton colonization experiment not approved
- Effects to Ikalukrok Creek from Alvinella Creek seepage water continued to below Dudd Creek mouth
- Arctic grayling females in ripe spawning condition collected from North Fork Red Dog Creek for selenium analysis of livers and ovaries

2000

- Effects to Ikalukrok Creek from Cub Creek seep continued; red stain and precipitate observed several km below mouth of Mainstem Red Dog Creek
- North Fork Red Dog Creek silty at breakup, previously not observed
- Minimal precipitate in Middle Fork Red Dog Creek below effluent outfall observed
- Civil work performed in Connie Creek to isolate surface from subsurface flows and bypass flow through disturbed areas
- Effectiveness of pump back system at the Kivalina rock dump verified by presence of juvenile Arctic grayling in creek immediately south of dump
- Site-specific criteria for TDS requested by Cominco
- Biomonitoring study continued
- Baseline fish and aquatic biota studies in streams located in the vicinity of the Anarraaq Prospect begun

Appendix 1 (continued)

2001

- Effects to Ikalukrok Creek from Cub Creek seep continued, red stain and precipitate observed in Ikalukrok Creek to Station 8 below Mainstem Red Dog Creek, affects minor near mouth of Dudd Creek
- North Fork Red Dog Creek, siltation (natural) less than in summer 2000
- Minimal precipitate in Middle Fork Red Dog Creek below effluent outfall
- Water quality was monitored in Shelley, Rachel, Connie, and Middle Fork Red Dog creeks upstream and downstream of surface disturbance, catch-box and pipeline (about 430 m) placed in Shelley Creek to move water pass disturbance
- Juvenile Arctic grayling observed in Bons Creek just south of the Kivalina rock dump, pump-back system working based on fish use
- Fish weir repairs made during 2000, no problems observed in 2001
- Stream survey of cross drainage structures made along the Delong Mountains Transportation System, some minor work at some crossings identified
- Site-specific criteria for TDS still being worked, data on Arctic grayling spawning/water temperature collected in North Fork Red Dog and Mainstem Red Dog creeks, supplemental data gathered at the Ft. Knox mine
- Studies expanded to include the Delong Mountains Transportation System based on a National Park Service report that metals concentrations adjacent to road were elevated, water sites established upstream and downstream of road and sampled by Teck Cominco, juvenile Dolly Varden samples collected in Omikviorok River and Aufeis Creek, vegetation sampling started by Teck Cominco
- New haul trucks brought on site, hard-covered trucks to minimize loss of zinc and lead concentrates during transport
- Exploratory drilling (ore and shallow gas) continued, focus on North Fork Red Dog Creek and Wulik River basins near Anarraaq and Lik, including west of the Wulik River, another ore prospect found northwest of Anarraaq, shallow gas results promising
- State and Teck Cominco agree to start the state's large mine team to work on issues, key issue identified was development of a solid waste permit with bonding for the tailing dam, other issues include site-specific criterion for total dissolved solids, clean-water bypass system, waste rock dumps (acid-rock drainage, and truck wash to minimize metal transport)
- Biomonitoring study continued, baseline fish and aquatic biota studies in streams located in the vicinity of the Anarraaq Prospect continued for the second field season, four new sites added (tributaries on west side of Wulik in the area of the Lik Deposit and potential shallow gas development)

Appendix 1 (continued)

2002

- Effects to Ikalukrok Creek from Cub Creek seep continued, red stain and precipitate observed in Ikalukrok Creek to Station 8 below Mainstem Red Dog Creek, affects minor near mouth of Dudd Creek
- North Fork Red Dog Creek, siltation minor during summer 2002
- Minor precipitate in Middle Fork Red Dog Creek below effluent outfall
- Fish weir operating as designed
- Data on Arctic grayling spawning/water temperature collected in North Fork Red Dog Creek, supplemental data gathered at Ft. Knox
- Pit expansion continues to the north of the clean-water bypass system, road crossing added for access
- A bypass was installed for Connie Creek during winter 2001/2002. The bypass captures the upstream creek and carries the water in a pipe to the clean-water bypass system
- The bypass system for Shelly Creek was modified during summer 2002 to correct an overflow problem that occurred during breakup (the overflow water was captured in the pit and did not affect downstream waters). The modification involved adding a lined ditch to contain overflowing clean water and direct the water to the clean-water bypass system
- Juvenile Dolly Varden collected at eight sites located upstream and downstream of the DeLong Mountains Regional Transportation System, whole body metals analyses for Cd, Pb, Se, and Zn
- Site-specific criteria for total dissolved solids is still being worked
- State and Teck Cominco continue to work on key issues, e.g., solid waste permit with bonding for the tailing dam, site-specific criterion for total dissolved solids, clean-water bypass system, waste rock dumps (acid-rock drainage, and truck wash to minimize metal transport)
- Biomonitoring study continued, baseline fish and aquatic biota studies in streams located in the vicinity of the Anarraaq Prospect and shallow gas exploration
- Arctic grayling adults remained in North Fork Red Dog Creek through early August, only the second time since 1992 that most of the adults stayed in the creek during summer, most years adults outmigrate shortly after spawning in spring
- Arctic grayling adults present in Buddy Creek just below the falls, about 50 adult fish in sample reach (0.3 km) in early July, all gone by early August
- About 50 to 60 adult Dolly Varden in Ikalukrok Creek at mouth of Dudd Creek from early July through late August
- Effluent discharge ceased on October 5, 2002, to allow time to winterize the water treatment plant

Appendix 1 (continued)

2003

- Effects to Ikalukrok Creek from Cub Creek seep continued but were much less than seen in the last two to three years
- North Fork Red Dog Creek, natural siltation throughout most of the summer was minor in summer 2003
- Minor precipitate in Middle Fork Red Dog Creek below effluent outfall
- Fish weir operating as designed
- Data on Arctic grayling spawning/water temperature collected in North Fork Red Dog Creek, supplemental data gathered at Ft. Knox
- Site-specific criteria for total dissolved solids was finalized
- USEPA modified the NPDES effective August 22, 2003, to incorporate the ADEC Site Specific Criteria and mixing zones for total dissolved solids in Mainstem Red Dog and Ikalukrok creeks with conditions that ensure total dissolved solids are at or below 500 mg/L during Arctic grayling spawning in Mainstem Red Dog Creek and during chum salmon and Dolly Varden spawning in Ikalukrok Creek, the modified permit was appealed by the Kivalina Relocation Planning Committee
- State and Teck Cominco continue to work on key issues, e.g., solid waste permit with financial assurance for the tailing dam, site-specific criterion for total dissolved solids, clean-water bypass system, waste rock dumps (acid-rock drainage, and truck wash to minimize metal transport)
- Arctic grayling adult returns to North Fork Red Dog Creek were low, number of adult Arctic grayling seen in the Ikalukrok Creek drainage was the lowest seen since aerial surveys were begun in the late 1990s
- Arctic grayling population estimate was completed for Bons Pond the site of a fish transplant made in 1994 and 1995, estimated population in the reservoir was 6,773
- Modification to Shelly Creek bypass ditch completed, a better designed and constructed lined ditch was built and commissioned in August, 2003
- A permanent lined ditch was constructed parallel to the Connie Creek diversion pipeline to avoid spring freeze-up issues
- A permanent monitoring station was established at the end of the mixing zone in Mainstem Red Dog Creek, the location designation is Station 151, and is fitted with real time total dissolved solids and flow determination equipment and telemetry to link the station directly into the mill process control system
- Station 150, at the end of the mixing zone in Ikalukrok Creek, was fitted with real time total dissolved solids and flow determination equipment and telemetry to link the station directly into the mill process control system

Appendix 1 (continued)

2004

- Wastewater discharge began on May 20, ended on September 26, total discharge about one billion gallons
- Effects to Ikalukrok Creek from Cub Creek seep continued but were minor
- North Fork Red Dog Creek, natural siltation minor during ice-free season
- Minor precipitate in Middle Fork Red Dog Creek below effluent outfall
- Fish weir operating as designed
- Arctic grayling spawning/water temperature data collected, Arctic grayling from North Fork Red Dog Creek used for TDS fertilization experiment
- State and Teck Cominco continued to work on key issues associated with the solid waste permit and closure plan for the mine
- Arctic grayling adult returns to North Fork Red Dog Creek were low, number of adults seen in Ikalukrok Creek drainage remained low as in summer 2003
- Bons Pond (the site of a fish transplant made in 1994 and 1995), estimated Arctic grayling population for summer 2003 was 6,773 and for summer 2004 was 5,739
- Chinook salmon juveniles were documented for the first time in Ikalukrok Creek, near Dudd Creek, and in Anxiety Ridge Creek
- Age-1 Arctic grayling were caught in minnow traps fished in Ikalukrok, Mainstem, and Buddy creeks, since age-1 fish are seldom captured in minnow traps this may indicate good survival of fry spawned in spring 2003
- Red Dog Creek diversion (clean water ditch) was realigned to the west side of the pit. Realigned configuration is a combination of large diameter culvert and open lined ditch

2005

- Wastewater discharge began on May 10, 2005, ended on October 6, 2005, total discharge about 1.501 billion gallons
- Major precipitate observed on streambed in Middle Fork Red Dog Creek below effluent outfall in July and August, precipitates (gray colored) evident for at least 1 km downstream of effluent outfall
- Fish weir operating as designed
- Effects to Ikalukrok Creek from Cub Creek seep substantially greater than seen for past several years, water opaque and streambed coated with red precipitate at confluence with Mainstem Red Dog Creek, TCAK water sample from Cub Creek seep with a pH of 3.3
- Arctic grayling spawning/water temperature data collected, Arctic grayling from North Fork Red Dog Creek used for TDS fertilization experiment
- Attended and participated in a NPDES permit renewal meeting in Seattle with EPA, TCAK, and NANA, identified and discussed key issues

Appendix 1 (continued)

2005

- Red Dog Creek diversion (clean water ditch) mine engineering drawings (r4) were provided by TCAK showing the culverts and lined ditch that carry water from tributaries and Middle Fork Red Dog Creek through the pit area
- Recommendations for changes to the Red Dog biomonitoring program based on field data collection and analyses since 1999 were made for possible incorporation into the renewed NPDES permit or ADEC's solid waste permit for the tailing impoundment
- TCAK distributed the 2005 draft report on Arctic grayling fertilization studies that concluded TDS concentrations at or below 1,500 mg/L at Station 10 in Mainstem Red Dog Creek would provide for proper protection of Arctic grayling in the Red Dog Creek drainage, OHMP supported these findings in a letter to Pete McGee (ADEC) dated August 17, 2005
- Dr. Weber Scannell prepared comments on fish tissue data (Dolly Varden from Wulik and Kivalina rivers) collected by Maniilaq Association and compared these data with existing information from other sources in both Alaska and nationwide
- OHMP prepared a summary report (letter to Jim Kulas dated August 23, 2005) on temperature/spawning data collected for Arctic grayling in Mainstem Red Dog and North Fork Red Dog creeks from 2001 through 2005, a recommendation for determining start and completion of spawning based on temperature was developed for Mainstem Red Dog Creek
- State and TCAK continued to work on key issues associated with the solid waste permit and closure plan for the mine ADEC
- Wastewater Treatment Plant (WTP) #3 began operations in late summer 2005 to treat mine sump water and drainage from waste rock dumps prior to placement of these waters into the tailing impoundment; purpose is to improve water quality in tailing impoundment over time
- Exploratory drilling and flow testing for gas in North Fork Red Dog Creek basin was conducted, access road and pads inspected, corrugated pipes installed to provide cross drainage, no evidence of erosion noted along road to and connecting the drill pads
- A road was constructed to Station 151 (end of mixing zone in Mainstem Red Dog Creek)
- Work to expand and relocate the water treatment plant sand filters was initiated
- Bons Pond (the site of a fish transplant made in 1994 and 1995), estimated Arctic grayling population for summer 2003 was 6,773 - for summer 2004 was 5,739 - and for summer 2005 was 5,356

Appendix 1 (continued)

2006

- ADEC amended the site-specific criteria (SSC) for TDS in Mainstem Red Dog Creek, the 500 mg/L limit during Arctic grayling spawning was removed and replaced with a 1,500 mg/L limit on February 15, 2006, and EPA approved the new SSC in April 2006
- North Fork Red Dog Creek, extensive areas of aufeis existed, turbidity and organic debris high due to erosion and thermal degradation, in several reaches flow was not in stream channel due to aufeis
- Arctic grayling spawning/water temperature data collected, early spring warming followed by cold weather, adult Arctic grayling entered North Fork Red Dog Creek in late May and due to cold water temperatures abandoned spawning and outmigrated from the creek in mid-June
- Four Arctic grayling captured in North Fork Red Dog Creek in spring 2006 were fish that had been marked in Bons Pond
- Review of ADEC's draft 401 certification to the renewal of the NPDES was completed and we provided a letter of support (March 10, 2006) to ADEC, including our concurrence with ADEC's decision to not require Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) limits
- Effects to Ikalukrok Creek from Cub Creek seep continued, but were minor
- Major precipitate observed on streambed in Middle Fork Red Dog Creek below effluent outfall in August, precipitates (orange colored) evident for at least 1 km downstream of effluent outfall and precipitates continued upstream through the clean water bypass to Connie and Rachel creeks
- Fish weir operating as designed
- Work continued on the design for the Red Dog tailing backdam, the dam will be located on the south side of the tailing pond and will be constructed of earth fill with a concrete/soil aggregate/bentonite cutoff wall, the dam will be constructed to a final height of 986 ft., construction anticipated during 2006 and 2007
- In July, windrows of dead capelin were documented at the Port Site, die off after spawning is normal, only a small percentage survive spawning
- Total count of chum salmon in Ikalukrok Creek on August 16 was 4,185, the highest number reported since 1990
- In 2006, slightly elevated Zn concentrations persisted and TCAK initiated a field investigation comprised of sampling along the clean water bypass, although not definitive, results indicated that the Mine Sump might have been the source of increased Zn concentrations, modifications were made in operational procedures to ensure containment of contaminated waters in the Mine Sump
- Bons Pond (the site of a fish transplant made in 1994 and 1995), estimated Arctic grayling population for summer 2006 was 4,249

Appendix 1 (continued)

2007

- ADEC issued the Certificate of Reasonable Assurance for NPDES Permit AK-003865-2 on February 12, 2007. EPA issued the proposed NPDES permit for the Red Dog Mine discharge on March 7, 2007. Both actions were appealed and on September 28, 2007, EPA signed the NPDES Permit withdrawal. EPA intends to reissue the NPDES Permit upon completion of the Supplemental EIS for Aqqaluk Extension. In the interim, TCAK will operate under the 1998 NPDES Permit
- OHMP completed Technical Report No. 07-04 which summarized aquatic biomonitoring in Bons and Buddy creeks from 2004 to 2006. OHMP recommended that aquatic biomonitoring at four sites in Bons and Buddy Creeks and field work to estimate the Arctic grayling population in Bons Pond continue
- On May 17, 2007, ADNR issued the Certificate of Approval to Construct a Dam Red Dog Back Dam (AK00303)
- On May 24, we notified EPA that open flow existed in North Fork and Mainstem Red Dog creeks. TCAK received written permission from EPA to begin discharge from Outfall 001 and discharge was initiated on May 25
- Two fyke nets were fished in North Fork Red Dog Creek in spring 2007 to determine when Arctic grayling spawning was finished. Based on net catches, observed spawning activity in Mainstem Red Dog Creek, outmigration of mature fish from Mainstem Red Dog Creek as observed on June 3, and the lack of any spawning activity in Mainstem Red Dog Creek on June 3, OHMP determined that spawning was completed on June 2
- On June 6, EPA notified TCAK that the TDS load in Mainstem Red Dog Creek could be increased to 1,500 mg/L due to the fact that Arctic grayling spawning was complete
- Seven Arctic grayling captured in North Fork Red Dog Creek in spring 2007 were fish that had been marked in Bons Pond. Recruitment of Arctic grayling to North Fork Red Dog Creek from the Bons Pond population is occurring
- Fish weir, on Middle Fork Red Dog Creek, is operating as designed
- Arctic grayling spawning success, as determined by presence of fry, was very good in 2007 due to early spawning, low water following spawning for most of the summer, and warm water temperatures. Numerous fry were seen in North Fork Red Dog, Mainstem Red Dog, Ikalukrok, and Bons creeks. Arctic grayling fry in mid-August average 64 mm long (n = 26, 58 to 71 mm, SD = 3.1)
- Middle Fork Red Dog Creek contained an orange, tan colored precipitate that extended both above and below the waste water discharge point and was visible downstream to the fish weir

Appendix 1 (continued)

2007

- Our two estimates for adult chum salmon in Ikalukrok Creek (downstream of Station 160) were 1,408 and 1,998 along with about 100 adult Dolly Varden and 8 Chinook salmon
- Work on a Supplemental EIS for the Aqqaluk Extension project began with a draft scoping document in August, public meetings in early October, and draft alternatives scoping in December
- TCAK continued to make improvements to the mine's clean water bypass system. In October, galvanized culvert was installed replacing sections of HDPE lined ditch in Middle Fork Red Dog Creek upstream of Shelly Creek and continued upstream to the Rachel Creek confluence. In addition, the section of HDPE lined ditch in Connie Creek was converted to culvert as well

2008

- Work on the SEIS for the Aqqaluk Extension continued during 2008. Input via the State's LMPT coordinator was made periodically with emphasis on the alternatives being considered, the aquatic biology background section, and the monitoring plan for both the Red Dog and Bons/Buddy Creek drainages
- On May 5, 2008, we distributed copies of our technical report titled "Aquatic biomonitoring at Red Dog Mine, 2007 National Pollution Discharge Elimination System Permit No. AK-003865-2" covering work done in summer 2007
- On May 13, 2008, we notified ADEC that based on information provided by TCAK that open water flow existed in North Fork Red Dog, Mainstem Red Dog, and Ikalukrok creeks and that wastewater discharge could commence under the conditions of state and federal permits
- On May 28, 2008, TCAK reported to EPA that TDS on May 16 exceeded the permit limits in effect at the time of the discharge
- In spring 2008, Kivalina residents and NANA collected a number of adult Dolly Varden in the Wulik River and planned to have the fish analyzed for metals by Columbia Analytical Lab. Input regarding sampling protocol for adult Dolly Varden was provided to TCAK and NANA on June 6
- June 24, 2008, we reported to TCAK the successful completion of spring work on Arctic grayling in North Fork Red Dog and Mainstem Red Dog creeks and Bons Creek/Bons Pond. In spring 2008, we had at least three age classes of immature fish present in our North Fork Red Dog Creek sample and 18% of these recaptures were fish originally marked in Bons Pond. Our estimated population of Arctic grayling in Bons Pond for summer 2007 was 4,363 fish \geq 200 mm

Appendix 1 (continued)

2008

- On July 9, 2008, we participated in a teleconference with TCAK and Tetra Tech (contractor for the Aqqaluk SEIS) to discuss the potential impacts to Mainstem Red Dog Creek if the wastewater discharge was moved to the ocean. A short narrative describing possible changes to Mainstem Red Dog Creek was prepared and distributed
- On July 16, 2008, ADF&G sent a letter to TCAK that summarized results of our early July field work when we sampled periphyton, aquatic invertebrates, and fish at the NPDES and ADEC sample sites
- In early August, 2008, ADF&G Commissioner Denby Lloyd spent several days at Red Dog that included a briefing, tour of mine facilities, and an overflight of the project area including Ikalukrok Creek, Wulik River, Port Site, and the haul road from the port to the mine
- On August 13, 2008, ADF&G sent to TCAK a summary of fish work done in early August. Using a helicopter, we estimated 3,820 chum salmon in Ikalukrok Creek on August 6 – one of our highest counts since surveys began in 1990
- On August 21, 2008, ADF&G sent to TCAK a summary of Arctic grayling spawning in Mainstem Red Dog and North Fork Red Dog creeks that covered from 2001 to 2008. The report includes a temperature-based criterion for determining when the majority of Arctic grayling spawning in Mainstem Red Dog Creek is substantially complete
- On September 3, 2008, a settlement was reached between all five plaintiffs residents of Kivalina and TECK on a lawsuit that alleged violations of the mine's NPDES permit. On October 23, 2008, a Consent Decree was entered with the Department of Justice as required under a CWA lawsuit. Principle to the agreement was a commitment (barring certain requirements) by TECK to design, permit and construct a pipeline to carry treated mine effluent to the ocean
- TCAK prepared and submitted on August 26, 2008, a draft Fugitive Dust Risk Management Plan
- On October 3, 2008, ADF&G sent by letter to TCAK results of the fall Dolly Varden overwintering survey in the Wulik River. Overall the count of Dolly Varden was lower than in the recent past; however, it was noted that very few small fish (first year migrants) were present. More chum salmon (16,215) were seen from Sivu to Driver's Camp – more chum salmon than have been seen before
- TCAK prepared and submitted a draft monitoring plan for state agency review in early November 2008. The objective is to develop one comprehensive monitoring plan for all state and federal permits pertaining to the mine site as defined by the ambient air boundary. In November and December, we provided input to the States LMPT on the monitoring plan which when completed will be incorporated by reference into the 401 Certification and the ADEC Waste Management Permit

Appendix 1 (continued)

2008

- Adult Dolly Varden and juvenile Dolly Varden for selected metals analyses were prepared and sent to Columbia Analytical Laboratory in mid-November
- November 24, 2008, the SEIS for Red Dog Aqqaluk Extension was released by EPA for public review
- On December 22, 2008, we received a CD for the Red Dog Mine Closure and Reclamation Plan – the final draft for agency review. The closure and reclamation plan are the result of over six years of work by TCAK in consultation with state and federal agencies and the public

2009

- Continued to review and provide comments on the SEIS for the Red Dog Aqqaluk Extension project with emphasis on the monitoring plan prepared by Teck that covers both the Bons/Buddy Creek and Red Dog Creek drainages
- During 2009, Teck continued construction of the back dam/cutoff wall and the next raise of the main dam
- On February 10, 2009, the National Park Service issued a news release that they had released a report titled “Assessment of Metals Exposure and Sub-Lethal Effects in Voles and Small Birds Captured Near the Delong Mountain Regional Transportation System Road, Cape Krusenstern National Monument, Alaska, 2006”
- On February 12, 2009, we received notification that the legal company name for Red Dog was now changed to Teck Alaska Incorporated and in simple form will be known as Teck
- On May 1, 2009, ADF&G distributed copies of the report titled “Aquatic Biomonitoring at Red Dog Mine, 2008 National Pollution Discharge Elimination System Permit No. AK-003865-2”
- On May 5, 2009, ADF&G by email stated that we have no objection to Teck beginning the discharge of treated water to Middle Fork Red Dog Creek
- On May 6, 2009, ADF&G provided written input to ADEC on Teck’s Monitoring Plan
- Several field inspections of the fish weir on Middle Fork Red Dog Creek were made by ADF&G - the weir was operating in compliance with the Fish Habitat Permit
- In early June, ADF&G monitored the Arctic grayling spawning run in Mainstem Red Dog and North Fork Red Dog creeks. Six adult Dolly Varden were collected in the Wulik River near Tutak Creek by Teck
- In early July we successfully completed collection of periphyton, aquatic invertebrates and fish at all NPDES required sample sites as well as 4 sites located in the Bons/Buddy Creek drainages

Appendix 1 (continued)

2009

- Due to extremely low flows, Teck ceased the discharge at Outfall 001 from July 22 around 0600 hr to August 2 around 1400 hr. In our sample reach at Station 151 in Mainstem Red Dog Creek, we observed hundreds of Arctic grayling fry and caught 7 juvenile Dolly Varden in minnow traps. At Station 10 in Mainstem Red Dog Creek we observed several Arctic grayling fry and two adults and caught 6 juvenile Dolly Varden and 5 slimy sculpin. The Arctic grayling fry observed were actively feeding and showed no sign of stress. These results were obtained from July 29 to 31, 2009, and represent conditions in the creek without water from the wastewater discharge
- On August 19, 2009, we reported to Teck the successful completion of spring work on Arctic grayling in North Fork Red Dog and Mainstem Red Dog creeks and Bons Creek/Bons Pond. In spring 2009, we again saw strong recruitment of Arctic grayling to North Fork Red Dog Creek and 13% of these recaptures were fish originally marked in Bons Pond. Our estimated population of Arctic grayling in Bons Pond for summer 2008 was 2,216 \geq 200 mm – a fairly substantial decrease from the summer 2007 estimate of 4,363
- Provided to Teck via email on September 3 the protocols that should be used to handle a fish for pathological work
- On September 25, 2009, Mr. Fred DeCicco (Fisheries Services and Supplies) and Mr. Brendon Scanlon (ADF&G) conducted aerial surveys for Dolly Varden in the Wulik River and chum salmon in Ikalukrok Creek
- On November 24, 2009, ADF&G transmitted to Teck by letter a summary of Arctic grayling spawning in Mainstem Red Dog and North Fork Red Dog creeks (2001 through 2009)
- On December 2, 2009, ADNR issued the Reclamation Plan Approval for the Red Dog Mine and ADEC issued Waste Management Permit No. 0132-BA002 for the Red Dog Mine. Both actions are subject to appeal by third parties
- On December 15, 2009, the ADEC issued the Certificate of Reasonable Assurance for the NPDES Permit AK-003865-2 to regulate the discharge of treated wastewater and stormwater from Red Dog Mine

2010

- On January 8, 2010, the EPA issued NPDES Permit No. AK-003865-2. The permit shall become effective on March 1, 2010
- On January 14, 2010, two nonprofit law firms, representing local tribes and environmental groups, filed an appeal of the state's 401 certification, asserting that certain provisions do not comply with the Clean Water Act

Appendix 1 (continued)

2010

- On February 15, 2010, the same two nonprofit law firms filed a petition for review of the EPA permit with the Environmental Appeals Board. In a letter dated February 26, 2010, EPA stayed several contested conditions of NPDES Permit No. AK-003865-2
- On March 11, 2010, the US Department of the Army issued permit POA-1984-12-M45 to Teck which would authorize development of the Aqqaluk Pit at the Red Dog Mine
- On March 17, 2010, EPA Region 10 withdrew conditions from the 2010 NPDES Permit No. AK-003865-2, including: Part IA.1, Table 1 effluent limits for lead (monthly average limit), selenium (daily maximum limit), zinc, and weak acid dissociable (WAD) cyanide, and; Part IA.7.a – effluent limitations for Total Dissolved Solids (TDS). Those permit conditions not withdrawn, which include the entire permit except the conditions identified above, became fully effective and enforceable on March 31, 2010. As a result of this withdrawal, the following conditions in the 1998 NPDES Permit No. AK003865-2 remain in effect until further agency action: Part IA.1 – effluent limitations for lead (monthly average limit), selenium (daily maximum limit), zinc, TDS, and total cyanide
- On May 20, 2010, Teck announced plans to proceed with development of Aqqaluk
- In early June, ADF&G monitored the Arctic grayling spring spawning migration in the Red Dog Creek drainage and in Bons Pond – strong recruitment of immature Arctic grayling was seen in North Fork Red Dog Creek
- On June 14, 2010, ADNDR responded to a Legislative Research Services request for information on what happened at Red Dog during the past – ADF&G provided input on the request including a copy of Appendix 1 (chronology of events)
- In early July, we collected periphyton and aquatic invertebrate samples at all sites, except Bons Creek where there was no surface flow present
- On July 12, 2010, ADF&G sent a letter to Teck that included a document titled “Comparison of adult Dolly Varden (*Salvelinus malma*) tissue metals concentrations from fish caught in 2008 in the Wulik River Kivalina, Alaska.” The document compares metals concentrations between fish collected by Kivalina and those collected by ADF&G
- We estimated the Arctic grayling population (fish ≥ 200 mm) in North Fork Red Dog Creek in spring 2009 at 1,368 fish (SD = 418) based on the 2010 recapture event
- We estimated the Arctic grayling population (fish ≥ 200 mm) in Bons Pond in spring 2009 at 2,180 (SD = 539) based on the 2010 recapture event
- On September 24, 2010, Mr. Fred DeCicco (Fisheries Services and Supplies) and Mr. Brendon Scanlon (ADF&G) conducted aerial surveys for Dolly Varden in the Wulik River and chum salmon in Ikalukrok Creek – they also estimated 548 adult Dolly Varden in Ikalukrok Creek

Appendix 1 (continued)

2010

- An Arctic grayling tagged in North Fork Red Dog Creek was recaptured by a sport fisherman in the Wulik River due west of the mine and near the Lik Deposit on August 15, 2010
- Seasonal discharge from Outfall 001 was initiated on May 6 and terminated on September 22, 2010

2011

- Technical Report No. 11-01 titled “Aquatic biomonitoring at Red Dog Mine, 2010 National Pollution Discharge Elimination System Permit (NPDES) No. AK-003865-2” was submitted to EPA and ADEC on February 2, 2011
- In mid-February, Teck approved funding to support a radio telemetry project on Arctic grayling in North Fork Red Dog Creek
- On March 11, 2011, ADNR approved modifications (fertilizer rates and composition, use of wild native seeds) to the Reclamation Plan
- On March 30, 2011, ADNR approved modifications to the waste rock segregation criteria as submitted by Teck
- Reports were received from hunters in April of a foul odor up the Wulik River and Teck responded by sending out an inspection crew – they went to Jakes seep along Ikalukrok Creek – the seep was active with a fair amount of gas being released and the odor was very noticeable downwind
- On May 8, 2011, Teck notified EPA (by letter) of plans to utilize Waste Treatment Plant (WTP1) in parallel with WTP2 in order to facilitate more efficient treatment of tailings impoundment (reclaim) water
- On May 15, 2011, Teck notified EPA (by letter) that the discharge through Outfall 001 was initiated at about 0830 – seasonal discharge was terminated on September 24, 2011
- On June 6, 2011, Teck sent a letter to ADF&G summarizing work conducted to clear bridges and culverts of snow and ice along the Port Road prior
- On June 13, 2011, Teck notified EPA by letter of an exceedance in TDS collected at Outfall 001 on May 17 – Teck also indicated that they continue to be in compliance with TDS at Station 151
- In early June, ADF&G monitored the Arctic grayling spring spawning migration in the Red Dog Creek drainage and in Bons Pond – strong recruitment of immature Arctic grayling was seen in North Fork Red Dog Creek
- On June 2, 7 adult Dolly Varden were captured and retained for metals analyses of selected tissues
- 15 mature Arctic grayling were surgically implanted with radio transmitters between June 5 and 11 – all fish were caught and tagged in North Fork Red Dog Creek

Appendix 1 (continued)

2011

- Arctic grayling with radio transmitters were radio-located in July, September, October and November – initially they distributed throughout the Ikalukrok Creek drainage but by fall most were in lower Ikalukrok Creek in a reach used by chum salmon for spawning – on the last survey in early November most of the fish were in the Wulik River between the mouth of Ikalukrok Creek to a location several km downstream of the mouth of Tutak Creek
- In mid-July, periphyton and aquatic invertebrate samples were collected at all the NPDES and ADEC sites
- In late August, 2011, juvenile Dolly Varden sampling was conducted and 42 fish were retained for whole body metals analyses
- On September 27, 7 adult Dolly Varden were captured and retained for metals analyses of selected tissues and an aerial survey of chum salmon spawners in Ikalukrok Creek was conducted on September 25 – 1,507 chum salmon (live and dead) were counted
- Two aerial surveys of Dolly Varden in the Wulik River were flown (September 26 and October 6). The first survey found 16,916 Dolly Varden, but on October 6 DeCicco estimated 64,499 Dolly Varden – a substantial increase from the earlier survey
- seasonal discharge from Outfall 001 was terminated on September 24, 2011
- on November 5, an aerial tracking survey to relocate 14 radio tagged Arctic grayling was conducted finding 9 in the Wulik River, 2 in lower Ikalukrok Creek, 2 in North Fork Red Dog Creek, and 1 in Grayling Junior Creek – the fish in North Fork Red Dog and Grayling Junior creeks had not moved and are presumed to be dead

2012

- Technical Report No. 12-02 titled “Aquatic biomonitoring at Red Dog Mine, 2010 National Pollution Discharge Elimination System Permit (NPDES) No. AK-003865-2” was submitted to EPA and ADEC on March 1, 2012
- Discharge through Outfall 01 to Red Dog Creek began on May 8 and was postponed on June 8 with treated discharge water then routed to either the main pit or back to the tailings impoundment for the remainder of the 2012 discharge season. Discharge to Red Dog Creek was postponed to facilitate repairs to the water treatment plant and was not resumed due to elevated Se concentrations
- In early June, ADF&G monitored the Arctic grayling spring spawning migration in the Red Dog Creek drainage and in Bons Pond – strong recruitment of immature Arctic grayling was seen in North Fork Red Dog Creek in 2012 (recruitment has been strong the last 6 years)

Appendix 1 (continued)

2012

- In early June, radio tagged Arctic grayling were radio-located. Analysis of relocation sites for each fish indicated a 64% mortality rate from 2011 to spring 2012
- On June 3 and 4, adult Dolly Varden were captured and retained for metals analyses of selected tissues – these fish were caught by angling in the Wulik River near Station 2
- In early June, Arctic grayling were captured in Bons Creek and Bons Pond. Growth rates for summer 2011 increased compared with previous years and fish appeared to be more robust and in better condition
- In June, Teck made required notifications to EPA and the State that TDS at Outfall 001 exceeded the end-of-pipe limitation, but TDS did not exceed the applicable state water quality standard at Station 151 in Mainstem Red Dog Creek
- In June, Teck made required notifications to EPA and the State that Se analytical results for Outfall 001 potentially exceeded the limitation set in the 1998 NPDES permit. Currently, Teck is not discharging to Red Dog Creek.
- In early July, periphyton and aquatic invertebrate samples were collected in accordance with permit requirements
- In August, Teck made required notifications to EPA and the State that the diversion structure along the western perimeter of the Aqqaluk Pit had over-topped as a result of a major precipitation event (over three inches of precipitation during a 24-hr period). A temporary repair of the diversion structure was made immediately
- Our mid-August field trip (August 11 to 19) to Red Dog to sample juvenile Dolly Varden was made, but due to extremely high rainfall actual sampling could not be done
- Three aerial surveys of Dolly Varden in the Wulik River were flown (September 27 and 29 and October 10). The second survey (best conditions) found 21,084 Dolly Varden in the Wulik River

2013

- Teck continued to mine ore from the Aqqaluk Pit
- Technical Report No. 13-01 titled “Aquatic biomonitoring at Red Dog Mine, 2012 National Pollution Discharge Elimination System Permit (NPDES) No. AK-003865-2” was submitted to EPA and ADEC on February 28, 2013
- Discharge through Outfall 001 to Middle Fork Red Dog Creek began on May 26, 2013 and ended on September 20, 2013
- An environmental audit of the Red Dog Mine operation was initiated. The scope of the audit does not include the road or Port Facility. The audit is required by the Waste Management Plan and Closure Plan Approval

Appendix 1 (continued)

2013

- The State of Alaska (ADEC) and Teck entered into a Compliance Order by Consent for Se in the waste water discharge. Se concentrations exceeded the permit limits at Outfall 001 on several occasions, but did not exceed the Fresh Water Aquatic Life Criterion of 5.0 $\mu\text{g/L}$ at Station 151 (the end of mixing zone in Mainstem Red Dog Creek). Teck continues its effort to obtain State authorization of a mixing zone for Se in Mainstem Red Dog Creek
- On June 13, fyke nets were set in North Fork Red Dog and Bons creeks and due to high water and rain, the nets were pulled on July 15 – only one Arctic grayling was captured
- In late July, periphyton and aquatic invertebrate samples were collected at six of the nine sites in accordance with permit requirements
- In late July, Arctic grayling fry were present in Bons Creek upstream of Bons Pond, but none were observed in North Fork Red Dog or Mainstem Red Dog creeks
- Our mid-August field trip to Red Dog to sample juvenile Dolly Varden was not made due to repeated high rainfall events during the entire month
- Two aerial surveys of Dolly Varden in the Wulik River were flown (September 19 and October 4). A chum salmon survey in Ikalukrok Creek also was done on September 19
- On October 11, ADF&G sent a letter to Teck regarding increased winter water use from Bons Pond to support lime slaking. We recommended that Teck monitor water use during winter and document surface water elevation in spring prior to breakup

2014

- Technical Report No. 14-02 titled “Aquatic biomonitoring at Red Dog Mine, 2013 National Pollution Discharge Elimination System Permit (NPDES) No. AK-003865-2” was submitted to EPA and ADEC on February 28, 2014
- On April 8, 2014, ADEC issued Modification #1 to the APDES Permit (AK0038652) which authorized a mixing zone for selenium and adjusts Outfall 001 effluent limits for selenium. The modification became effective on May 8, 2014
- Discharge through Outfall 001 to Middle Fork Red Dog Creek began on May 1, 2014 and ended on September 20, 2014
- On May 5, 2014, TDS concentrations at Station 151 as measured with a conductance probe exceeded the TDS limit of 1,500 mg/L – measures will be implemented (during episodic freezing conditions conductance probes will be removed and washed and checks will be made with calibrated, hand-held instruments)

Appendix 1 (continued)

2014

- On May 28, 2014, ice buildup in the clean water bypass culvert caused water to overflow. The water was collected and pumped back into the creek for about 24 hr until it was determined that it may have mixed with mine contact water. Pumping was then diverted to the mine water drainage containment system. Water quality changes downstream during this 24 hr period were undetectable at monitoring stations
- A DIDSON® side-scanning sonar was operated in the lower Wulik River from May 30 to June 6 – over this time period 229 fish moved downstream and 52 moved upstream – water remained high and turbid during the entire sample period
- On June 5, 2014, Teck filed a court report stating that it was exercising their option not to build a pipeline to the coast
- The spring spawning migration of Arctic grayling in Bons Pond/Bons Creek and North Fork Red Dog Creek was sampled from June 7 to 16. Adult Dolly Varden were collected for metals analyses in tissues and adult Arctic grayling were retained from Bons Creek for selenium analysis of ovaries
- In the July 26 to August 2, 2014, field trip, periphyton, aquatic invertebrate, and juvenile fish sampling was done at all nine sites in accordance with permit requirements. In addition, aquatic biomonitoring was conducted in Volcano, Competition, Sourdock, and Upper North Fork Red Dog creeks
- Two aerial surveys of Dolly Varden in the Wulik River were flown (September 21 and October 7, 2014). The chum salmon survey in Ikalukrok Creek also was done on September 21. Radio-tags were placed in 15 adult Dolly Varden in the Wulik River – these fish will be monitored next year during the spring outmigration
- On December 1, 2014, DNR administratively extended the Final Reclamation Plan approval (F20099958) to July 2, 2015

2015

- On January 6, 2015, ADF&G by email indicated that we would be willing to assume regulatory oversight over Teck's maintenance of the fish weir on Middle Fork Red Dog Creek
- On January 22, 2015, ADF&G by letter reported a summary of Se data (ovaries and livers) collected on Arctic grayling females at the Red Dog Mine, Fort Knox Mine, and from the Chena River near Fairbanks
- On February 10, 2015, Habitat (Parker Bradley) gave a presentation at the Alaska Center for the Environment Forum in Anchorage on biomonitoring at Red Dog, Fort Knox, and Greens Creek
- Technical Report No. 15-01 titled "Aquatic biomonitoring at Red Dog Mine, 2014 Alaska Pollution Discharge Elimination System Permit (APDES) No. AK00038652" was submitted to EPA and ADEC on February 28, 2015

Appendix 1 (continued)

2015

- Discharge through Outfall 001 to Middle Fork Red Dog Creek began on May 12, 2015 and ended on September 19, 2015
- on April 21, ADF&G by letter proposed to collect Arctic grayling females in Fish Creek (Fort Knox Mine) and at several sites (North Fork Red Dog, Bons, and Tutak creeks) near the Red Dog Mine and have the ovaries analyzed for selenium
- A DIDSON® side-scanning sonar was operated in the lower Wulik River from May 30 to June 13 – over this time period 26,613 fish moved downstream and 26,577 moved upstream, with much milling behavior observed
- The spring spawning migration of Arctic grayling in Bons Pond/Bons Creek and North Fork Red Dog Creek was sampled from May 28 to June 3. Adult Dolly Varden were collected for metals analyses in tissues and adult Arctic grayling were retained from Bons, North Fork Red Dog, and Tutak creeks for selenium analysis of ovaries
- On June 30, the fish protection barrier on Middle Fork Red Dog Creek was inspected by Teck
- Between July 9 and 12, periphyton and aquatic invertebrate sampling was done at all nine sites in accordance with permit requirements. In addition, aquatic biomonitoring was conducted at seven sites near the Anaarraq Prospect and at one site in Upper North Fork Red Dog creek
- Between July 29 and August 3, juvenile fish sampling was done at all nine sites in accordance with permit requirements. In addition, juvenile fish sampling was conducted at seven sites near the Anaarraq Prospect
- On September 13 and 15, two aerial surveys were conducted: one on the Wulik River and the second on Ikalukrok Creek. The estimated number of Dolly Varden in the Wulik River was 71,484. The estimated number of chum salmon in Ikalukrok Creek was 5,733
- On September 30, DNR by letter extended the approval of the Red Dog Mine Reclamation Plan

Appendix 2. Periphyton Standing Crop

2015 Chloro Results - Red Dog			IDL = 0.09 mg/m ² Linear Check Maximum = 16.69mg/m ²		EDL = 0.21 mg/m ²		Phaeo Corrected				
Daily Vial #	Site/Volume (liters)	Station /Site	Date Collected	Date Analyzed	Vial Chl a	Chl a mg/m ²	Below Estimated Detection Limit (0.21 mg/m ²) OR Above Linear Check (16.69 mg/m ²)	Chl a mg/m ²	664/665 Ratio	Chl b mg/m ²	Chl c mg/m ²
Blank 1	Blank			12/02/15	0.00	0.00	Below Detection	0.00		0.00	0.00
12	Mainstem RD	Station 10		12/02/15	0.27	1.10		1.07	1.71	0.00	0.05
13	Mainstem RD	Station 10		12/02/15	0.07	0.27		0.21	1.50	0.03	0.00
14	Mainstem RD	Station 10		12/02/15	0.13	0.50		0.43	1.57	0.00	0.03
15	Mainstem RD	Station 10		12/02/15	0.24	0.96		0.96	1.75	0.00	0.17
16	Mainstem RD	Station 10		12/02/15	0.19	0.77		0.75	1.70	0.01	0.13
17	Mainstem RD	Station 10		12/02/15	0.16	0.64		0.64	1.75	0.00	0.08
18	Mainstem RD	Station 10		12/02/15	0.15	0.59		0.53	1.63	0.01	0.18
19	Mainstem RD	Station 10		12/02/15	0.14	0.55		0.53	1.71	0.00	0.12
20	Mainstem RD	Station 10		12/02/15	0.15	0.59		0.53	1.63	0.02	0.09
21	Mainstem RD	Station 10		12/02/15	0.16	0.64		0.64	1.75	0.00	0.11
22	Bons C U/S of Bons Pond			12/02/15	0.38	1.50		1.39	1.65	0.05	0.16
23	Bons C U/S of Bons Pond			12/02/15	1.07	4.27		4.06	1.68	0.29	0.23
24	Bons C U/S of Bons Pond			12/02/15	0.49	1.96		1.82	1.65	0.06	0.20
25	Bons C U/S of Bons Pond			12/02/15	1.43	5.72		5.55	1.70	0.25	0.72
26	Bons C U/S of Bons Pond			12/02/15	0.84	3.37		2.99	1.61	0.11	0.11
27	Bons C U/S of Bons Pond			12/02/15	0.49	1.96		1.82	1.65	0.00	0.13
28	Bons C U/S of Bons Pond			12/02/15	0.67	2.69		2.46	1.64	0.00	0.30
29	Bons C U/S of Bons Pond			12/02/15	1.09	4.37		4.06	1.66	0.10	0.08
30	Bons C U/S of Bons Pond			12/02/15	1.08	4.30		4.17	1.71	0.00	0.31
31	Bons C U/S of Bons Pond			12/02/15	1.06	4.25		3.95	1.65	0.45	0.26
Blank 32	Blank			12/02/15	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00
25 double	Bons C U/S of Bons Pond			12/02/15	1.43	5.72		5.34	1.66	0.32	0.79
Blank 1	Blank			12/03/15	0.00	0.00	Below Detection	0.00		0.00	0.00
12	Middle Fork RD Creek	Station 20		12/03/15	0.01	0.05	Below Detection	0.11		0.00	0.09
13	Middle Fork RD Creek	Station 20		12/03/15	0.01	0.04	Below Detection	0.11		0.06	0.00
14	Middle Fork RD Creek	Station 20		12/03/15	0.07	0.27		0.32	2.00	0.03	0.00
15	Middle Fork RD Creek	Station 20		12/03/15	0.09	0.36		0.43	2.00	0.07	0.00
16	Middle Fork RD Creek	Station 20		12/03/15	0.01	0.05	Below Detection	0.11		0.00	0.00
17	Middle Fork RD Creek	Station 20		12/03/15	0.00	0.00	Below Detection	0.00		0.00	0.00
18	Middle Fork RD Creek	Station 20		12/03/15	0.07	0.28		0.21	1.50	0.00	0.03
19	Middle Fork RD Creek	Station 20		12/03/15	0.01	0.05	Below Detection	0.11		0.00	0.00
20	Middle Fork RD Creek	Station 20		12/03/15	0.01	0.05	Below Detection	0.11		0.00	0.00
21	Middle Fork RD Creek	Station 20		12/03/15	0.03	0.13	Below Detection	0.32		0.09	0.02
22	Buddy C below falls			12/03/15	2.49	9.96		9.51	1.66	2.82	0.17
23	Buddy C below falls			12/03/15	1.88	7.50		6.94	1.64	1.49	0.15
24	Buddy C below falls			12/03/15	2.27	9.08		8.54	1.66	1.83	0.17
25	Buddy C below falls			12/03/15	1.31	5.23		5.13	1.71	0.76	0.30
26	Buddy C below falls			12/03/15	0.96	3.86		3.63	1.67	0.24	0.28
27	Buddy C below falls			12/03/15	1.37	5.48		5.23	1.68	0.58	0.20
28	Buddy C below falls			12/03/15	1.70	6.79		6.30	1.64	1.26	0.37
29	Buddy C below falls			12/03/15	1.92	7.68		7.26	1.66	1.56	0.16
30	Buddy C below falls			12/03/15	0.82	3.27		2.99	1.64	0.22	0.19
31	Buddy C below falls			12/03/15	1.51	6.03		5.87	1.70	1.09	0.20
32	Bons U/S of Buddy	Station 220		12/03/15	5.49	21.94	Above Linear Check	20.83	1.67	3.56	0.49
33	Bons U/S of Buddy	Station 220		12/03/15	2.33	9.30		8.86	1.68	0.62	0.28
34	Bons U/S of Buddy	Station 220		12/03/15	4.07	16.27		15.91	1.72	0.00	1.01
35	Bons U/S of Buddy	Station 220		12/03/15	1.06	4.25		4.06	1.69	0.00	0.09
36	Bons U/S of Buddy	Station 220		12/03/15	0.71	2.83		2.67	1.68	0.00	0.25
37	Bons U/S of Buddy	Station 220		12/03/15	0.89	3.54		3.20	1.63	0.17	0.22
38	Bons U/S of Buddy	Station 220		12/03/15	3.81	15.25		13.46	1.59	2.10	0.83
39	Bons U/S of Buddy	Station 220		12/03/15	4.60	18.41	Above Linear Check	17.41	1.67	0.80	1.18
40	Bons U/S of Buddy	Station 220		12/03/15	4.34	17.37	Above Linear Check	16.34	1.66	1.89	0.71
41	Bons U/S of Buddy	Station 220		12/03/15	3.35	13.38		11.96	1.61	2.19	0.36

Appendix 2 (concluded)

2015 Chloro Results - Red Dog			IDL = 0.09 mg/m ² EDL = 0.21 mg/m ²		Linear Check Maximum = 16.69mg/m ²		Phase Corrected				
Daily Vial #	Site/Volume (liters)	Station /Site	Date Collected	Date Analyzed	Vial Chl a	Chl a mg/m ²	Below Estimated Detection Limit (0.21 mg/m ²) OR Above Linear Check (16.69 mg/m ²)	ChLa mg/m ²	664/665 Ratio	Chl b mg/m ²	Chl c mg/m ²
42	North Fork	Station 12		12/03/15	0.35	1.42		1.39	1.72	0.00	0.14
43	North Fork	Station 12		12/03/15	0.65	2.61		2.56	1.73	0.00	0.14
44	North Fork	Station 12		12/03/15	0.27	1.10		1.07	1.71	0.00	0.05
45	North Fork	Station 12		12/03/15	0.29	1.15		1.17	1.79	0.00	0.04
46	North Fork	Station 12		12/03/15	0.25	1.00		0.96	1.69	0.00	0.00
47	North Fork	Station 12		12/03/15	0.62	2.46		2.35	1.69	0.00	0.07
48	North Fork	Station 12		12/03/15	0.48	1.92		1.82	1.68	0.00	0.07
49	North Fork	Station 12		12/03/15	0.20	0.78		0.75	1.70	0.00	0.06
50	North Fork	Station 12		12/03/15	0.22	0.87		0.85	1.73	0.00	0.02
51	North Fork	Station 12		12/03/15	0.47	1.87		1.71	1.64	0.04	0.05
22	Buddy U/S of road	Station 221		12/04/15	0.63	2.53		2.46	1.70	0.25	0.23
23	Buddy U/S of road	Station 221		12/04/15	0.65	2.61		2.35	1.63	0.00	0.34
24	Buddy U/S of road	Station 221		12/04/15	0.43	1.73		1.60	1.65	0.03	0.10
25	Buddy U/S of road	Station 221		12/04/15	0.33	1.32		1.28	1.71	0.00	0.05
26	Buddy U/S of road	Station 221		12/04/15	0.69	2.75		2.56	1.67	0.00	0.22
27	Buddy U/S of road	Station 221		12/04/15	0.30	1.18		1.07	1.63	0.06	0.07
28	Buddy U/S of road	Station 221		12/04/15	0.28	1.13		1.17	1.79	0.07	0.18
29	Buddy U/S of road	Station 221		12/04/15	0.97	3.87		3.10	1.51	0.64	0.12
30	Buddy U/S of road	Station 221		12/04/15	1.15	4.61		4.27	1.63	1.15	0.16
31	Buddy U/S of road	Station 221		12/04/15	0.45	1.81		1.71	1.67	0.14	0.12
42	Ikalukrok C DS of Dudd	Station 160		12/04/15	0.34	1.38		1.28	1.67	0.00	0.08
43	Ikalukrok C DS of Dudd	Station 160		12/04/15	0.66	2.65		2.56	1.71	0.00	0.14
44	Ikalukrok C DS of Dudd	Station 160		12/04/15	0.49	1.96		1.92	1.72	0.00	0.23
45	Ikalukrok C DS of Dudd	Station 160		12/04/15	0.60	2.38		2.24	1.68	0.00	0.14
46	Ikalukrok C DS of Dudd	Station 160		12/04/15	0.71	2.84		2.67	1.68	0.00	0.28
47	Ikalukrok C DS of Dudd	Station 160		12/04/15	0.38	1.51		1.39	1.65	0.00	0.19
48	Ikalukrok C DS of Dudd	Station 160		12/04/15	0.46	1.83		1.71	1.67	0.00	0.21
49	Ikalukrok C DS of Dudd	Station 160		12/04/15	0.49	1.97		1.82	1.65	0.00	0.06
50	Ikalukrok C DS of Dudd	Station 160		12/04/15	0.64	2.56		2.46	1.70	0.00	0.28
51	Ikalukrok C DS of Dudd	Station 160		12/04/15	1.01	4.03		3.84	1.69	0.00	0.02
12	Ikalukrok Cr U/S RD	Station 9		12/7/2015	0.75	3.01		2.88	1.69	0.00	0.39
13	Ikalukrok Cr U/S RD	Station 9		12/7/2015	0.87	3.47		3.42	1.73	0.00	0.36
14	Ikalukrok Cr U/S RD	Station 9		12/7/2015	0.95	3.79		3.74	1.73	0.00	0.44
15	Ikalukrok Cr U/S RD	Station 9		12/7/2015	1.07	4.30		4.38	1.77	0.00	0.48
16	Ikalukrok Cr U/S RD	Station 9		12/7/2015	0.85	3.39		3.31	1.72	0.00	0.30
17	Ikalukrok Cr U/S RD	Station 9		12/7/2015	0.79	3.16		2.99	1.68	0.00	0.27
18	Ikalukrok Cr U/S RD	Station 9		12/7/2015	0.83	3.34		3.20	1.70	0.00	0.21
19	Ikalukrok Cr U/S RD	Station 9		12/7/2015	0.31	1.24		1.17	1.69	0.00	0.10
20	Ikalukrok Cr U/S RD	Station 9		12/7/2015	1.05	4.21		4.06	1.70	0.00	0.35
21	Ikalukrok Cr U/S RD	Station 9		12/7/2015	0.77	3.07		2.99	1.72	0.00	0.31

Appendix 3. Aquatic Invertebrate Drift Samples

Middle Fork Red Dog Creek, Station 20, Drift Samples Invertebrates																	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Total aquatic taxa	15	15	19	15	28	23	20	16	26	25	15	15	25	16	28	28	24
Tot. Ephemeroptera	9	0	17	4	6	44	41	7	23	29	16	1	30	2	2	7	8
Tot. Plecoptera	3	5	43	20	34	38	28	9	11	13	4	0	20	5	61	60	8
Tot. Trichoptera	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Total Aq. Diptera	104	40	153	121	449	28	92	6	80	72	45	103	197	18	105	103	47
Misc.Aq.sp	9	17	73	17	55	46	177	5	82	52	38	10	181	3	84	33	17
% Ephemeroptera	8%	0%	6%	2%	1%	28%	12%	26%	12%	17%	15%	1%	7%	7%	1%	3%	10%
% Plecoptera	3%	7%	15%	13%	7%	24%	8%	35%	6%	8%	4%	0%	5%	17%	24%	30%	10%
% Trichoptera	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
% Aq. Diptera	83%	64%	53%	75%	83%	18%	27%	22%	41%	43%	43%	90%	46%	64%	42%	51%	59%
% other	7%	28%	26%	10%	10%	29%	52%	18%	42%	31%	37%	9%	42%	11%	33%	16%	21%
% EPT	10%	8%	21%	15%	7%	52%	21%	60%	18%	25%	20%	1%	12%	25%	25%	33%	20%
% Chironomidae	80%	36%	51%	73%	73%	16%	24%	15%	35%	39%	38%	86%	44%	51%	37%	44%	54%
% Dominant Aquatic Taxon	46%	36%	31%	43%	48%	30%	42%	37%	22%	22%	37%	75%	28%	38%	21%	30%	35%
Volume of water (m3)	378	551	933	310	702	880	302	296	384	249	285	78	733	72	246	601	626
average water/net	76	110	187	62	140	176	60	59	77	50	57	16	147	14	49	120	125
StDev of water volume	24	26	89	14	38	91	26	9	52	8	11	9	28	3	22	27	39
Estimated total inverts/m3 water	2.92	0.6	1.7	6.2	6.6	1.1	19.4	0.6	7.4	16.2	23.2	10.1	4.7	3.1	10	2.4	2.8
Estimated aquatic inverts/m3 water	1.7	0.6	1.5	2.6	3.9	0.9	5.6	0.4	2.6	3.4	1.8	7.3	2.9	2.0	5.1	1.7	0.6
average inv/m3	3.2	0.6	1.8	6.1	6.4	1.2	19.5	0.6	10.5	16.3	24.1	11.7	4.7	3.3	11.6	2.4	3.1
average aq. Invertebrates/m3 water	1.8	0.57	1.64	2.59	3.74	0.95	5.33	0.45	3.53	3.39	1.8	8.2	3	2.1	5.94	1.69	0.7
Stdev of aq. Inv. Den.	1.3	0.21	0.38	0.58	1.07	0.27	0.97	0.21	1.86	0.7	0.25	3.2	0.4	1.7	2.53	0.08	0.4
Total aquatic invertebrates	627	309	1431	810	2719	783	1694	133	980	835	523	573	2145	144	1263	1019	403
Total terrestrial invertebrates	477	10	185	1115	1889	170	4158	59	1875	3210	6096	218	1290	82	1194	441	1377
Total invertebrates	1104	319	1616	1925	4608	953	5852	192	2855	4045	6619	791	3435	226	2457	1460	1780
% Sample aquatic	57%	97%	89%	42%	59%	82%	29%	69%	34%	21%	8%	72%	62%	64%	51%	70%	23%
% Sample terrestrial	43%	3%	11%	58%	41%	18%	71%	31%	66%	79%	92%	28%	38%	36%	49%	30%	77%
Average # aquatic inverts / net	125	62	286	162	544	157	339	27	196	167	105	115	429	29	253	204	81
stdev aq inv/net	59	20	111	56	242	69	178	11	20	35	30	51	86	22	58	49	46
Average # terr. inverts / net	95	2	37	223	378	34	832	12	375	642	1219	44	258	16	239	88	275
Average # inverts / net	221	64	323	385	922	191	1170	38	571	809	1324	158	687	45	491	292	357
stdev inv/net	68	21	127	156	376	85	532	13	55	191	259	74	206	49	110	65	187
Total Larval Arctic Grayling/site	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larval Slimy Sculpin/site	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larval Dolly Varden/site	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 3 (continued)

North Fork Red Dog Creek, Station 12, Drift Samples Invertebrates																	
Date:	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Total aquatic taxa	13	13	18	16	26	20	21	15	21	23	19	17	19	22	24	23	21
Tot. Ephemeroptera	67	14	20	170	194	38	198	882	163	57	66	26	79	33	36	7	275
Tot. Plecoptera	23	94	117	40	64	5	5	19	11	77	18	4	22	26	38	11	8
Tot. Trichoptera	4	6	6	0	4	0	0	0	1	4	1	3	11	1	3	0	1
Total Aq. Diptera	700	314	1134	116	716	27	333	755	641	1574	2113	1092	3245	203	170	116	926
Misc.Aq.sp	30	69	226	43	188	17	39	32	135	320	251	140	536	221	151	90	40
% Ephemeroptera	8%	3%	1%	46%	16%	44%	34%	52%	17%	3%	3%	2%	2%	7%	9%	3%	22%
% Plecoptera	3%	19%	8%	11%	6%	5%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	0%	1%	5%	10%	5%	1%
% Trichoptera	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
% Aq. Diptera	85%	63%	75%	31%	62%	31%	58%	45%	67%	77%	86%	86%	83%	42%	43%	52%	74%
% other	4%	14%	15%	12%	16%	19%	7%	2%	14%	16%	10%	11%	14%	46%	38%	40%	3%
% EPT	11%	23%	9%	57%	23%	50%	35%	53%	18%	7%	3%	3%	3%	12%	19%	8%	23%
% Chironomidae	54%	36%	57%	22%	27%	25%	36%	14%	61%	32%	55%	33%	23%	17%	34%	44%	4%
% Dominant Aquatic Taxon	45%	32%	43%	46%	35%	48%	34%	44%	36%	45%	43%	54%	61%	25%	25%	28%	46%
Volume of water (m3)	559	221	747	226	672	672	380	368	297	329	681	187	1015	287	567	800	453
average water/net	112	44	149	45	134	134	76	74	59	66	136	37	203	57	113	160	91
StDev of water volume	80	12	54	23	37	64	54	10	24	20	45	22	49	22	27	26	53
Estimated total inverts/m3 water	9.2	11.8	10.2	13.5	9.3	0.9	12.4	23.6	18.3	33.2	28	37.4	20.2	9.8	4.6	2	14.1
Estimated aquatic inverts/m3 water	7.4	11.2	10	8.1	8.7	0.6	7.6	23	16	30.9	18	33.8	19.2	8.4	3.5	1.4	13.8
average inv/m3	14.2	11.5	10.2	15	10	0.8	16.3	23.5	19.9	33.5	28.1	35.3	21.2	10.1	4.6	2.1	14.9
average aq. Invertebrates/m3 water	11.4	10.9	10	9.1	9.4	0.6	11.8	22.8	17.5	31.1	18.4	31.3	20.1	8.6	3.52	1.45	14.59
Stdev of aq. Inv. Den.	8.3	5.7	1.5	5.3	5.2	0.2	9.4	3.9	6.6	7.8	2.83	11.6	7.9	0.7	0.46	0.41	2.77
Total aquatic invertebrates	4120	2486	7509	1839	5827	435	2875	8442	4750	10159	12242	6324	19465	2417	1988	1126	6251
Total. terrestrial invertebrates	1044	129	117	1211	426	159	1833	248	670	745	6843	677	1070	395	625	500	142
Total invertebrates	5164	2615	7626	3050	6254	594	4708	8691	5420	10904	19085	7000	20535	2812	2612	1626	6393
% Sample aquatic	80%	95%	98%	60%	93%	73%	61%	97%	88%	93%	64%	90%	95%	86%	76%	69%	98%
% Sample terrestrial	20%	5%	2%	40%	7%	27%	39%	3%	12%	7%	36%	10%	5%	14%	24%	31%	2%
Average # aquatic inverts / net	824	497	1502	368	1165	87	575	1688	950	2032	2448	1265	3893	483	398	225	1250
stdev aq inv/net	138	352	545	161	409	60	278	448	265	802	764	977	1286	174	104	49	698
Average # terr. inverts / net	209	26	23	242	85	32	367	50	134	149	1369	135	214	79	125	100	28
Average # inverts / net	1033	523	1525	610	1251	119	942	1738	1084	2181	3817	1400	4107	562	522	325	1279
stdev inv/net	274	339	560	188	434	97	587	447	308	848	1480	1048	1378	188	164	76	717
Total Larval Arctic Grayling/site	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larval Slimy Sculpin/site	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larval Dolly Varden/site	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 3 (continued)

Mainstem Red Dog Creek, Station 10, Drift Samples Invertebrates																	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Total aquatic taxa	11	7	19	12	21	17	15	20	22	24	17	15	24	15	22	25	19
Tot. Ephemeroptera	2	0	6	14	313	24	54	77	56	25	10	1	16	3	2	6	77
Tot. Plecoptera	35	16	34	30	292	16	36	45	144	50	15	6	27	36	162	52	26
Tot. Trichoptera	0	1	3	0	1	0	7	0	1	3	1	0	4	0	1	1	2
Total Aq. Diptera	182	20	676	129	438	37	396	87	558	1301	347	57	708	102	96	188	220
Misc.Aq.sp	3	2	82	8	58	9	82	73	141	106	49	10	271	68	88	140	13
% Ephemeroptera	1%	1%	1%	8%	28%	28%	9%	27%	6%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	23%
% Plecoptera	16%	41%	4%	17%	27%	18%	6%	16%	16%	3%	4%	8%	3%	17%	46%	14%	8%
% Trichoptera	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
% Aq. Diptera	82%	52%	84%	71%	40%	43%	69%	31%	62%	88%	82%	76%	69%	49%	28%	49%	65%
% other	1%	4%	10%	4%	5%	11%	14%	26%	16%	7%	12%	14%	26%	32%	25%	36%	4%
% EPT	17%	44%	5%	25%	55%	47%	17%	43%	22%	5%	6%	10%	5%	19%	47%	15%	31%
% Chironomidae	69%	25%	79%	62%	24%	39%	36%	22%	60%	55%	60%	68%	51%	22%	25%	45%	51%
% Dominant Aquatic Taxon	61%	42%	64%	52%	29%	30%	33%	23%	42%	52%	43%	55%	38%	30%	46%	30%	38%
Volume of water (m3)	869	356	1323	255	688	1239	665	417	422	384	378	139	966	311	781	704	1074
average water/net	174	71	265	51	138	248	133	83	84	77	76	28	193	62	156	141	215
StDev of water volume	122	27	56	15	39	54	65	13	20	10	24	16	17	20	50	38	68
Estimated total inverts/m3 water	1.4	0.6	3.1	3.8	8.2	0.5	7.5	4.8	13.5	22.6	9.2	4.1	6.7	4.4	2.4	6.3	2.4
Estimated aquatic inverts/m3 water	1.3	0.5	3	3.6	8	0.3	4.3	3.4	10.7	19.4	5.6	2.7	5.3	3.4	2.2	2.7	1.6
average inv/m3	1.9	0.7	3.2	4.2	8.6	0.5	8.2	5	14	22.8	8.8	3.2	6.6	4.5	2.5	6	2.4
average aq. inverts/m3 water	1.8	0.6	3.1	4	8.4	0.4	4.6	3.5	11.1	19.5	5.3	2.1	5.3	3.5	2.33	2.67	1.51
Stdev of aq. Inv. Den.	1.3	0.3	0.8	2.1	1.9	0	1.6	1.4	2.3	3.6	1.4	1.4	1.3	0.5	0.43	1.13	0.31
Total aquatic invertebrates	1111	192	4003	910	5503	427	2875	1410	4497	7427	2109	370	5125	1048	1745	1932	1688
Total. terrestrial invertebrates	136	21	121	49	121	173	2119	609	1218	1252	1351	205	1311	311	113	2526	877
Total invertebrates	1247	213	4123	959	5624	600	4993	2018	5715	8679	3461	575	6437	1359	1858	4457	2565
% Sample aquatic	89%	90%	97%	95%	98%	71%	58%	70%	79%	86%	61%	64%	80%	77%	94%	43%	66%
% Sample terrestrial	11%	10%	3%	5%	2%	29%	42%	30%	21%	14%	39%	36%	20%	23%	6%	57%	34%
Average # aquatic inverts / net	222	38	801	182	1101	85	575	282	899	1485	422	74	1025	210	349	386	338
stdev aq inv/net	126	25	182	47	152	16	311	66	83	227	242	76	315	52	59	246	149
Average # terr. inverts / net	27	4	24	10	24	35	424	122	244	250	270	41	262	62	23	505	175
Average # inverts / net	249	43	825	192	1125	120	999	404	1143	1736	692	115	1287	272	372	891	513
stdev inv/net	153	27	171	51	152	25	529	69	111	218	358	116	355	63	67	700	217
Total Larval Arctic Grayling/site	5	5	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	45	2	0	1	0	1	0	0
Total Larval Slimy Sculpin/site	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Total Larval Dolly Varden/site	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 3 (continued)

Ikakukrok Creek, Station 9, Drift Samples Invertebrates (samples not collected in 2013 due to high water)																
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2014	2015
Total aquatic taxa	8	9	15	13	21	16	13	18	20	20	24	14	19	22	23	21
Tot. Ephemeroptera	11	63	267	213	138	208	571	67	225	122	151	4	129	109	247	31
Tot. Plecoptera	17	13	159	24	54	30	189	57	98	64	21	4	62	28	124	20
Tot. Trichoptera	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Total Aq. Diptera	10	58	1252	285	485	196	185	56	217	193	370	167	1625	416	414	46
Misc. Aq. sp	9	8	56	5	23	23	23	25	24	162	125	10	113	26	74	1
% Ephemeroptera	24%	44%	15%	40%	19%	45%	59%	33%	40%	23%	23%	2%	7%	19%	29%	31%
% Plecoptera	36%	9%	9%	5%	8%	7%	19%	28%	17%	12%	3%	2%	3%	5%	14%	20%
% Trichoptera	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
% Aq. Diptera	22%	41%	72%	54%	70%	43%	19%	27%	39%	36%	56%	90%	84%	72%	48%	47%
% other	19%	6%	3%	1%	3%	5%	2%	12%	4%	30%	19%	5%	6%	4%	9%	1%
% EPT	60%	54%	25%	45%	27%	52%	79%	60%	57%	34%	26%	4%	10%	24%	43%	52%
% Chironomidae	21%	39%	69%	52%	65%	25%	15%	18%	35%	28%	31%	49%	76%	46%	41%	38%
% Dominant Aquatic Taxon	32%	45%	65%	44%	57%	36%	37%	24%	35%	20%	24%	44%	63%	29%	34%	25%
Volume of water (m3)	260	478	833	575	450	2772	555	352	382	390	601	265	792	540	1519	418
average water/net	52	96	167	115	90	554	111	70	76	78	120	53	158	108	304	84
StDev of water volume	25	16	106	29	23	161	12	16	23	22	46	19	28	41	31	44
Estimated total inverts/m3 water	1.5	1.6	10.7	4.9	8.7	1.4	11.4	3.8	9	11.3	8.4	3.8	13.9	9.7	3.8	1.7
Estimated aquatic inverts/m3 water	0.9	1.5	10.4	4.6	7.8	0.8	8.7	2.9	7.4	6.9	5.5	3.5	12.2	5.4	2.8	1.2
average inv/m3	1.6	1.6	12	5	8.9	1.4	11.4	3.9	9.5	13.7	8.4	4	14.3	11.7	3.8	1.7
average aq inverts/m3 water	1	1.5	11.7	4.7	7.9	0.9	8.7	3	7.9	8.3	5.5	3.7	12.6	6.4	2.8	1.1
Stdev of aq. inv. Den.	0.6	0.3	4.6	0.8	1	0.1	1.7	1.2	2.5	6.2	1.3	0.8	4	4.06	0.9	0.3
Total aquatic invertebrates	232	714	8668	2635	3497	2288	4848	1028	2822	2707	3330	926	9662	2890	4295	488
Total. terrestrial invertebrates	159	66	220	168	403	1507	1482	325	606	1704	1741	92	1377	2375	1415	232
Total invertebrates	391	780	8888	2803	3900	3795	6330	1353	3427	4410	5071	1018	11039	5264	5711	720
% Sample aquatic	59%	92%	98%	94%	90%	60%	77%	76%	82%	61%	66%	91%	88%	55%	75%	68%
% Sample terrestrial	41%	8%	2%	6%	10%	40%	23%	24%	18%	39%	34%	9%	12%	45%	25%	32%
Average # aquatic inverts / net	46	143	1734	527	699	458	970	206	564	541	666	185	1932	578	859	98
stdev aq inv/net	26	46	822	102	115	90	255	81	120	266	347	63	516	278	265	70
Average # terr. inverts / net	32	13	44	34	81	301	296	65	121	341	348	18	275	475	283	46
Average # inverts / net	78	156	1778	561	780	759	1266	271	685	882	1014	204	2208	1053	1142	144
stdev inv/net	51	50	849	99	110	158	296	94	173	424	491	65	581	585	309	103
Total Larval Arctic Grayling/site	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total Larval Slimy Sculpin/site	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Total Larval Dolly Varden/site	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 3 (continued)

Ikalukrok Creek below Dudd Creek Station 7/160 starting in 2010 under new permit (samples not collected in 2013 due to high water)																
Year Sampled	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2014	2015
Total aquatic taxa	10	12	18	9	18	24	18	22	18	24	19	14	19	24	22	36
Tot. Ephemeroptera	1	4	138	12	59	23	152	114	126	17	33	4	38	31	11	126
Tot. Plecoptera	9	102	43	12	37	8	4	29	21	21	8	2	6	2	5	11
Tot. Trichoptera	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
Total Aq. Diptera	38	319	262	111	1054	95	529	323	1356	1335	1558	371	867	181	165	1085
Misc.Aq.sp	3	105	22	2	36	44	8	83	187	119	28	92	61	64	64	145
% Ephemeroptera	1%	1%	30%	8%	5%	13%	22%	21%	7%	1%	2%	1%	4%	11%	4%	9%
% Plecoptera	17%	19%	9%	8%	3%	4%	1%	5%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%
% Trichoptera	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
% Aq. Diptera	75%	60%	56%	81%	89%	55%	76%	59%	80%	89%	96%	79%	89%	65%	67%	79%
% other	7%	20%	5%	1%	3%	26%	1%	15%	11%	8%	2%	20%	6%	23%	26%	11%
% EPT	18%	20%	39%	17%	8%	19%	22%	26%	9%	3%	3%	1%	5%	12%	7%	10%
% Chironomidae	66%	39%	51%	36%	22%	43%	59%	43%	68%	18%	14%	29%	55%	35%	43%	31%
% Dominant Aquatic Taxon	63%	39%	46%	46%	67%	31%	38%	27%	58%	71%	82%	50%	36%	32%	31%	41%
Volume of water (m3)	190	513	617	359	866	1182	303	617	502	491	659	1236	801	550	954	1460
average water/net	38	103	123	72	173	236	61	123	100	98	132	247	160	110	191	292
StDev of water volume	23	54	40	23	19	114	14	35	33	56	46	101	29	21	99	99
Estimated total inverts/m3 water	1.8	5.7	3.9	2.2	7.2	1	15.3	5.2	23.1	17.7	13.6	2.4	6.4	3.0	1.4	5.7
Estimated aquatic inverts/m3 water	1.3	5.2	3.8	1.9	6.9	0.7	11.4	4.5	16.9	15.2	12.3	1.9	6.1	2.5	1.3	4.7
average inv/m3	2.5	6	4.1	2.3	7.3	1	15.4	5.6	26.1	17.9	14.1	2.3	6.4	3.1	1.5	5.9
average aq inverts/m3 water	1.7	5.4	4	2	7	0.8	11.4	4.9	18.8	15.6	13	1.8	6	2.7	1.3	4.8
StDev of aq. Inv. Density	1	1.3	1	0.8	1.5	0.1	3.4	2	7.6	1.8	2.7	0.6	2.9	1.0	0.2	1.6
Total aquatic invertebrates	253	2657	2335	684	5940	857	3465	2759	8455	7466	8136	2347	4860	1397	1228	6846
Total terrestrial invertebrates	90	291	54	114	291	279	1181	428	3112	1224	791	574	252	249	133	1515
Total invertebrates	343	2948	2389	798	6232	1136	4646	3187	11567	8689	8927	2920	5112	1646	1361	8361
% Sample aquatic	74%	90%	98%	86%	95%	75%	75%	87%	73%	86%	91%	80%	95%	85%	90%	82%
% Sample terrestrial	26%	10%	2%	14%	5%	25%	25%	13%	27%	14%	9%	20%	5%	15%	10%	18%
Average # aquatic inverts / net	51	531	467	137	1188	171	693	552	1691	1493	1627	469	972	279	246	1369
stdev aq inv/net	27	309	64	56	167	63	292	111	209	842	421	308	502	53	128	613
Average # terr. inverts / net	18	58	11	23	58	56	236	86	622	245	158	115	50	50	27	303
Average # inverts / net	69	590	478	160	1246	227	929	637	2313	1738	1785	584	1022	329	272	1672
stdev inv/net	29	328	66	53	167	84	352	130	276	1012	487	386	533	64	136	719
Total Larval Arctic Grayling/site	0	2	0	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larval Slimy Sculpin/site	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	20
Total Larval Dolly Varden/site	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 3 (continued)

Bons Creek below Blast Road, upstream of Bons Pond												
Year Sampled	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Total aquatic taxa	17	23	16	14	19	14	11	20	15	20	15	22
Tot. Ephemeroptera	3	15	7	6	6	9	3	67	13	47	3	33
Tot. Plecoptera	1	1	1	1	3	1	0	1	0	2	5	1
Tot. Trichoptera	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Aq. Diptera	39	82	23	367	347	251	46	507	37	121	81	93
Misc.Aq.sp	7	66	10	56	114	17	8	86	20	15	30	11
% Ephemeroptera	6%	9%	17%	1%	1%	6%	5%	10%	18%	26%	2%	24%
% Plecoptera	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	3%	0%	0%	1%	1%	4%	1%
% Trichoptera	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
% Aq. Diptera	77%	50%	56%	86%	74%	0%	81%	77%	53%	66%	68%	67%
% other	14%	40%	25%	13%	24%	90%	14%	13%	29%	8%	26%	8%
% EPT	8%	10%	19%	2%	2%	4%	5%	10%	18%	26%	6%	25%
% Chironomidae	68%	27%	43%	72%	20%	81%	75%	70%	46%	53%	66%	52%
% Dominant Aquatic Taxon	60%	38%	38%	50%	53%	76%	61%	48%	46%	33%	57%	37%
Volume of water (m3)	349	104	68	86	79	87	16	176	53	418	236	213
average water/net	70	21	14	17	16	17	3	35	11	84	47	43
StDev of water volume	10	11	3	3	8	12	1	7	5	24	10	16
Estimated total inverts/m3 water	1.3	23	4.6	31.5	55.4	25.7	21.8	21.3	9.5	2.5	2.8	4.5
Estimated aquatic inverts/m3 water	0.7	7.9	3.1	24.8	29.9	16.1	17.9	18.8	6.7	2.2	2.5	3.3
average inv/m3	1.3	23	4.6	31.5	57.6	31.8	20.2	21.5	12.5	2.8	2.8	5.4
average aq inverts/m3 water	0.7	9.6	3.2	25	30.4	19	16.1	19.1	8.37	2.42	2.5	4.24
StDev of aq. Inv. Density	0.5	4.9	1.3	8.4	4.6	8.5	9.5	3.9	5.07	1.16	0.5	3.37
Total aquatic invertebrates	251	823	208	2147	2354	1392	283	3302	353	925	591	693
Total terrestrial invertebrates	209	1564	105	574	2012	834	63	450	147	134	75	254
Total invertebrates	460	2387	313	2721	4365	2226	346	3752	500	1059	666	947
% Sample aquatic	55%	34%	66%	79%	54%	63%	82%	88%	71%	87%	89%	73%
% Sample terrestrial	45%	66%	34%	21%	46%	37%	18%	12%	29%	13%	11%	27%
Average # aquatic inverts / net	50	165	42	429	471	278	57	660	71	185	118	139
stdev aq inv/net	40	58	14	154	218	135	43	141	25	45	43	37
Average # terr. inverts / net	42	313	21	115	402	167	13	90	29	27	15	51
Average # inverts / net	92	477	63	544	873	445	69	750	100	212	133	189
stdev inv/net	79	336	17	207	428	169	48	187	43	50	42	58
Total Larval Arctic Grayling/site	0	10	0	78	0	0	0	3	14	43	1	1
Total Larval Slimy Sculpin/site	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larval Dolly Varden/site	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 3 (continued)

Bons Creek (Station 220), upstream of confluence with Buddy Creek (2010 and 2012 no surface flow)										
Year Sampled	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2011	2013	2014	2015
Total aquatic taxa	20	18	19	17	20	19	27	24	29	21
Tot. Ephemeroptera	7	51	17	95	63	130	12	6	2	13
Tot. Plecoptera	3	5	8	8	29	7	14	1	1	6
Tot. Trichoptera	1	1	0	4	4	0	2	0	2	0
Total Aq. Diptera	48	63	122	1391	2112	1044	260	133	101	107
Misc.Aq.sp	3	8	5255	1590	1322	95	191	602	856	45
% Ephemeroptera	11%	40%	0%	3%	2%	10%	2%	1%	0%	8%
% Plecoptera	5%	4%	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	0%	0%	4%
% Trichoptera	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
% Aq. Diptera	77%	50%	2%	45%	60%	82%	54%	18%	11%	62%
% other	5%	40%	97%	51%	37%	7%	40%	81%	89%	26%
% EPT	18%	44%	0%	3%	3%	11%	6%	1%	0%	11%
% Chironomidae	46%	43%	2%	17%	48%	50%	25%	18%	8%	38%
% Dominant Aquatic Taxon	45%	43%	89%	50%	48%	45%	29%	39%	49%	27%
Volume of water (m ³)	698	76	612	150	317	216	409	573	296	255
average water/net	140	15	122	30	63	43	82	115	59	64
StDev of water volume	59	7	44	21	20	12	47	45	20	26
Estimated total inverts/m ³ water	0.8	11.2	46.0	115.6	60.5	36.2	6.3	6.8	17.3	7.2
Estimated aquatic inverts/m ³ water	0.4	8.4	44.2	103.0	55.8	29.6	5.9	6.5	16.3	2.7
average inv/m ³	0.9	11.2	46.0	222.4	61.4	35.2	7.6	7	17.3	7.5
average aq inverts/m ³ water	0.4	8.1	46.4	199.8	56.8	28.6	7	6.7	16.1	2.66
StDev of aq. Inv. Density	0.2	2.2	21.5	232.8	11.0	12.4	3	1.5	2.3	1.11
Total aquatic invertebrates	312	636	27013	15436	17648	6375	2393	3712	4809	685
Total terrestrial invertebrates	273	217	1143	1892	1494	1427	198	196	302	1143
Total invertebrates	585	853	28156	17328	19142	7802	2591	3908	5111	1828
% Sample aquatic	53%	75%	96%	89%	92%	82%	92%	95%	94%	37%
% Sample terrestrial	47%	25%	4%	11%	8%	18%	8%	5%	6%	63%
Average # aquatic inverts / net	62	127	5403	3087	3530	1275	479	742	962	171
stdev aq inv/net	56	66	2101	2008	993	833	188	242	358	91
Average # terr. inverts / net	55	43	229	378	299	285	40	39	60	286
Average # inverts / net	117	171	5631	3466	3828	1560	518	782	1022	457
stdev inv/net	59	88	2183	2288	1098	992	197	263	353	284
Total Larval Arctic Grayling/site	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larval Slimy Sculpin/site	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larval Dolly Varden/site	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 3 (continued)

Buddy Creek (Station 221), upstream of haul road												
Year Sampled	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Total aquatic taxa	20	20	19	23	22	17	17	23	21	22	23	24
Tot. Ephemeroptera	2042	232	515	385	110	18	25	409	1878	39	1473	3577
Tot. Plecoptera	20	18	28	130	86	30	3	48	8	19	36	28
Tot. Trichoptera	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	1
Total Aq. Diptera	195	423	476	965	1632	489	654	715	334	260	527	292
Misc. Aq. sp	25	47	84	98	204	73	69	147	36	60	119	35
% Ephemeroptera	89%	32%	47%	24%	5%	3%	3%	31%	83%	10%	68%	91%
% Plecoptera	1%	3%	3%	8%	4%	5%	0%	4%	0%	5%	2%	1%
% Trichoptera	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
% Aq. Diptera	9%	59%	43%	61%	80%	80%	87%	54%	15%	69%	24%	7%
% other	1%	32%	8%	6%	10%	12%	9%	11%	2%	16%	6%	1%
% EPT	90%	35%	49%	33%	10%	8%	4%	35%	84%	16%	70%	92%
% Chironomidae	5%	38%	25%	43%	39%	39%	81%	36%	5%	56%	15%	4%
% Dominant Aquatic Taxon	89%	28%	44%	24%	41%	41%	62%	32%	83%	36%	67%	90%
Volume of water (m3)	771	235	600	242	489	318	183	437	71	495	783	454
average water/net	154	47	120	48	98	64	37	87	14	99	157	91
StDev of water volume	146	18	65	30	18	34	16	46	3	26	99	58
Estimated total inverts/m3 water	16.2	22	11.5	39.7	24.6	19	31	15.7	160.6	4.6	15.9	46.6
Estimated aquatic inverts/m3 water	14.8	15.3	9.2	32.7	20.8	9.6	20.6	15.1	159.3	3.8	13.8	43.3
average inv/m3	20.1	22	11.5	47	25	22.3	35.4	19.2	165.8	4.5	16.5	73.6
average aq inverts/m3 water	18.1	17.2	9.3	38.9	21	11.1	25.1	18.4	164.5	3.8	14.9	68.48
StDev of aq. Inv. Density	10.1	7.5	2.1	16.1	4.2	4.7	16.8	10.3	84.4	0.7	6.3	59.09
Total aquatic invertebrates	11414	3607	5515	7892	10161	3050	3767	6593	11276	1894	10783	19659
Total. terrestrial invertebrates	1074	1572	1404	1698	1900	2971	1897	289	90	364	1683	1528
Total invertebrates	12488	5179	6918	9590	12061	6021	5664	6882	11366	2257	12466	21187
% Sample aquatic	91%	70%	80%	82%	84%	51%	67%	96%	99%	84%	87%	93%
% Sample terrestrial	9%	30%	20%	18%	16%	49%	33%	4%	1%	16%	13%	7%
Average # aquatic inverts / net	2283	721	1103	1578	2032	610	753	1319	2255	379	2157	3932
stdev aq inv/net	1459	176	575	555	391	144	410	642	1016	111	1682	2774
Average # terr. inverts / net	215	314	281	340	380	594	379	58	18	73	337	306
Average # inverts / net	2498	1036	1384	1918	2412	1204	1133	1376	2273	451	2493	4287
stdev inv/net	1540	323	752	683	394	380	852	670	1014	143	2010	2840
Total Larval Arctic Grayling/site	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larval Slimy Sculpin/site	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larval Dolly Varden/site	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 3 (concluded)

Buddy Creek (below falls), not sampled in 2013 due to high water													
Year Sampled	2004	2005	2006a	2006b	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011a	2011b	2012	2014	2015
Total aquatic taxa	18	19	16	18	25	20	13	14	28	29	19	26	20
Tot. Ephemeroptera	578	328	253	253	1316	124	776	6	139	139	112	108	171
Tot. Plecoptera	9	12	32	32	92	21	18	0	30	30	4	18	19
Tot. Trichoptera	1	2	0	0	7	2	0	0	3	3	0	2	1
Total Aq. Diptera	363	855	199	199	2284	2011	4424	1478	1525	1525	187	1788	594
Misc.Aq.sp	71	19	125	2461	444	206	153	56	230	1773	37	445	4
% Ephemeroptera	57%	27%	42%	9%	32%	5%	14%	0%	7%	4%	33%	5%	22%
% Plecoptera	1%	1%	5%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%
% Trichoptera	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
% Aq. Diptera	35%	70%	33%	7%	55%	85%	82%	96%	79%	44%	55%	76%	75%
% other	7%	2%	21%	84%	11%	9%	3%	4%	12%	51%	11%	19%	0%
% EPT	58%	28%	47%	10%	34%	6%	15%	0%	9%	5%	34%	5%	24%
% Chironomidae	11%	64%	22%	4%	40%	67%	69%	65%	57%	32%	38%	14%	52%
% Dominant Aquatic Taxon	56%	43%	33%	69%	30%	46%	50%	50%	44%	44%	31%	61%	33%
Volume of water (m3)	1326	271	612	612	593	633	347	128	741	741	120	1043	871
average water/net	265	54	122	122	119	127	69	26	148	148	24	209	174
StDev of water volume	160	12	29	29	63	57	19	15	23	23	6	111	98
Estimated total inverts/m3 water	4.5	35.9	7.3	26.4	42.4	20.8	81.5	87.5	15.8	26.2	14.4	12.5	9.3
Estimated aquatic inverts/m3 water	3.9	22.5	5	24.1	34.9	18.7	77.4	60.3	13	23.4	14.1	11.3	4.5
average inv/m3	4.4	35.9	7.3	26.4	47.5	26.4	83.4	73.3	15.9	26.4	14.5	14.3	10.3
average aq inverts/m3 water	3.9	22.6	5	24.8	39.4	23.6	79	52.5	13	25.5	14.2	13	5.03
StDev of aq. Inv. Density	2.2	3.3	1.6	9.7	16	15.3	11.9	20.6	3.3	4.9	3.1	4.5	1.75
Total aquatic invertebrates	5109	6085	3041	14723	20713	11820	26860	7706	9639	17358	1698	11808	3943
Total. terrestrial invertebrates	876	3645	1400	1400	4439	1320	1431	3479	2091	2091	35	1200	4158
Total invertebrates	5985	9730	4441	16123	25152	13140	28291	11185	11730	19448	1733	13008	8101
% Sample aquatic	85%	63%	68%	91%	82%	90%	95%	69%	82%	89%	98%	91%	49%
% Sample terrestrial	15%	37%	32%	9%	18%	10%	5%	31%	18%	11%	2%	9%	51%
Average # aquatic inverts / net	1022	1217	608	2945	4143	2364	5372	1541	1928	3472	340	2362	789
stdev aq inv/net	744	279	222	1201	1812	352	1247	1322	567	787	105	1007	355
Average # terr. inverts / net	175	729	280	280	888	264	286	696	418	418	7	240	832
Average # inverts / net	1197	1946	888	3225	5030	2628	5658	2237	2346	3890	347	2602	1620
stdev inv/net	893	494	322	1224	2337	432	1244	2327	761	922	108	1100	851
Total Larval Arctic Grayling/site	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larval Slimy Sculpin/site	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larval Dolly Varden/site	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006a is without Cladocerans and 2006b is with Cladocerans													
2011a is without Ostracods and 2011b is with Ostracods													

Appendix 4. Juvenile Arctic Grayling from Bons Creek, Whole Body Element Concentrations, 2015

Sample Number	Date Collected	Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Units dry weight	Method	200.8	200.8	7471A	200.8	200.8	% Solids
					analyte	Cadmium	Lead	Mercury	Selenium	Zinc	
					MRL	0.02	0.02	0.02	1	0.5	
053015BPAGJ01	5/30/2015	185	60	mg/Kg		0.21	1.25	0.04	13.9	75.8	28.2
053015BPAGJ02	5/30/2015	148	31	mg/Kg		0.11	0.47	0.04	11.5	94.2	28.3
053015BPAGJ03	5/30/2015	152	29	mg/Kg		0.14	0.56	0.03	13.9	77.4	28.9
053015BPAGJ04	5/30/2015	157	36	mg/Kg		0.13	0.28	0.03	20.0	76.0	28.2
053015BPAGJ05	5/30/2015	155	35	mg/Kg		0.13	0.45	0.04	13.7	80.3	27.9
053015BPAGJ06	5/30/2015	156	37	mg/Kg		0.12	0.42	0.04	11.1	81.3	27.8
053015BPAGJ07	5/30/2015	153	32	mg/Kg		0.11	0.65	0.02	16.9	116	26.2
060115BPAGJ08	6/1/2015	180	71	mg/Kg		0.35	1.22	0.03	14.3	97.6	28.7
060215BPAGJ09	6/2/2015	173	57	mg/Kg		0.17	1.67	0.03	13.1	85.3	28.8
060215BPAGJ10	6/2/2015	163	41	mg/Kg		0.19	2.29	0.03	14.6	97.3	28.9
060215BPAGJ11	6/2/2015	162	43	mg/Kg		0.15	0.48	0.04	10.8	83.4	29.8
060215BPAGJ12	6/2/2015	158	34	mg/Kg		0.12	0.69	0.05	10.5	103	26.9
060215BPAGJ13	6/2/2015	165	37	mg/Kg		0.14	2.16	0.04	14.2	108	26.9
060215BPAGJ15	6/2/2015	168	48	mg/Kg		0.14	1.13	0.03	12.5	83.6	27.8
060215BPAGJ14	6/2/2015	179	57	mg/Kg	this fish sample was lost due to a rare lab incident						

Appendix 5. Juvenile Dolly Varden from Anxiety Ridge, Buddy, and Mainstem Red Dog Creeks, Whole Body Element Concentrations, 2015

Whole Body mg/kg dry weight (Juvenile Dolly Varden)				Method	200.8	200.8	200.8	7471A	200.8	200.8	
				analyte	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Selenium	Zinc	
Sample Number	Date Collected	Length (mm)	Weight (g)	MRL	0.02	0.10	0.02	0.02	1	0.5	% Solids
073115AXDVJ01	7/31/2015	101	12		0.18	3.4	0.62	0.07	5.3	159	27.4
073115AXDVJ02	7/31/2015	94	9		0.87	4.4	0.24	0.06	7.0	141	27.1
073115AXDVJ03	7/31/2015	93	8.5		0.91	4.1	0.45	0.05	8.2	130	26.5
073115AXDVJ04	7/31/2015	95	8.5		0.49	3.9	0.19	0.04	5.5	141	25.2
073115AXDVJ05	7/31/2015	123	17.5		0.20	4.1	0.31	0.08	6.8	150	27.3
073115AXDVJ06	7/31/2015	96	9.5		0.21	3.7	0.12	0.07	6.5	130	29.0
073115AXDVJ07	7/31/2015	101	10.5		0.34	3.5	0.32	0.05	5.8	123	27.1
073115AXDVJ08	7/31/2015	103	11		0.34	3.2	0.20	0.04	6.7	130	26.0
073115AXDVJ09	7/31/2015	102	12		0.21	3.1	0.24	0.05	5.7	130	25.6
073115AXDVJ10	7/31/2015	104	12.5		0.41	2.9	0.08	0.07	6.8	123	27.0
073115AXDVJ11	7/31/2015	95	9.5		0.31	3.5	0.48	0.06	5.7	133	26.0
073115AXDVJ12	7/31/2015	99	9.5		0.31	2.7	0.11	0.05	5.9	123	27.1
073115AXDVJ13	7/31/2015	97	9.5		0.13	2.4	0.09	0.04	4.6	123	24.5
073115AXDVJ14	7/31/2015	123	17.5		0.25	4.0	0.19	0.07	6.9	131	27.3
073115AXDVJ15	7/31/2015	116	13.5		0.13	4.2	0.55	0.05	5.0	145	27.5
073115BUDVJ01	7/31/2015	97	10		1.22	3.5	0.27	0.02	8.8	184	25.4
073115BUDVJ02	7/31/2015	110	13.5		0.83	3.7	0.16	0.03	9.4	174	24.5
073115BUDVJ03	7/31/2015	106	12.5		2.96	4.0	0.34	0.03	9.7	233	27.8
073115BUDVJ04	7/31/2015	102	10.5		1.34	4.8	0.44	0.03	10.2	187	21.5
073115BUDVJ05	7/31/2015	104	10.5		0.86	3.3	0.16	< 0.02	9.5	196	24.0
073115BUDVJ06	7/31/2015	95	8.5		1.23	4.0	0.32	0.03	8.8	217	21.3
073115BUDVJ07	7/31/2015	99	10		0.94	4.1	0.21	< 0.02	9.1	197	22.2
073115BUDVJ08	7/31/2015	126	18.5		0.85	4.2	0.23	0.04	9.0	203	23.4
073115BUDVJ09	7/31/2015	121	18		0.61	4.1	0.30	0.03	11.4	194	24.6
073115BUDVJ10	7/31/2015	115	14		0.79	4.2	0.18	0.02	10.0	185	24.2
073115BUDVJ11	7/31/2015	115	15		0.56	3.6	0.18	0.02	8.3	198	24.3
073115BUDVJ12	7/31/2015	103	10.5		0.25	3.8	0.23	0.02	7.1	131	24.4
073115BUDVJ13	7/31/2015	104	11.5		0.83	3.4	0.18	< 0.02	8.4	171	27.4
073115BUDVJ14	7/31/2015	100	10.5		1.16	3.9	0.27	0.02	8.2	201	24.1
073115BUDVJ15	7/31/2015	103	11		0.87	3.8	0.22	0.02	11.1	201	24.8
080115MSRDDVJ01	8/1/2015	93	9		1.73	6.2	5.50	< 0.02	9.1	186	24.6
080115MSRDDVJ02	8/1/2015	106	12.5		2.18	5.7	6.71	0.02	12.8	200	26.2
080115MSRDDVJ03	8/1/2015	98	12.5		1.73	5.3	12.6	< 0.02	11.8	296	23.6
080115MSRDDVJ04	8/1/2015	120	15		2.37	5.9	2.05	< 0.02	15.9	204	24.6
080115MSRDDVJ05	8/1/2015	122	18.5		1.96	4.8	6.56	0.02	12.3	205	27.4
080115MSRDDVJ06	8/1/2015	110	13		2.21	5.8	1.59	0.03	13.4	187	24.7
080115MSRDDVJ07	8/1/2015	99	10		0.96	4.8	0.92	< 0.02	7.7	157	23.9
080115MSRDDVJ08	8/1/2015	105	11.5		1.50	5.5	0.83	< 0.02	13.7	193	24.1
080115MSRDDVJ09	8/1/2015	114	15.5		1.04	4.8	0.95	0.02	14.5	163	24.8
080115MSRDDVJ10	8/1/2015	105	10.5		0.69	5.5	0.54	0.03	7.3	216	22.7
080115MSRDDVJ11	8/1/2015	98	9.5		1.50	5.2	0.99	0.03	12.9	225	24.5
080115MSRDDVJ12	8/1/2015	96	8.5		0.74	4.0	1.90	0.02	8.5	217	25.3
080115MSRDDVJ13	8/1/2015	114	16		1.67	6.3	1.28	0.03	10.4	180	25.0
080115MSRDDVJ14	8/1/2015	111	12.5		1.45	5.5	1.09	0.02	10.6	156	24.8
080115MSRDDVJ15	8/1/2015	105	12.5		1.28	5.6	2.24	0.03	11.2	235	20.6

Appendix 6. Arctic Grayling Females, Selenium

Adult Arctic Grayling Samples (Ovary)									
Units mg/kg dry weight									
Sample				Length	Weight			Selenium	Percent
Identification	Collector	Location	Date	(mm)	(g)	Age	Tissue	(mg/kg)	Solids
1999RDAGA01R	ADF&G	North Fork (Red Dog)	5/30/1999	315	449	9	Ovary	20	27.5
1999RDAGA02R	ADF&G	North Fork (Red Dog)	5/30/1999	324	443	7	Ovary	16	27.5
1999RDAGA03R	ADF&G	North Fork (Red Dog)	5/30/1999	327	408	6	Ovary	18	30
1999RDAGA04R	ADF&G	North Fork (Red Dog)	5/30/1999	366	562	26	Ovary	15	27.4
1999RDAGA05R	ADF&G	North Fork (Red Dog)	5/30/1999	343	489	8	Ovary	18	26.7
1999RDAGA06R	ADF&G	North Fork (Red Dog)	5/30/1999	358	590	7	Ovary	23	31.3
1999FCAGA01R	ADF&G	Fish Creek (Fort Knox)	5/18/1999	266	279	5	Ovary	5	27
1999FCAGA02R	ADF&G	Fish Creek (Fort Knox)	5/18/1999	231	210	4	Ovary	3	31.1
1999FCAGA03R	ADF&G	Fish Creek (Fort Knox)	5/18/1999	264	279	4	Ovary	3	28.5
1999FCAGA04R	ADF&G	Fish Creek (Fort Knox)	5/18/1999	262	247	4	Ovary	4	29.1
1999FCAGA05R	ADF&G	Fish Creek (Fort Knox)	5/18/1999	234	188	5	Ovary	4	30.6
1999FCAGA06R	ADF&G	Fish Creek (Fort Knox)	5/18/1999	242	222	4	Ovary	3	27.7
1999CHAGA01R	ADF&G	Chena River (Fairbanks)	May-99	305	395	10	Ovary	14	29
1999CHAGA02R	ADF&G	Chena River (Fairbanks)	May-99	321	468	11	Ovary	23	31.1
1999CHAGA03R	ADF&G	Chena River (Fairbanks)	May-99	332	527	9	Ovary	13	31.5
1999CHAGA04R	ADF&G	Chena River (Fairbanks)	May-99	318	414	9	Ovary	10	25.2
1999CHAGA05R	ADF&G	Chena River (Fairbanks)	May-99	304	397	10	Ovary	9	23.9
1999CHAGA06R	ADF&G	Chena River (Fairbanks)	May-99	320	497	11	Ovary	15	30.1
061014BPAGA01	ADF&G	Bons Pond (Red Dog)	6/10/2014	321	284	21/22	Ovary	36.2	24.6
061014BPAGA02	ADF&G	Bons Pond (Red Dog)	6/10/2014	319	340	19+	Ovary	28.7	23.0
061014BPAGA03	ADF&G	Bons Pond (Red Dog)	6/10/2014	312	332	19+	Ovary	33.6	25.8
061014BPAGA04	ADF&G	Bons Pond (Red Dog)	6/10/2014	314	308	20+	Ovary	29.9	19.7
061014BPAGA05	ADF&G	Bons Pond (Red Dog)	6/10/2014	320	314	16/17	Ovary	35.6	26.0
061014BPAGA06	ADF&G	Bons Pond (Red Dog)	6/10/2014	322	332	16+	Ovary	27.0	24.3
061014BPAGA07	ADF&G	Bons Pond (Red Dog)	6/10/2014	321	303	16	Ovary	40.4	25.9

Appendix 6. (concluded)

Adult Arctic Grayling Samples (Ovary)									
Units mg/kg dry weight									
Sample				Length	Weight			Selenium	Percent
Identification	Collector	Location	Date	(mm)	(g)	Age	Tissue	(mg/kg)	Solids
052915BPAGA01	ADF&G	Bons Pond (Red Dog)	5/29/2015	320	372.25	7	Ovary	32.6	26.6
052915BPAGA02	ADF&G	Bons Pond (Red Dog)	5/29/2015	330	393.15	13	Ovary	27.2	29.3
052915BPAGA03	ADF&G	Bons Pond (Red Dog)	5/29/2015	340	421.96	16	Ovary	25.7	28.3
052915BPAGA04	ADF&G	Bons Pond (Red Dog)	5/29/2015	336	414.06	7/8	Ovary	30.2	19.9
052915BPAGA05	ADF&G	Bons Pond (Red Dog)	5/29/2015	327	406.97	11	Ovary	29.7	21.5
052915BPAGA06	ADF&G	Bons Pond (Red Dog)	5/29/2015	327	416.68	16	Ovary	38.3	26.4
052915BPAGA07	ADF&G	Bons Pond (Red Dog)	5/29/2015	335	417.13	16	Ovary	39.7	26.7
052915BPAGA08	ADF&G	Bons Pond (Red Dog)	5/29/2015	322	392.22	no age	Ovary	29.3	17.4
050515FTKAGA01	ADF&G	Fish Creek (Fort Knox)	5/5/2015	293	305.65	4	Ovary	3.4	31.7
050515FTKAGA02	ADF&G	Fish Creek (Fort Knox)	5/5/2015	250	178.41	5	Ovary	3.9	31.4
050515FTKAGA03	ADF&G	Fish Creek (Fort Knox)	5/5/2015	255	185.87	no age	Ovary	4.2	31.4
050515FTKAGA04	ADF&G	Fish Creek (Fort Knox)	5/5/2015	252	187.49	3	Ovary	3.9	31.5
050515FTKAGA05	ADF&G	Fish Creek (Fort Knox)	5/5/2015	261	205.86	5	Ovary	3.1	30.9
050515FTKAGA06	ADF&G	Fish Creek (Fort Knox)	5/5/2015	266	234.08	4	Ovary	3.5	30.4
050515FTKAGA07	ADF&G	Fish Creek (Fort Knox)	5/5/2015	256	193.48	3	Ovary	3.5	31.1
050515FTKAGA08	ADF&G	Fish Creek (Fort Knox)	5/5/2015	255	181.77	3/4	Ovary	4.0	32.4
050515FTKAGA09	ADF&G	Fish Creek (Fort Knox)	5/5/2015	245	151.83	4	Ovary	3.2	32.4
053015NFAGA01	ADF&G	North Fork (Red Dog)	5/30/2015	387	576.95	17	Ovary	28.3	24.0
053015NFAGA02	ADF&G	North Fork (Red Dog)	5/30/2015	342	405.56	7	Ovary	12.7	24.2
053015NFAGA03	ADF&G	North Fork (Red Dog)	5/30/2015	358	478	8	Ovary	31.8	26.3
053015NFAGA04	ADF&G	North Fork (Red Dog)	5/30/2015	379	605.85	18	Ovary	28.3	27.0
053015NFAGA05	ADF&G	North Fork (Red Dog)	5/30/2015	380	551.05	21	Ovary	15.2	25.6
053015NFAGA06	ADF&G	North Fork (Red Dog)	5/30/2015	388	605.3	20	Ovary	16.4	26.0
053015NFAGA07	ADF&G	North Fork (Red Dog)	5/30/2015	365	556.8	12	Ovary	6.9	27.9
053115NFAGA08	ADF&G	North Fork (Red Dog)	5/31/2015	366	436.95	14	Ovary		
053115TUAGA01	ADF&G	Tutak Creek (Red Dog)	5/31/2015	337	348.64	11	Ovary		

Appendix 7. Dolly Varden Element Data, Wulik River 2015

	Sample	Fish		Weight	Length	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Selenium	Zinc	Mercury	Percent
Tissue	Identification	Species	Sex	(grams)	(mm)	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	Solids
Kidney	061415WUDVA1	Dolly	Female	1265	505							21.6
Kidney	061415WUDVA2	Dolly	Male	2700	645	2.02	4.5	< 0.02	7.7	72.1	0.06	20.8
Kidney	061415WUDVA3	Dolly	Female	792	420	0.70	5.3	< 0.02	6.3	81.6	0.07	19.5
Kidney	061415WUDVA4	Dolly	Female	1176	482	1.24	6.6	< 0.02	7.1	71.9	0.04	20.3
Kidney	061415WUDVA5	Dolly	Female	1577	522	0.42	15.8	< 0.02	4.0	89.6	0.02	25.2
Kidney	061415WUDVA6	Dolly	Male	1697	540	1.17	4.8	< 0.02	9.1	71.7	0.06	19.9
	duplicate of Fish #2					1.12	3.1	< 0.02	7.8	78.3	0.06	20.4
Liver	061415WUDVA1	Dolly	Female	1265	505	1.06	48.1	< 0.02	5.1	112	0.02	22.1
Liver	061415WUDVA2	Dolly	Male	2700	645	0.50	29.5	< 0.02	2.3	68.3	0.02	38.5
Liver	061415WUDVA3	Dolly	Female	792	420	0.53	27.9	< 0.02	4.7	103	0.03	22.4
Liver	061415WUDVA4	Dolly	Female	1176	482	0.52	35.9	< 0.02	2.1	71.5	< 0.02	52.0
Liver	061415WUDVA5	Dolly	Female	1577	522	0.92	4.7	< 0.02	7.5	69.5	0.04	21.3
Liver	061415WUDVA6	Dolly	Male	1697	540	0.64	28.7	< 0.02	3.5	72.5	0.02	32.5
	duplicate of Fish #2					0.55	32.2	< 0.02	2.7	71.1	0.02	38.0
Muscle	061415WUDVA1	Dolly	Female	1265	505	< 0.02	10.3	< 0.02	1.2	16.4	< 0.02	10.7
Muscle	061415WUDVA2	Dolly	Male	2700	645	< 0.02	2.9	< 0.02	< 1	15.8	< 0.02	29.8
Muscle	061415WUDVA3	Dolly	Female	792	420	< 0.02	3.5	< 0.02	1.4	17.0	< 0.02	23.2
Muscle	061415WUDVA4	Dolly	Female	1176	482	< 0.02	3.2	< 0.02	< 1	14.6	< 0.02	26.5
Muscle	061415WUDVA5	Dolly	Female	1577	522	< 0.02	3.4	< 0.02	< 0.9	15.4	< 0.02	32.8
Muscle	061415WUDVA6	Dolly	Male	1697	540	< 0.02	2.8	< 0.02	1.1	14.2	< 0.02	23.2
	duplicate of Fish #2					< 0.02	3.4	< 0.02	1.2	15.9	< 0.02	26.7
Reproductive	061415WUDVA4	Dolly	Female	1176	482	0.02	23.6	< 0.02	7.4	468	< 0.02	20.4
Reproductive	061415WUDVA5	Dolly	Female	1577	522	0.02	20.7	< 0.02	6.8	467	< 0.02	20.6

Appendix 7 (concluded)


	Sample	Fish		Weight	Length	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Selenium	Zinc	Mercury	Percent
Tissue	Identification	Species	Sex	(grams)	(mm)	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	Solids
Kidney	091415WUDVA1	Dolly	Female	1980	537	1.29	25.0	< 0.02	8.9	99.8	0.06	21.3
Kidney	091415WUDVA2	Dolly	Female	1232	510	0.73	6.8	0.02	10.9	121	0.04	21.5
Kidney	091415WUDVA3	Dolly	Female	1221	480	0.95	9.2	< 0.02	12.0	106	0.04	22.2
Kidney	091415WUDVA4	Dolly	Female	1403	500	1.10	13.9	< 0.02	18.5	94.1	0.04	20.9
Kidney	091415WUDVA5	Dolly	Male	1822	575	1.90	6.3	< 0.02	12.8	106	0.05	19.7
Kidney	091415WUDVA6	Dolly	Female	3264	634	0.68	15.5	0.06	15.0	91.8	< 0.02	22.6
	duplicate of Fish #6					0.65	3.4	< 0.02	13.4	77.2	0.02	24.8
Liver	091415WUDVA1	Dolly	Female	1980	537	0.30	20.6	< 0.02	3.5	69.3	< 0.02	43.7
Liver	091415WUDVA2	Dolly	Female	1232	510	0.39	24.7	< 0.02	4.4	72.8	< 0.02	38.7
Liver	091415WUDVA3	Dolly	Female	1221	480	0.26	28.1	< 0.02	3.2	68.0	< 0.02	46.2
Liver	091415WUDVA4	Dolly	Female	1403	500	0.31	36.8	< 0.02	4.4	74.0	< 0.02	48.6
Liver	091415WUDVA5	Dolly	Male	1822	575	0.87	116	< 0.02	6.8	141	0.02	30.2
Liver	091415WUDVA6	Dolly	Female	3264	634	0.16	22.8	< 0.02	4.9	68.2	< 0.02	45.3
	duplicate of Fish #6					0.16	22.3	< 0.02	3.9	68.0	< 0.02	48.5
Muscle	091415WUDVA1	Dolly	Female	1980	537	< 0.02	7.4	< 0.02	1.1	15.0	< 0.02	33.9
Muscle	091415WUDVA2	Dolly	Female	1232	510	< 0.02	3.6	< 0.02	1.2	18.7	< 0.02	30.6
Muscle	091415WUDVA3	Dolly	Female	1221	480	< 0.02	2.9	< 0.02	1.1	13.9	< 0.02	31.5
Muscle	091415WUDVA4	Dolly	Female	1403	500	< 0.02	1.9	< 0.02	1.2	18.2	< 0.02	31.0
Muscle	091415WUDVA5	Dolly	Male	1822	575	< 0.02	1.8	< 0.02	1.2	16.3	< 0.02	27.3
Muscle	091415WUDVA6	Dolly	Female	3264	634	< 0.02	1.9	< 0.02	1.3	16.2	< 0.02	37.1
	duplicate of Fish #6					< 0.02	1.7	< 0.02	1.4	16.0	< 0.02	40.0
Reproductive	091415WUDVA1	Dolly	Female	1980	537	0.02	38.8	< 0.02	12.0	520	< 0.02	22.3
Reproductive	091415WUDVA2	Dolly	Female	1232	510	< 0.02	19.6	< 0.02	9.6	164	< 0.02	19.9
Reproductive	091415WUDVA3	Dolly	Female	1221	480	< 0.02	40.1	< 0.02	16.6	557	< 0.02	20.6
Reproductive	091415WUDVA4	Dolly	Female	1403	500	< 0.02	29.9	< 0.02	14.2	486	< 0.02	18.7
	091415WUDVA6	Dolly	Female	3264	634	< 0.02	30.6	< 0.02	26.0	464	< 0.04	24.8
	duplicate of Fish #6					0.02	29.5	< 0.02	25.5	432	< 0.02	26.0

Appendix 8. Dolly Varden Aerial Surveys


Estimated number of overwintering Dolly Varden in the Wulik River before freezeup. Surveys conducted by ADF&G (DeCicco 1989, 1991-1999, 2001-2002, and 2004-2015).

Year	Wulik River upstream of Ikalukrok Creek	Wulik River downstream of Ikalukrok Creek	Total Fish	Percent of Fish downstream of Ikalukrok Creek
Before Mining				
1979	3,305	51,725	55,030	94
1980	12,486	101,067	113,553	89
1981	4,125	97,136	101,261	96
1982	2,300	63,197	65,497	97
1984	370	30,483	30,853	99
1987	893	60,397	61,290	99
1988	1,500	78,644	80,144	98
During Mining				
1989	2,110	54,274	56,384	96
1991	7,930	119,055	126,985	94
1992	750	134,385	135,135	99
1993	7,650	136,488	144,138	95
1994	415	66,337	66,752	99
1995	240	128,465	128,705	99
1996	1,010	59,995	61,005	98
1997	2,295	93,117	95,412	98
1998	6,350	97,693	104,043	94
1999	2,750	67,954	70,704	96
2001	2,020	90,594	92,614	98
2002	1,675	42,582	44,257	96
2004	16,486	84,320	100,806	84
2005	10,645	110,203	120,848	91
2006	4,758	103,594	108,352	96
2007	5,503	93,808	99,311	94
2008	271	71,222	71,493	99
2009	122	60,876	60,998	99
2010	70	36,248	36,318	99
2011	637	62,612	63,249	99
2012	0	21,084	21,084	100
2013	114	21,945	22,059	99
2014	610	63,341	63,951	99
2015	10	71,474	71,484	100
The population estimate (mark/recapture) for winter 1988/1989 for fish				
>400 mm was 76,892 (DeCicco 1990a)				
The population estimate (mark/recapture) for winter 1994/1995 for fish				
>400 mm was 361,599 (DeCicco 1996b)				
Fall 2000 and 2003 aerial surveys were not made due to weather.				

Appendix 9. Dolly Varden and Chum Salmon Survey Areas



Appendix 10. Juvenile Dolly Varden Sampling Sites



Appendix 11. Juvenile Dolly Varden Catches

Number of Dolly Varden Caught in Late-July/Early August with ten minnow traps per sample site																	
Sample Site	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2014	2015
Evaingiknuk (Noatak Tributary)	54	27	38	2	7	20	64	71	29	4	67	21	16	48	36	17	13
Anxiety Ridge	68	94	271	27	6	33	98	116	121	8	115	75	147	18	43	7	93
Buddy	48	154	306	11	34	57	104	59	59	5	183	43	100	115	77	18	47
North Fork Red Dog Creek (Sta 12)	0	12	17	1	1	1	0	1	8	0	1	0	3	6	2	0	4
Mainstem (below North Fork) (Sta 151)	14	70	86	13	9	12	2	2	6	8	2	13	7	13	7	1	3
Mainstem (Sta 10)	10	21	66	1	3	12	12	0	10	3	6	5	6	14	8	13	15
Ikalukrok Creek (Sta 7/160)	13	51	55	31	6	17	17	27	36	2	25	7	30	10	32	7	10
Ikalukrok Creek (Sta 9)	3	44	41	5	2	18	3	12	0	5	7	3	11	37	12	2	11
Total Catch																	
Dolly Varden	210	473	880	91	68	170	300	288	269	35	406	167	320	261	217	65	196
2012 and 2013 no minnow traps fished due to multiple high water events																	

Appendix 12. Arctic Grayling, Mainstem Red Dog Creek

Observations and catches of Arctic grayling in Mainstem Red Dog Creek below the confluence of North Fork Red Dog and Middle Fork Red Dog creeks since 1994.

7/27/94 – visual, two adults just below North Fork

6/29/95 – angling, one adult just below North Fork

7/17/95 – angling, two adults near rock bluff 0.8 km below North Fork

7/20/95 – visual, one adult near rock bluff 0.8 km below North Fork

8/11/95 – visual, fry (about 30) below North Fork

8/14/95 – angling, 11 adults marked and released, rock bluff 0.8 km below North Fork

6/19/96 – visual, one adult near Station 10

7/15/96 – angling, seven adults marked and released near Station 10

8/11/96 – visual, fry in shallow eddies at mouth of Mainstem

8/12/96 – visual, fry near rock bluff 0.8 km below North Fork

6/25/97 – visual, two adults at rock bluff 0.8 km below North Fork

6/25/97 – drift net, fry caught at Station 10, 13-15 mm long

6/26/97 – angling, 15 adults marked and released near Station 10

6/27/97 – visual, fry numerous at Station 10

8/10/97 – visual, fry in backwaters

9/29/97 – minnow traps, seven fry caught near Station 10

6/10/98 – visual, no fish seen between North Fork mouth and rock bluff 0.8 km downstream

6/28/98 – visual, one adult feeding at rock bluff (0.8 km below North Fork)

5/29/99 – angling, three adults caught just below North Fork mouth

5/30/99 – fyke net, 32 adults caught about 100 m below North Fork mouth

7/8-9/99 – angling, two adults captured, marked, and released near Station 10

7/8-9/99 – visual, 12 adults and some fry near Station 10

7/8-9/99 – visual, two adults at rock bluff (0.8 km below North Fork)

8/9-10/99 – visual, numerous fry in backwaters and along stream margins

Appendix 12 (continued)

6/11-12/00 – fyke net, adults captured, marked, and released 7/28/00 – visual, several fry in backwaters and along stream margins, not numerous

7/5/00 – visual, two adults feeding at rock bluff (0.8 km below North Fork), juvenile observed

7/6/00 – visual, walked most of creek, tagged three adults near Station 10, most pools held one to three adults

6/15-18/01 – visual, walked creek to check for spawners in proposed mixing zone, none observed, one adult seen feeding at rock bluff (about 0.8 km below North Fork)

6/17/01 – angling, 11 adults marked and released near Station 10, all females spent

7/29-31/01 – visual, very few fry seen (about 20 mm), late breakup, cold temperatures resulted in late spawning

5/31/02 – fyke net, seven adults marked and released near Station 10

6/1/02 – fyke net, 31 adults marked and released near Station 10

6/2/02 – fyke net, eight adults marked and released near Station 10

6/3/02 – fyke net, three adults marked and released near Station 10

6/4/02 – fyke net, three adults and three juveniles marked and released near Station 10

6/7/02 – angling, 10 adults and three juveniles marked and released near Station 10, most of the females were spent

7/27/02 – visual, few fry (<10) seen

7/28/02 – visual, adults present near Station 10, three to four per pool

6/11/03 – aerial, 48 adults, two spawning pairs seen

6/12/03 – visual, ten adults, three active spawning pairs observed near Station 10

6/14/03 – angling, eight adults, one spent male near Station 10

7/7/03 – visual, fry in backwaters near Station 10, one group of 30

7/8/03 – visual, ten adults near Station 10

9/7/03 – visual, two adults and five fry near Station 151

5/25/04 – visual, two adult males near Station 10

5/26/04 – fyke net, four adults near Station 10

7/7/04 – visual, fry common near Station 151

7/7/04 – angling, two adults (333, 325 mm) near Station 151

7/8/04 – visual, fry in all backwaters near Station 10

7/8/04 – angling, three adults (373, 297, 356 mm) near Station 10

Appendix 12 (continued)

- 6/5/05 – aerial, observed 30 adult Arctic grayling, only two sets paired
6/25 and 26/05 – Houghton reported catching about 60 fish in Mainstem between mouth and North Fork Red Dog Creek
7/4/05 – visual, 8 adults and fry (about 70) observed near Station 10
7/28/05 – visual, small numbers of fry in backwaters near Station 10
- 6/13/06 – visual, five adult Arctic grayling seen in Mainstem near Station 10
6/16/06 – angling, caught 8 Arctic grayling (260 – 355 mm long) in Mainstem just below mouth of North Fork
- 6/1/07 – visual, several adult male and female Arctic grayling seen near Station 151
6/2/07 – visual, numerous Arctic grayling spawning at 3rd bend downstream of Station 151 in area of cobbles to gravelly sand
6/3/07 – visual, groups of 4 to 5 adults moving downstream in Station 10 area, caught several spent females, fish obviously moving out of Mainstem
7/1/07 – visual, observed large number of fry in side channels and backwaters near Station 10 and three adult Arctic grayling feeding on drift
7/3/07 – visual, observed one adult Arctic grayling at Station 151 and several fry along stream margins
8/9/07 – visual, observed two adult Arctic grayling at Station 151 and saw 35 fry along stream margins, one group of about 25
8/10/07 – visual, observed quite a few Arctic grayling fry in vicinity of Station 10 and caught fry in minnow traps (n = 10, 59 to 68 mm, average 64.1, SD = 2.8)
- 6/6/08 – visual, observed one Arctic grayling near Station 151
6/9/08 – visual and angling, walked Station 151 downstream for about 1.6 km and caught one Arctic grayling (363 mm)
6/10/08 – visual and angling, caught 5 Arctic grayling (325 – 425 mm long) just upstream of Station 10, four males and one partially spent female – saw about six fish that we did not catch
7/3/08 – visual, saw one adult Arctic grayling near Station 10
7/4/08 – visual, fry common along stream margins near Station 10, very small (about 15 mm long)
7/4/08 – minnow traps, caught one 67 mm Arctic grayling near Station 151
8/3/08 – minnow traps, caught one 82 mm Arctic grayling near Station 151

Appendix 12 (concluded)

- 6/13/09 – caught one 408 mm Arctic grayling in Mainstem Red Dog Creek at first rock bluff below North Fork Red Dog Creek
- 7/2/09 – observed one adult Arctic grayling near Station 151
- 7/3/09 – observed 8 adult Arctic grayling in pools just upstream of Station 10
- 7/29/09 – saw large numbers of Arctic grayling fry virtually everywhere in our sample reach in Mainstem Red Dog Creek upstream of Station 151
- 7/30/09 – observed a few Arctic grayling fry in Mainstem Red Dog Creek near Station 10
- 7/3/10 – observed fry at Station 10, fry numerous and schools of 5 to 20 seen everywhere we looked
- 8/15/10 – observed moderate numbers of Arctic grayling fry just upstream of Station 151
- 8/15/10 – saw two adult Arctic grayling just upstream of Station 10
- 8/15/10 – observed moderate numbers of Arctic grayling fry upstream and downstream of Station 10
- 6/7/2011 – one male Arctic grayling (Code #49 – radiotag) radio-located in Mainstem Red Dog Creek along with many other adult Arctic grayling near first bluff below Station 151
- 6/10/2011 – caught 6 Arctic grayling in Mainstem Red Dog Creek between the mouth of North Fork Red Dog Creek and Station 151
- 6/11/2011 – two male Arctic grayling (Code 50 and 54 – radiotag) radio-located in Mainstem Red Dog Creek downstream of Station 151
- 7/17/2011 – aerial survey to relocate radio-tagged Arctic grayling, 13 of 15 fish found – none were in Mainstem Red Dog Creek
- 8/28/2011 – observed several Arctic grayling fry in Mainstem Red Dog Creek just upstream of Station 151, fry were in backwaters and in a side channel
- 7/8/2012 – observed Arctic grayling fry near Station 10, broad distribution in vicinity of drift nets, several small schools of 15 to 20 fry
- 7/30/2013 – Arctic grayling fry were not observed near Station 10
- 7/28/2014 – one Arctic grayling fry observed near Station 10, about 40 mm long, several 300 mm Arctic grayling observed moving upstream, smaller Arctic grayling (200 to 300 mm) common (five to seven in backwaters and pools throughout the minnow bucket sample reach)
- 8/1/2015 – one juvenile Arctic grayling (105 mm) was caught in a minnow bucket at Station 151

Appendix 13. Arctic Grayling Fry, North Fork Red Dog Creek

Year	Relative Abundance of Fry	Comments
1992	high	100's of fry, late July
1993	low	Few fry in early August, high water
1994	low	High water after spawning probably displaced fry
1995	low	Fry small (<25 mm) in mid-July
1996	high	Schools of 50 to 200 fry common
1997	high	Average size of fry was 10 mm greater than in 1996
1998	low	Cold water, late breakup, high water after spawning
1999	high	Low flows, warm water after spawning, schools of 50 to 100 fry common
2000	low	Cold water, late breakup, spawning 90% done June 13/14, fry small (<25 mm) and rare in mid-July
2001	low	Cold water, late breakup, spawning 90% done June 19, fry small (<25 mm) and rare in mid-July
2002	low	High flows, spawning 90% done June 8, fry small (<35 mm) in early August and rare, more fry seen in Ikalukrok Creek in early July, probably displaced by high water
2003	low	Cold water, late breakup, spawning 90% done June 14, fry small (<25 mm) and rare in early August
2004	low	Early breakup, spawning 90% done by May 31, fry (<30 mm) on July 10
2005	low	Spawning 90% done by June 7, fry present in early July, several groups of 25 to 30 observed to high water
2006	low	Spawning partially abandoned due to cold water temperatures, no fry observed in early August, July surveys not possible due to high water
2007	high	Spawning 90% done by June 3, followed by low water with very little rainfall until mid-August, fry numerous, hundreds seen in shallow water along stream margin, fry averaged 64 mm in early August

Appendix 13 (concluded)

Year	Relative Abundance of Fry	Comments
2008	low	Spawning 90% done by June 9, most fish probably spawned in Mainstem Red Dog Creek, no fry seen along stream margins
2009	low	Most fish probably spawned in Mainstem Red Dog Creek, breakup late, very few fry seen in July or August, fry observed in the reach just upstream of Station 151 indicate some spawning success in North Fork Red Dog Creek
2010	moderate	Breakup early, water flows low, moderate numbers of fry seen in North Fork Red Dog Creek in July, grayling fry caught in minnow buckets on August 16
2011	low	Spawning probably began on June 9, 2011 – no fry were seen in July and in late August a few fry (less than 5) were observed in backwaters
2012	low	Observed small numbers (2 to 3) of fry along stream margins and in several pools
2013		No observations made due to extremely high water
2014	low	Arctic grayling fry observed in most back waters, about 20 mm
2015	moderate	two Arctic grayling fry caught in drift nets in early July
